

Overview

Basic system

Exploitation and planning rules		Rules for closing gaps		rule
as a resource	as a goal	when to close	resources	goal
atomic sentence	IP		φ	φ
negation $\neg\varphi$	CR (if φ not atomic & goal is \perp)		φ and $\neg\varphi$	\perp
conjunction $\varphi \wedge \psi$	Ext		any	T
disjunction $\varphi \vee \psi$	PC		\perp	any
conditional $\varphi \rightarrow \psi$	RC (if goal is \perp)		any	$\tau = \nu$
universal $\forall x \theta x$	UI		$\tau \rightarrow \nu$	any
existential $\exists x \theta x$	PCh		$\tau \rightarrow \nu$	$\neg \tau = \nu$

Attachment rules		Rule for lemmas	
resource to be added	rule	prerequisite	rule
$\varphi \wedge \psi$	Adj	the goal is \perp	LFR
$\neg(\varphi \wedge \psi)$			
$\varphi \vee \psi$	Wk		
$\varphi \rightarrow \psi$			
$\tau = \nu$	CE		
$\theta v_1 \dots v_n$	Cng		
$\exists x \theta x$	EG		

Detachment rules (optional)		rule
required resources	auxiliary	
$\neg(\varphi \wedge \psi)$	φ or ψ	MPT
$\varphi \vee \psi$	$\neg\varphi$ or $\neg\psi$	MTP
$\varphi \rightarrow \psi$	φ	MPP
	$\neg\psi$	MTT

In addition, if the conditions for applying a rule are met except for differences between co-aliases, then the rule can be applied and is notated by adding “=”, QED= and Nc= are examples of this.

Additional rules

Attachment rules		Rule for lemmas	
resource to be added	rule	prerequisite	rule
$\varphi \wedge \psi$	Adj	the goal is \perp	LFR
$\neg(\varphi \wedge \psi)$			
$\varphi \vee \psi$	Wk		
$\varphi \rightarrow \psi$			
$\tau = \nu$	CE		
$\theta v_1 \dots v_n$	Cng		
$\exists x \theta x$	EG		

Derivation rules

Basic system		Rules for developing gaps	
logical form	as resource	as resource	as goal
atomic sentence	no rule		Indirect Proof (IP)
negation $\neg\varphi$	Completing the reductio (CR)	Modus ponendo tollens (MPT)	Reductio ad absurdum (RAA)
	Extraction (Ext)	Conjunction (Cnj)	

Rules for developing gaps

logical form	as resource	as goal
	<p>Proof by Cases (PC)</p> $\frac{\dots \vdash \varphi \vee \psi \quad \dots \vdash \varphi \rightarrow \psi \quad \dots \vdash \psi \rightarrow \psi}{\dots \vdash \psi} \quad n \text{ PC}$	<p>Proof of Exhaustion (PE)</p> $\frac{\dots \vdash \varphi \vee \psi \quad \dots \vdash \varphi \rightarrow \psi \quad \dots \vdash \psi \rightarrow \psi}{\dots \vdash \psi} \quad n \text{ PE}$ <p>OR</p> $\frac{\dots \vdash \varphi \vee \psi \quad \dots \vdash \varphi \rightarrow \psi \quad \dots \vdash \psi \rightarrow \psi}{\dots \vdash \psi} \quad n \text{ PE}$
disjunction $\varphi \vee \psi$	<p>Modus Tollendo Ponens (MTP)</p> $\frac{\dots \vdash \varphi \vee \psi \quad \dots \vdash \neg \varphi \quad \dots \vdash \psi}{\dots \vdash \psi} \quad n \text{ MTP}$	$\frac{\dots \vdash \varphi \vee \psi \quad \dots \vdash \varphi \rightarrow \psi \quad \dots \vdash \psi \rightarrow \psi}{\dots \vdash \psi} \quad n \text{ PE}$
	<p>Modus Tollendo Ponens (MTP)</p> $\frac{\dots \vdash \varphi \vee \psi \quad \dots \vdash \neg \varphi \quad \dots \vdash \psi}{\dots \vdash \psi} \quad n \text{ MTP}$	$\frac{\dots \vdash \varphi \vee \psi \quad \dots \vdash \varphi \rightarrow \psi \quad \dots \vdash \psi \rightarrow \psi}{\dots \vdash \psi} \quad n \text{ PE}$
	<p>Rejecting a Conditional (RC)</p> $\frac{\dots \vdash \varphi \rightarrow \psi \quad \dots \vdash \varphi \quad \dots \vdash \neg \psi}{\dots \vdash \perp} \quad n \text{ RC}$	<p>Conditional Proof (CP)</p> $\frac{\dots \vdash \varphi \rightarrow \psi \quad \dots \vdash \varphi \rightarrow \psi}{\dots \vdash \psi} \quad n \text{ CP}$
conditional $\varphi \rightarrow \psi$	<p>Modus Ponendo Ponens (MPP)</p> $\frac{\dots \vdash \varphi \rightarrow \psi \quad \dots \vdash \varphi \quad \dots \vdash \psi}{\dots \vdash \psi} \quad n \text{ MPP}$	
	<p>Modus Tollendo Tollens (MTT)</p> $\frac{\dots \vdash \varphi \rightarrow \psi \quad \dots \vdash \neg \psi \quad \dots \vdash \neg \varphi}{\dots \vdash \perp} \quad n \text{ MTT}$	

Attachment rules

what is required	added resource	rule
τ and υ are co-aliases	$\tau = \upsilon$	<p>Co-alias Equation (CE)</p> $\frac{\dots \vdash \tau \text{ and } \upsilon \text{ are co-aliases} \quad \dots \vdash \varphi}{\dots \vdash \varphi} \quad n \text{ CE}$
have co-alias relations $\tau_1 \rightarrow \upsilon_1, \dots, \tau_n \rightarrow \upsilon_n$ and $\theta \tau_1 \dots \tau_n$ is available	$\theta \upsilon_1 \dots \upsilon_n$	<p>Congruence (Cng)</p> $\frac{\dots \vdash \tau_1 \dots \tau_n \quad \dots \vdash \theta \tau_1 \dots \tau_n \quad \dots \vdash \theta \upsilon_1 \dots \upsilon_n}{\dots \vdash \theta \tau_1 \dots \tau_n} \quad n \text{ Cng}$
$\theta \tau$ is available	$\exists x \theta x$	<p>Existential Generalization (EG)</p> $\frac{\dots \vdash \theta \tau \quad \dots \vdash \theta \tau}{\dots \vdash \exists x \theta x} \quad n \text{ EG}$

Rule for lemmas

prerequisite	rule
Lemma for Reductio (LFR)	$\frac{\dots \vdash \perp \quad \dots \vdash \perp}{\dots \vdash \perp} \quad n \text{ LFR}$

the goal is \perp

Additional rules (not guaranteed to be progressive)

Attachment rules	
what is required	added resource
φ and ψ are both available	<p>Adjunction (Adj)</p> $\frac{\dots \varphi \text{ [available]} \quad \dots \psi \text{ [available]} \quad \dots}{\dots \varphi \wedge \psi \quad \dots} \rightarrow n \text{ Adj} \quad \dots \frac{\dots \varphi \wedge \psi \quad \dots}{\dots} \chi$
$\neg^{\pm} \varphi$ or $\neg^{\pm} \psi$ is available	<p>Weakening (Wk)</p> $\frac{\dots \neg^{\pm} \varphi \text{ [available]} \quad \dots}{\dots} \rightarrow n \text{ Wk} \quad \dots \frac{\dots \neg^{\pm} \psi \text{ [available]} \quad \dots}{\dots} \rightarrow n \text{ Wk} \quad \dots$ $\frac{\dots \neg^{\pm} \varphi \quad \dots \neg^{\pm} \psi \quad \dots}{\dots \neg^{\pm} (\varphi \wedge \psi) \quad \dots} \chi \quad \dots \frac{\dots \neg^{\pm} \varphi \quad \dots \neg^{\pm} \psi \quad \dots}{\dots \neg^{\pm} (\varphi \wedge \psi) \quad \dots} \chi$
φ or ψ is available	$\frac{\dots \varphi \text{ [available]} \quad \dots}{\dots} \rightarrow n \text{ Wk} \quad \dots \frac{\dots \psi \text{ [available]} \quad \dots}{\dots} \rightarrow n \text{ Wk} \quad \dots$ $\frac{\dots \varphi \quad \dots \psi \quad \dots}{\dots \varphi \vee \psi \quad \dots} \chi \quad \dots \frac{\dots \varphi \quad \dots \psi \quad \dots}{\dots \varphi \vee \psi \quad \dots} \chi$
$\neg^{\pm} \varphi$ or ψ is available	$\frac{\dots \neg^{\pm} \varphi \text{ [available]} \quad \dots}{\dots} \rightarrow n \text{ Wk} \quad \dots \frac{\dots \psi \text{ [available]} \quad \dots}{\dots} \rightarrow n \text{ Wk} \quad \dots$ $\frac{\dots \neg^{\pm} \varphi \quad \dots \psi \quad \dots}{\dots \varphi \rightarrow \psi \quad \dots} \chi \quad \dots \frac{\dots \neg^{\pm} \varphi \quad \dots \psi \quad \dots}{\dots \varphi \rightarrow \psi \quad \dots} \chi$

Rules for developing gaps		
logical form	as resource	as goal
universal $\forall x \theta x$	<p>Universal Instantiation (UI)</p> $\frac{\dots \forall x \theta x \quad \dots}{\dots \theta a \quad \dots} \rightarrow n \text{ UI} \quad \dots \frac{\dots \theta a \quad \dots}{\dots} \varphi$	<p>Universal Generalization (UG)</p> $\frac{\dots \theta a \quad \dots}{\dots \forall x \theta x \quad \dots} \rightarrow n \text{ UG} \quad \dots$
existential $\exists x \theta x$	<p>Proof by Choice (PCh)</p> $\frac{\dots \exists x \theta x \quad \dots}{\dots \theta a \quad \dots} \rightarrow n \text{ PCh} \quad \dots \frac{\dots \theta a \quad \dots}{\dots} \varphi$	<p>Non-constructive Proof (NcP)</p> $\frac{\dots \exists x \theta x \quad \dots}{\dots \forall x \neg^{\pm} \theta x \quad \dots} \rightarrow n \text{ NcP} \quad \dots$

The parameter a used in UG and PCh should be new to the derivation; that is, it should appear only to the right of the scope line it labels

Rules for closing gaps (truth-functional logic)	
when to close resources : goal	rule
ϕ	<i>Quod Erat Demonstrandum</i> (QED) $\begin{array}{ l l } \hline \phi \text{ [available]} \\ \dots \\ \hline \phi \\ \dots \\ \hline \end{array} \rightarrow \begin{array}{ l l } \hline n \text{ QED} \\ \dots \\ \hline \phi \\ \dots \\ \hline \end{array}$
ϕ and $\neg \phi$	Non-contradiction (Nc) $\begin{array}{ l l } \hline \dots \\ \hline \neg \phi \text{ [available]} \\ \dots \\ \hline \phi \text{ [available]} \\ \dots \\ \hline \end{array} \rightarrow \begin{array}{ l l } \hline \dots \\ \hline \neg \phi \\ \dots \\ \hline \phi \\ \dots \\ \hline \end{array}$ <i>Ex Nihilo Verum</i> (ENV) $\begin{array}{ l l } \hline \dots \\ \hline \top \\ \dots \\ \hline \end{array} \rightarrow \begin{array}{ l l } \hline n \text{ ENV} \\ \dots \\ \hline \top \\ \dots \\ \hline \end{array}$
\perp	<i>Ex Falso Quodlibet</i> (EFQ) $\begin{array}{ l l } \hline \dots \\ \hline \perp \\ \dots \\ \hline \phi \\ \dots \\ \hline \end{array} \rightarrow \begin{array}{ l l } \hline \dots \\ \hline \perp \\ \dots \\ \hline \phi \\ \dots \\ \hline \end{array} (n)$ $\begin{array}{ l l } \hline \dots \\ \hline \perp \\ \dots \\ \hline \phi \\ \dots \\ \hline \end{array} \rightarrow \begin{array}{ l l } \hline n \text{ EFQ} \\ \dots \\ \hline \phi \\ \dots \\ \hline \end{array}$

Rules for closing gaps (equations)	
when to close resources : goal	rule
$\tau \rightarrow \perp$	<i>Equated Co-aliases</i> (EC) $\begin{array}{ l l } \hline \dots \\ \hline [\tau \text{ and } \nu \text{ are co-aliases}] \\ \dots \\ \hline \tau = \nu \\ \dots \\ \hline \end{array} \rightarrow \begin{array}{ l l } \hline n \text{ EC} \\ \dots \\ \hline \tau = \nu \\ \dots \\ \hline \end{array}$
$\tau \rightarrow \perp$	<i>Distinguished Co-aliases</i> (DC) $\begin{array}{ l l } \hline \dots \\ \hline [\tau \text{ and } \nu \text{ are co-aliases}] \\ \dots \\ \hline \neg \tau = \nu \\ \dots \\ \hline \end{array} \rightarrow \begin{array}{ l l } \hline \dots \\ \hline \neg \tau = \nu \\ \dots \\ \hline \tau = \nu \\ \dots \\ \hline \end{array} (n)$ $\begin{array}{ l l } \hline \dots \\ \hline \perp \\ \dots \\ \hline \end{array} \rightarrow \begin{array}{ l l } \hline n \text{ DC} \\ \dots \\ \hline \perp \\ \dots \\ \hline \end{array}$
$\tau_1 \rightarrow \perp, \dots, \tau_n \rightarrow \perp$	<i>QED given equations</i> (QED=) $\begin{array}{ l l } \hline \dots \\ \hline [\text{have co-aliases relations: } \tau_1 \rightarrow \perp, \dots, \tau_n \rightarrow \perp] \\ \dots \\ \hline P\tau_1 \dots \tau_n \\ \dots \\ \hline P\nu_1 \dots \nu_n \\ \dots \\ \hline \end{array} \rightarrow \begin{array}{ l l } \hline \dots \\ \hline [\text{have co-aliases relations: } \tau_1 \rightarrow \perp, \dots, \tau_n \rightarrow \perp] \\ \dots \\ \hline P\tau_1 \dots \tau_n \\ \dots \\ \hline P\nu_1 \dots \nu_n \\ \dots \\ \hline \end{array} (n)$
$\tau_1 \rightarrow \perp, \dots, \tau_n \rightarrow \perp$	<i>Non-contradiction given equations</i> (Nc=) $\begin{array}{ l l } \hline \dots \\ \hline [\text{have co-aliases relations: } \tau_1 \rightarrow \perp, \dots, \tau_n \rightarrow \perp] \\ \dots \\ \hline P\tau_1 \dots \tau_n \\ \dots \\ \hline \neg P\nu_1 \dots \nu_n \\ \dots \\ \hline \end{array} \rightarrow \begin{array}{ l l } \hline \dots \\ \hline [\text{have co-aliases relations: } \tau_1 \rightarrow \perp, \dots, \tau_n \rightarrow \perp] \\ \dots \\ \hline P\tau_1 \dots \tau_n \\ \dots \\ \hline \neg P\nu_1 \dots \nu_n \\ \dots \\ \hline \end{array} (n)$

In addition to the following rules for closing gaps, if the conditions for applying any rule are met except for differences between co-aliases, then the rule can be applied and is notated by adding "≈" to its label; QED= and Nc= below are examples of this in the case of rules for closing gaps.