Black Box Linear Algebra

An Introduction to Wiedemann's Approach

William J. Turner

Department of Mathematics & Computer Science

Wabash College

Overview

- Symbolic computation
- Black box matrix model
- Wiedemann's approach
  - Nonsingular systems
  - Singular systems
  - Rank
  - Determinant
- Other work

Symbolic Computation

- Superset of computer algebra
- Different from numerical analysis
  - Symbols or exact arithmetic
  - Not floating point numbers (numerical analysis)
  - No round off errors
- Algorithms may not be compatible

. . . vs. Numerical Analysis

- Numerical Analysis
  - Find approximation quickly
  - May never find exact solution
- Symbolic Computation
  - Find exact solution quickly
  - May never approximate

Probabilistic Algorithms

- Three types:
  - *Monte Carlo:* Always fast, probably correct
  - *Las Vegas:* Probably fast, always correct
    - Requires certificate
  - *BPP:* Bounded Probabalistic Polynomial Time
    - Probably fast, probably correct
    - Atlantic City?
- Schwartz-Zippel Lemma
  - Probability randomly choose root of polynomial

Solving Linear Systems

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & 0 & 3 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 3 & 3 & -2 & 3 \\ 0 & -3 & 0 & -2 \end{bmatrix} x = \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 2 \\ 6 \\ -4 \end{bmatrix}$$

Gaussian Elimination

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & 0 & 3 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 & -6 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -2 \end{bmatrix} x = \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 2 \\ 6 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \Longrightarrow \quad x = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

- Row echelon form and back substitution
- Must know how matrix stored
- Matrix changed in calculation
- Sparse matrices may become dense

Black Box Matrix Model

- External view of matrix
- Only matrix-vector products allowed
- Independent of implementation
- Implementations efficient in time or space
- Not unique to symbolic computation
- Can compute Krylov sequence $(A^i v)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$

Examples

Matrix	storage	time
Arbitrary matrix	n^2	$\mathrm{O}(n^2)$
Sparse matrix (η nonzero entries)	$\mathrm{O}(\eta)$	$\mathrm{O}(\eta)$
Hilbert matrix ($A^{[i,j]} = \frac{1}{i+j-1}$)	$\mathrm{O}(1)$	$\mathrm{O}(n)$
Toeplitz matrix	$\mathrm{O}(n)$	$\mathrm{O}(n \log(n) \operatorname{loglog}(n))$

Linearly Recurrent Sequences

Let $\mathbb{V}$ be a vector space over field $\mathbb{F}$.

A sequence

$$a = (a_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathbb{V}^{\mathbb{N}}$$

is *linearly recurrent* if and only if there exist $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and

$$c_0, \ldots, c_m \in \mathbb{F}, \quad c_m \neq 0$$

such that for all $i \geq 0$

$$\sum_{j=0}^{m} c_j \, a_{i+j} = 0$$

Linearly Recurrent Sequences

Let $\mathbb{V}$ be a vector space over field $\mathbb{F}$.

A sequence

$$a = (a_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathbb{V}^{\mathbb{N}}$$

is *linearly recurrent* if and only if there exist $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and

$$c_0, \ldots, c_m \in \mathbb{F}, \quad c_m \neq 0$$

such that for all $i \geq 0$

$$a_{i+m} = -\frac{1}{c_m} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} c_j \, a_{i+j} \right)$$

Generating Polynomials

- The polynomial $f(\lambda) = \sum_{j=0}^{m} c_j \, \lambda^j$ generates a
- $f \bullet a = \left(\sum_{j=0}^{m} c_j \, a_{i+j} \right)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} = (0)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} = 0 \in \mathbb{V}^{\mathbb{N}}$
- $\mathbb{V}^{\mathbb{N}}$ is an $\mathbb{F}[\lambda]$-module

Minimal Generating Polynomial

- If $f \bullet a = 0$ and $g \in \mathbb{F}[\lambda]$, then

$$(g\, f) \bullet a = g \bullet (f \bullet a) = g \bullet 0 = 0$$

- $\{f \in \mathbb{F}[\lambda] \mid f \bullet a = 0\}$ is an ideal
- $\mathbb{F}[\lambda]$ is a principal ideal domain
- There exists a unique monic generator of minimal degree, the *minimal generating polynomial* of sequence
- Minimal polynomial divides all generating polynomials

Example: Fibonacci Numbers

- $(a_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} = (0, 1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 13, 21, 34, \dots)$
- Minimal polynomial is $f = \lambda^2 - \lambda - 1$
  - $a_{i+2} = a_{i+1} + a_i$
- $(\lambda + 1) f = \lambda^3 - 2\lambda - 1$ also generates a
  - $a_{i+3} = 2a_{i+1} + a_i$
- $\lambda^k f = \lambda^{k+2} - \lambda^{k+1} - \lambda^k$ also generates a
  - $a_{i+k+2} = a_{i+k+1} + a_{i+k}$
  - Skips first k elements of a

Matrix Power Sequence

- $f \bullet (A^i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \iff f(A) = 0$
- $\det(\lambda I - A)$ generates $(A^i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$
  - Cayley-Hamilton Theorem
- Let f^A be minimal polynomial of $(A^i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$
  - $f^A \mid \det(\lambda I - A)$

Krylov Sequence

- $f \bullet (A^i v)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \iff f(A)\, v = 0$
- $f(A) = 0 \implies f(A)\, v = 0$
- $\{f \mid f \bullet (A^i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} = 0\} \subset \{f \mid f \bullet (A^i v)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} = 0\}$
- Let $f^{A,v}$ be minimal polynomial of $(A^i v)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$
  - $f^{A,v} \mid f^A \mid \det(\lambda I - A)$

Solving $Ax = b$

- Suppose $g = \sum_{j=0}^{m} c_j \, \lambda^j$, $g(0) = c_0 \neq 0$, and $g \bullet (A^i b)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$
- If g exists, then $f^{A,b}$ satisfies requirements
- $c_0 \, b + c_1 \, A \, b + \cdots + c_m \, A^m \, b = 0$
- $b = -\frac{1}{c_0} \sum_{j=1}^{m} (A^j \, b) = A \left(-\frac{1}{c_0} \sum_{j=1}^{m} (c_j \, A^{j-1} \, b) \right)$
- $x = -\frac{1}{c_0} \sum_{j=1}^{m} (c_j \, A^{j-1} \, b)$ is a solution

Nonsingular A

- $\det(A) \neq 0$
- $\det(\lambda I - A)|_{\lambda=0} \neq 0$
- $f^{A,b}(0) \neq 0$
- $x = -\frac{1}{c_0} \sum_{j=1}^{m} (c_j \; A^{j-1} \; b)$ is the unique solution

Bilinear Projection Sequence

- $f \bullet (u^{\mathsf{T}} A^i v)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \iff u^{\mathsf{T}} f(A)\, v = 0$
- $f(A)\, v = 0 \implies u^{\mathsf{T}} f(A)\, v = 0$
- $\{f \mid f \bullet (A^i v)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} = 0\} \subset \{f \mid f \bullet (u^{\mathsf{T}} A^i v)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} = 0\}$
- Let $f_u^{A,v}$ be minimal polynomial of $(u^{\mathsf{T}} A^i v)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$
  - $f_u^{A,v} \mid f^{A,v} \mid f^A \mid \det(\lambda I - A)$
- If u chosen randomly from finite $S^n \subset \mathbb{F}^n$, then $f_u^{A,v} = f^{A,v}$ with probability at least

$$1 - \frac{\deg(f^{A,v})}{|S|}$$

  - Certificate: $f_u^{A,v} \bullet (A^i v)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} = 0$

Computing Minimal Polynomial

- Must know $\deg(f) < M$
- Extended Euclidean Algorithm
  - $s_j\ f_{-1} + t_j\ f_0 = f_j$
  - Inputs are $f_{-1}(\lambda) = \sum_{i=0}^{2M-1}(a_i\ \lambda^i)$ and $f_0(\lambda) = \lambda^{2M}$
  - Stop when $\deg(f_j) < M < \deg(f_{j-1})$
  - Minimal polynomial is reversal of $s_j(\lambda)$
- Berlekamp-Massey Algorithm
  - From coding theory
  - Interpolates elements of scalar sequence
  - Related to Extended Euclidean Algorithm

Berlekamp-Massey Algorithm

Input: Scalar sequence $(a_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{N}}$ with generator g with $\deg(g) \leq m$

Output: Minimal polynomial f of sequence

1: $f \leftarrow 1$ {Initial guess}
2: **for** $r = 0$ to $2m - 1$ **do** {f generates $a_0, \ldots, a_{r-1}$}
3: $f = c_0 + c_1 \lambda + \cdots + c_d \lambda^d$
4: $\Delta \leftarrow c_0 a_{r-d} + c_1 a_{r-d+1} + \cdots + c_d a_r$
5: **if** $\Delta \neq 0$ **then** {f does not generate $a_0, \ldots, a_r$}
6: update f to generate $a_0, \ldots, a_r$
7: **end if**
8: **end for**

Example: Fibonacci Numbers

$(a_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} = (0, 1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 13, 21, 34, \ldots)$

r	Δ	recursion relation
0	0	$a_j = 0$
1	1	$a_{j+2} = a_j$
2	1	$a_{j+2} = a_{j+1} + a_j$
3	0	$a_{j+2} = a_{j+1} + a_j$
4	0	$a_{j+2} = a_{j+1} + a_j$
5	0	$a_{j+2} = a_{j+1} + a_j$
6	0	$a_{j+2} = a_{j+1} + a_j$
7	0	$a_{j+2} = a_{j+1} + a_j$

Wiedemann's Algorithm

- $f_u^{A,v} \mid f^{A,v} \mid f^A \mid \det(\lambda I - A)$
- $\deg(f_u^{A,v}) \leq \deg(\det(\lambda I - A)) = n$
- Only need $(u^\mathsf{T} A^i b)_{i=0}^{2n-1}$

Require: nonsingular $A \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times n}$ and $b \in \mathbb{F}^n$

Ensure: $x \in \mathbb{F}^n$ such that $A\,x = b$

1: $u \leftarrow$ random vector in S^n where $S \subset \mathbb{F}$

2: use Berlekamp-Massey to compute

$f^{A,b} = c_0 + c_1\lambda + \cdots + c_m\lambda^m$ {Store only $A^i b$}

3: $x \leftarrow -\frac{1}{c_0}(c_1 b + c_2 A b + \cdots c_m A^{m-1} b)$

Back to original problem

- $$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & 0 & 3 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 3 & 3 & -2 & 3 \\ 0 & -3 & 0 & -2 \end{bmatrix} x = \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 2 \\ 6 \\ -4 \end{bmatrix}$$
- $n = 4$
- $u = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$

Back to original problem

- $(u^\intercal A^i b)_{i=0}^{2n-1} = (8, -4, 20, -28, 68, -124, 260, -508)$
- $f^{A,b} = f_u^{A,b} = \lambda^2 + \lambda - 2$
- $x = \frac{1}{2}(Ab + b) = \frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 2 \\ -6 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 2 \\ 6 \\ -4 \end{bmatrix}\right) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$

Singular A

Kaltofen and Saunders (1991):

- If
  - $r = \mathrm{rank}(A) < n$ known
  - $r \times r$ leading principal minor nonzero
  - Randomly choose v
  - Solve $r \times r$ nonsingular system $A_r y'_{b,v} = A'(b + A\,v)$
    - A_r is leading $r \times r$ submatrix of A
    - A' is first r rows of A
- Then $y_{b,v} - v = \begin{bmatrix} y'_{b,v} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} - v$ uniformly samples solution manifold

Precondition Matrix

- Want $r \times r$ leading principal minor of $\tilde{A}$ nonzero
- $\tilde{A} = BA$ or $\tilde{A} = AB$
- Need efficient matrix-vector product
- New linear system $\tilde{A}\tilde{x} = \tilde{b}$

Generic Rank Profile

- *Wiedemann (1986):* $\tilde{A} = A\,P$, P parameterized and can realize any permutation
- *Kaltofen and Saunders (1991):* $\tilde{A} = T_1\,A\,T_2$, T_1 unit upper triangular Toeplitz and T_2 unit lower triangular Toeplitz
- *Chen et al. (2002); Turner (2002):* $\tilde{A} = B_1\,A\,B_2$, B_1, B_2 based on butterfly networks



  - Generic exchange matrix mixes inputs, moving linear independence
- *Turner (2002):* Generalize butterfly networks to radix-β switches
  - *Turner (2004):* Toeplitz matrix switches
  - *Students:* Hankel matrix switches

Matrix Rank

Kaltofen and Saunders (1991):

- If
  - $r = \mathrm{rank}(A) < n$ (unknown)
  - Leading principal minors nonzero up to A_r
  - $D = \mathrm{diag}(d_1, \ldots, d_n)$
- Then $r = \deg(f^{AD}) - 1$ with probability at least $1 - \frac{n(n-1)}{2\,|S|}$

Matrix Minimal Polynomial

- If v chosen randomly, then $f^{A,v} = f^A$ with probability at least

$$1 - \frac{\deg(f^A)}{|S|} \geq 1 - \frac{n}{|S|}$$

- If u and v chosen randomly, then $f_u^{A,v} = f^A$ with probability at least

$$1 - \frac{\deg(2\, f^A)}{|S|} \geq 1 - \frac{2\, n}{|S|}$$

Rank Preconditioners

- Generic rank profile preconditioner and diagonal matrix
- *Chen et al. (2002):* $\tilde{A} = T_3 \, A \, T_4 \, T_5$

  T_3 and T_4 unit lower triangular Toeplitz, T_5 upper triangular Toeplitz
- *Turner (2002, 2003):* Relax slightly generic rank profile
  - *Turner (2004):* $\tilde{A} = A \, T \begin{bmatrix} d_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & d_n \end{bmatrix}$

    T Toeplitz
- *Turner (2004):* $\tilde{A} = A \, T$

Matrix Determinant

- If $f^A = \det(\lambda I - A)$
- Then $\det(A) = (-1)^n f^A(0)$

Determinant Preconditioners

- Generic rank profile preconditioner and diagonal matrix
- *Kaltofen and Pan (1992):* $\tilde{A} = T_1 \, A \, T_2$

  T_1 unit upper triangular Toeplitz and T_2 lower triangular Toeplitz
- *Chen et al. (2002):* $\tilde{A} = A \begin{bmatrix} d_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & d_n \end{bmatrix}$
- *Turner (2002, 2003):* $\tilde{A} = A \begin{bmatrix} 1 & a_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & 1 & a_{n-1} \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix}$

Other Work

- Krylov methods
- Sparse preconditioners for small fields
- Blocked algorithms
- Preconditioners with more structure

References

E. R. BERLEKAMP (1968). *Algebraic Coding Theory*. McGraw-Hill, New York.

L. CHEN, W. EBERLY, E. KALTOFEN, B. D. SAUNDERS, W. J. TURNER, and G. VILLARD (2002). Effi cient Matrix Preconditioners for Black Box Linear Algebra. *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, **343-344**: 119–146. Special issue on *Infinite Systems of Linear Equations Finitely Specified*.

J. L. DORNSTETTER (1987). On the Equivalence Between Berlekamp's and Euclid's Algorithms. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, **IT-33**(3): 428–431.

J. VON ZUR GATHEN and J. GERHARD (1999). *Modern Computer Algebra*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.

E. KALTOFEN (1992). Effi cient Solution of Sparse Linear Systems. Lecture notes, Computer Science Department, Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute, Troy, N.Y.

E. KALTOFEN and V. PAN (1991). Processor Effi cient Parallel Solution of Linear Systems over an Abstract Field. In *Proceedings of SPAA '91 3rd Annual ACM Symposium on Parallel Algorithms and Architectures*, 180–191. ACM Press, New York, New York.

E. KALTOFEN and V. PAN (1992). Processor Effi cient Parallel Solution of Linear Systems II: the Positive Characteristic and Singular Cases. In *Proceedings of the 33rd Annual Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science*, 714–723. IEEE Computer Society Press, Los Alamitos, California.

E. KALTOFEN and B. D. SAUNDERS (1991). On Wiedemann's Method of Solving Sparse Linear Systems. In H. F. MATTSON, T. MORA, and T. R. N. RAO (eds.), *AAECC-9: Proceedings of the 1991 Applied Algebra, Algebraic Algorithms and Error-Correcting Codes, International Conference*, vol. 539 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, 29–38. Springer Verlag, Heidelberg, Germany.

J. L. MASSEY (1969). Shift-Register Synthesis and BCH Decoding. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, **IT-15**(1): 122–127.

J. T. SCHWARTZ (1980). Fast Probabilistic Algorithms for Verifi cation of Polynomial Identities. *J. ACM*, **27**: 701–717.

W. J. TURNER (2002). *Black Box Linear Algebra with the LinBox Library*. Ph.D. thesis, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, North Carolina.

W. J. TURNER (2003). Determinantal Divisors and Matrix Preconditioners. Submitted to Journal of Symbolic Computation.

W. J. TURNER (2004). Preconditioners for Singular Black Box Matrices. Work in progress.

D. H. WIEDEMANN (1986). Solving Sparse Linear Equations Over Finite Fields. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, IT-**32**(1): 54–62.

R. ZIPPEL (1979). Probabilistic Algorithms for Sparse Polynomials. In E. W. NG (ed.), *Symbolic and Algebraic Computation, EUROSAM '79, An International Symposiumon Symbolic and Algebraic Computation, Marseille, France, June 1979, Proceedings*, vol. 72 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, 216–226. Springer Verlag, Heidelberg, Germany.

R. ZIPPEL (1990). Interpolating Polynomials from Their Values. *Journal of Symbolic Computation*, **9**(3): 375–403.