Phi 270 F09 test 3

Analyze the sentences below in as much detail as possible *using only connectives*; that is, the unanalyzed components should all be sentences (rather than individual terms, predicates, or functors). Present the result in *both symbolic and English notation*. Be sure that the unanalyzed components of your answer are complete and independent sentences; also try to respect any grouping in the English.

- 1. If the package was sent, then it was lost.
- 2. Al finished the project only if he had help; but he started it unless there was a rush order.

Use derivations to check whether each of the entailments below holds. You may use detachment and attachment rules. If an entailment fails, present a counterexample that divides an open gap.

- **3.** $A \rightarrow C, B \rightarrow \neg C \models A \rightarrow \neg B$
- 4. $B \rightarrow (A \rightarrow C) \vDash (B \land C) \rightarrow D$

Analyze the sentence below in as much detail as possible, giving a key to your abbreviations of unanalyzed expressions. In this case you *should* identify components that are individual terms, predicates, or functors; however, you do *not* need to present the result in English notation (i.e., symbolic notation is enough). Your analysis should be in reduced form (i.e., you *should not* use abstracts and variables), so be sure that the unanalyzed components of your answer are independent—in particular, that none contains a pronoun whose antecedent is in another. (Also be sure also that the individual terms you identify really are individual terms and are not quantifier phrases or general terms, like simple common nouns.)

5. Al sold his car to the first caller, and he bought Dave's truck.

Analyze the sentence below using abstracts and variables to represent pronominal cross reference (instead of replacing pronouns by their antecedents). That is, use expanded form to the extent necessary so that each individual term in your analysis appears only as often as it appears in the original sentence. In other respects, your analysis should be as described for **5**.

6. If Bill went to Chicago, then Ann didn't reach him.

Use a derivation to show that the entailment below holds. You may use detachment and attachment rules. Be sure to indicate the alias sets whenever an equation is added to the resources.

7. $a = fb, fc = d \models (b = c \land \neg Fc) \rightarrow (a = d \land \neg Fb)$

1. If the package was sent, then it was lost. the package was sent \rightarrow the package was lost $S \rightarrow L$

$\quad \text{if S then L} \\$

L: the package was lost; S: the package was sent

2. Al finished the project only if he had help; but he started it unless there was a rush order

Al finished the project only if he had help

 \wedge Al started the project unless there was a rush order

(\neg Al finished the project $\leftarrow \neg$ Al had help)

 \land (Al started the project $\leftarrow \neg$ there was a rush order)

$$(\neg F \leftarrow \neg H) \land (S \leftarrow \neg R)$$
$$(\neg H \rightarrow \neg F) \land (\neg R \rightarrow S)$$

F: Al finished the project; H: Al had help; R: there was a rush order; S: Al started the project

3.

$$\begin{array}{c|c}
A \to C & 2\\
B \to \neg C & 3\\
\hline A & (2)\\
\hline C & (3)\\
\neg B & (4)\\
\hline \bullet & \\
1 CP & A \to \neg B
\end{array}$$



The first interpretation divides the first dead end gap, and both divide the second. It is enough to reach one of the two dead ends and to present one counterexample that divides that gap.



6. If Bill went to Chicago, then Ann didn't reach him Bill is such that (if he went to Chicago, then Ann didn't reach him) [if x went to Chicago, then Ann didn't reach x], Bill [x went to Chicago \rightarrow Ann didn't reach x], b [x went to Chicago $\rightarrow \neg$ Ann reached x], b [[_went to] x Chicago $\rightarrow \neg$ [_reached] Ann x], b $[Wxc \rightarrow \neg Rax]_{v}b$ R: [_ reached _]; W: [_ went to _]; a: Ann; b: Bill; c: Chicago 7. a = fbfc = da-fb, b, fc-d, c $b = c \land \neg Fc$ 2 2 Ext 2 Ext a-fb-fc-d, b-c b = c¬ Fc (6)4 EC 3 a = d(6) 6 Nc =5 RAA 3 ¬ Fb 3 Cnj $a = d \land \neg Fb$ 1 1 CP $(b = c \land \neg Fc) \rightarrow (a = d \land \neg Fb)$

It is also possible to close the second gap at stage 5 using QED=.

4.

Phi 270 F08 test 3

Analyze the sentences below in as much detail as possible *using only connectives*; that is, the unanalyzed components should all be sentences (rather than individual terms, predicates, or functors). Present the result in *both symbolic and English notation*. Be sure that the unanalyzed components of your answer are complete and independent sentences; also try to respect any grouping in the English.

- 1. If John was invited, then he attended if he was free.
- 2. Unless we find the key, we'll get in only if we break the lock.

Use derivations to check whether each of the entailments below holds. You may use detachment and attachment rules. If an entailment fails, present a counterexample that divides an open gap.

3. $B \to C \vDash (A \land B) \to C$

 $\textbf{4.} \quad \neg \ (C \rightarrow D) \rightarrow (A \rightarrow B) \vDash A \rightarrow D$

Analyze the sentence below in as much detail as possible, giving a key to your abbreviations of unanalyzed expressions. In this case you *should* identify components that are individual terms, predicates, or functors; however, you do *not* need to present the result in English notation (i.e., symbolic notation is enough). Your analysis should be in reduced form (i.e., you *should not* use abstracts and variables), so be sure that the unanalyzed components of your answer are independent—in particular, that none contains a pronoun whose antecedent is in another. (Also be sure also that the individual terms you identify really are individual terms and are not quantifier phrases or general terms, like simple common nouns.)

5. Sam wrote to Linda, and she sent his book to him.

Analyze the sentence below using abstracts and variables to represent pronominal cross reference (instead of replacing pronouns by their antecedents). That is, use expanded form to the extent necessary so that each individual term in your analysis appears only as often as it appears in the original sentence. In other respects, your analysis should be as described for **5**.

6. The rock hit the road, but it didn't hit Oscar.

Use a derivation to show that the entailment below holds. You may use detachment and attachment rules. Be sure to indicate the alias sets whenever an equation is added to the resources.

7. Ra(fb), fa = gb \vDash a = b \rightarrow (Rb(ga) \land fb = gb)

1. If John was invited, then he attended if he was free John was invited \rightarrow John attended if he was free John was invited \rightarrow (John attended \leftarrow John was free) $I \rightarrow (A \leftarrow F)$

$$I \rightarrow (F \rightarrow A)$$

if I then if F then A

A: John attended; F: John was free; I: John was invited

$$\neg F \rightarrow (\neg G \leftarrow \neg B)$$
$$\neg F \rightarrow (\neg B \rightarrow \neg G)$$

B: we'll break the lock; F: we will find the key; G: we'll get in



4.

The first two interpretations divide the first dead end gap, and the last two divide the second. It is enough to reach one of the two dead ends and to present one of the two counterexamples that divide that gap.

5. Sam wrote to Linda, and she sent his book to him

Sam wrote to Linda \wedge Linda sent Sam's book to him

Sam wrote to Linda \wedge Linda sent Sam's book to Sam

Wsl \land Sl(bs)s

S: [_ sent _ to _]; W: [_ wrote to _]; b: [_'s book]; l: Linda; s: Sam

6. The rock hit the road, but it didn't hit Oscar The rock is such that (it hit the road, but it didn't hit Oscar) [x hit the road, but x didn't hit Oscar]_x the rock [x hit the road \land x didn't hit Oscar]_x the rock [x hit the road \land x didn't hit Oscar]_x the rock [x hit the road \land ¬ x hit Oscar]_x the rock [Hxr \land ¬ Hxo]_xk H: [_ hit_]; k: the rock; o: Oscar; r: the road



Phi 270 F06 test 3

Analyze the sentences below in as much detail as possible *using only connectives*; that is, the unanalyzed components should all be sentences (rather than individual terms, predicates, or functors). Present the result in *both symbolic and English notation*. Be sure that the unanalyzed components of your answer are complete and independent sentences; also try to respect any grouping in the English.

- 1. There was an audience if there was food.
- 2. Sam went unless he had to work, but he enjoyed the ride only if the weather was good.

Use derivations to check whether each of the entailments below holds. You may use detachment and attachment rules. If an entailment fails, present a counterexample that divides an open gap.

- **3.** $C \rightarrow (B \rightarrow A), C \rightarrow B \models C \rightarrow A$
- **4.** $A \rightarrow B, C \rightarrow D \models C \rightarrow (E \rightarrow \neg B)$

Analyze the sentence below in as much detail as possible, giving a key to your abbreviations of unanalyzed expressions. In this case you *should* identify components that are individual terms, predicates, or functors; however, you do *not* need to present the result in English notation (i.e., symbolic notation is enough). Your analysis should be in reduced form (i.e., you *should not* use abstracts and variables), so be sure that the unanalyzed components of your answer are independent—in particular, that none contains a pronoun whose antecedent is in another. (Also be sure also that the individual terms you identify really are individual terms and are not quantifier phrases or general terms, like simple common nouns.)

5. Nancy phoned Oliver and told him about his promotion.

Analyze the sentence using abstracts and variables to represent pronominal cross reference (instead of replacing pronouns by their antecedents). That is, each individual term in your analysis should appear only as often as it appears in the original sentence. In other respects, your analysis should be as described for **5**.

6. Spot finished chewing his bone, and he buried it in a flowerbed.

Use a derivation to show that the entailment below holds. You may use detachment and attachment rules. Be sure to indicate the alias sets whenever an equation is added to the resources.

7. Ra(fb) $\land \neg$ Rc(fd), fb = fc $\vDash \neg$ (a = c \land b = d)

 There was an audience if there was food there was an audience ← there was food

$$A \leftarrow F$$

 $F \rightarrow A$
if F then A

A: there was an audience; F: there was food

2. Sam went unless he had to work, but he enjoyed the ride only if the weather was good

Sam went unless he had to work \wedge Sam enjoyed the ride only if the weather was good

(Sam went $\leftarrow \neg$ Sam had to work) \land (\neg Sam enjoyed the ride $\leftarrow \neg$ the weather was good)

$$(N \leftarrow \neg R) \land (\neg E \leftarrow \neg G)$$
$$(\neg R \rightarrow N) \land (\neg G \leftarrow \neg E)$$

both if not R then N and if not G then not E

E: Sam enjoyed the ride; G: the weather was good; N: Sam went; R: Sam had to work

$$\begin{array}{c}
C \rightarrow (B \rightarrow A) & 2\\
C \rightarrow B & 3\\
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
2 \text{ MPP} \\
3 \text{ MPP} \\
4 \text{ MPP} \\
4 \text{ MPP} \\
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
C \\
B \rightarrow A & 4\\
B \\
A & (5) \\
\hline
\bullet \\
1 \text{ CP} \\
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
C \\
B \rightarrow A \\
A \\
C \\
\bullet \\
A \\
\end{array}$$

3.



Oliver's promotion

 $Pno \wedge Tno(['s promotion] Oliver)$

 $Pno \wedge Tno(po)$

P: [_ phoned _]; T: [_ told _ about _]; n: Nancy; o: Oliver; p: [_'s promotion]

6. Spot finished chewing his bone, and he buried it in a flowerbed Spot is such that (he finished chewing his bone, and he buried it in a flowerbed)
[x finished chewing x's bone, and x buried it in a flowerbed]_x <u>Spot</u>
[x's bone is such that (x finished chewing it, and x buried it in a flowerbed)]_xs
[[x finished chewing y, and x buried y in a flowerbed]_y <u>x's bone]_xs</u>
[[x finished chewing y ∧ x buried y in a flowerbed]_y([_'s bone] x)
]_xs
[[Cxy ∧ Bxy]_y(bx)]_xs
or: [[Cxy ∧ Bxy]_{xy}z(bz)]_zs

B: [_ buried _ in a flowerbed]; C: [_ finished chewing _]; b: [_'s bone]; s: Spot

(Note: a flowerbed is not an individual term so it must remain unanalyzed as part of a predicate)



Phi 270 F05 test 3

Analyze the sentences below in as much detail as possible *using only connectives;* that is, the unanalyzed components should all be sentences (rather than individual terms, predicates, or functors). Present the result in *both symbolic and English notation*. Be sure that the unanalyzed components of your answer are complete and independent sentences; also try to respect any grouping in the English.

- 1. If the part was fixed, it broke again.
- 2. Unless Tom was early, he got in only if he paid extra.

Use derivations to check whether each of the entailments below holds. You may use detachment and attachment rules. If an entailment fails, present a counterexample that divides an open gap.

3. $A \rightarrow (B \rightarrow C), C \rightarrow D \models B \rightarrow (A \rightarrow D)$

4. $(C \land A) \rightarrow B \vDash (A \land B) \rightarrow C$

Analyze the sentence below in as much detail as possible, giving a key to your abbreviations of unanalyzed expressions. In this case you *should* identify components that are individual terms, predicates, or functors; however, you do not need to present the result in English notation (i.e., symbolic notation is enough). (Be sure that the unanalyzed components of your answer are independent—in particular, that none contains a pronoun whose antecedent is in another—and be sure also that the individual terms you identify really are individual terms rather than general terms or quantifier phrases.)

- 5. Either Fred is the manager or he owns the business.
- 6. Sam received a recall notice from the manufacturer of his car.

Use a derivation to show that the entailment below holds. You may use detachment and attachment rules. (Be sure to indicate the alias sets whenever an equation is added to the resources.)

7. Rb(fa), fb = gc, c = fb, d = gc \models c = d \land (a = b \rightarrow Ra(gd))

1. If the part was fixed, it broke again the part was fixed \rightarrow the part broke again $F \rightarrow B$

if F then B

B: the part broke again; F: the part was fixed

2. Unless Tom was early, he got in only if he paid extra \neg Tom was early \rightarrow Tom got in only if he paid extra \neg Tom was early \rightarrow (\neg Tom got in \leftarrow \neg Tom paid extra) \neg T \rightarrow (\neg G \leftarrow \neg P) \neg T \rightarrow (\neg P \rightarrow \neg G) if not T then if not P then not G G: Tom eat in: P: Tom paid extra: T: Tom was early







 $f = m \lor Ofb$

O: [_ owns _]; b: the business; f: Fred; m: the manager



4.

Phi 270 F04 test 3

Analyze the sentences below in as much detail as possible *using only connectives*; that is, the unanalyzed components should all be sentences (rather than individual terms, predicates, or functors). Present the result in *both symbolic and English notation*. Be sure that the unanalyzed components of your answer are complete and independent sentences; also try to respect any grouping in the English.

- 1. Dan wasn't home unless it was a holiday.
- 2. If ten days had passed, then the return was accepted only if the item was damaged.

Use derivations to check whether each of the entailments below holds. You may use detachment and attachment rules. If an entailment fails, present a counterexample that divides an open gap.

- **3.** $A \rightarrow (B \rightarrow \neg C) \models C \rightarrow (B \rightarrow \neg A)$
- $\textbf{4.} \quad A \to B \vDash B \to C$

Analyze the sentence below in as much detail as possible, giving a key to your abbreviations of unanalyzed expressions. In this case you *should*identify components that are individual terms, predicates, or functors; however, you do not need to present the result in English notation (i.e., symbolic notation is enough). (Be sure that the unanalyzed components of your answer are independent—in particular, that none contains a pronoun whose antecedent is in another—and be sure also that the individual terms you identify really are individual terms rather than general terms or quantifier phrases.)

- 5. Ann called Bill and he picked her up at the garage.
- 6. If Carol's father is Dave's boss, then she has either met Dave or heard her father speak of him.

Use a derivation to show that the entailment below holds. You may use detachment and attachment rules. (Be sure to indicate the alias sets at each stage when they change.)

7. $a = fc, b = fd, Rac \models c = d \rightarrow Rbd$

1. Dan wasn't home unless it was a holiday Dan wasn't home $\leftarrow \neg$ it was a holiday \neg Dan was home $\leftarrow \neg$ it was a holiday $\neg H \leftarrow \neg D$ $\neg D \rightarrow \neg H$ if not D then not H

H: Dan was home; D: it was a holiday

2. If ten days had passed, then the return was accepted only if the item was damaged

ten days had passed \rightarrow the return was accepted only if the item was damaged

ten days had passed \rightarrow (¬ the return was accepted \leftarrow ¬ the item was damaged)

$$T \rightarrow (\neg A \leftarrow \neg D)$$
$$T \rightarrow (\neg D \rightarrow \neg A)$$

if T then if not D then not A

T: ten days had passed; D: the item was damaged; A: the return was accepted





4.

The first row divides the second gap and the second row divides both

5. Ann called Bill and he picked her up at the garage <u>Ann</u> called <u>Bill</u> ^ <u>Bill</u> picked <u>Ann</u> up at <u>the garage</u> [_ called _] <u>Ann Bill</u> ^ [_ picked _ up at _] <u>Bill</u> <u>Ann the garage</u>

 $Cab \wedge Pbag$

C: [_ called _]; P: [_ picked _ up at _]; a: Ann; b: Bill; g: the garage

6. If Carol's father is Dave's boss, then she has either met Dave or heard her father speak of him Carol's father is Dave's boss \rightarrow Carol has either met Dave or heard her father speak of him <u>Carol's father = Dave's boss</u> \rightarrow (Carol has met Dave \lor Carol has heard her father speak of Dave) [_'s father] <u>Carol</u> = [_'s boss] <u>Dave</u> \rightarrow (Carol has met <u>Dave</u> \lor <u>Carol</u> has heard <u>Carol's father</u> speak of Dave) $fc = bd \rightarrow ([has met] Carol Dave$ v [_ has heard _ speak of _] Carol Carol's father Dave) $fc = bd \rightarrow (Mcd \lor Hc(fc)d)$ M: [_ has met _]; H: [_ has heard _ speak of _]; f: [_'s father]; b: [_'s boss]; c: Carol; d: Dave 7. a = fcb = fda-fc, b-fd, c, d Rac (2)a-fc-b-fd, c-d c = d2 QED =Rbd 1 1 CP $c = d \rightarrow Rbd$

Phi 270 F03 test 3

Analyze the sentences below in as much detail as possible *using only connectives*; that is, you *should not* identify components that are individual terms (or predicates or functors). Present the result in *both symbolic and English notation*. Be sure that the unanalyzed components of your answer are complete and independent sentences; also try to respect any grouping in the English.

1. If it was cloudy, Bob didn't see the eclipse

2. Unless the lock is broken, you can get in only if you have a key Use derivations to checkwhether each of the entailments below holds. You may use detachment and attachment rules. If an entailment fails, present a counterexamplethat divides an open gap.

- **3.** A $\rightarrow \neg C, B \rightarrow C \vDash A \rightarrow \neg B$
- $\textbf{4.} \quad (A \land B) \ \rightarrow C \vDash B \ \rightarrow (\neg C \ \rightarrow A)$

Analyze the sentence below in as much detail as possible. In this case you should identify components that are individual terms, predicates, or functors. Be sure that the unanalyzed components of your answer are independent (in particular, that none contains a pronoun whose antecedent is in another).

- 5. If Sam asked Tom to drive him to the meeting, then he is the person who called earlier
- 6. Dave's father called the mother of the child who hit him

Use a derivation to show that the entailment below holds. You may use detachment and attachment rules.

7. $a = b \land Rac \vDash fa = c \rightarrow Rb(fb)$

Phi 270 F03 test 3 answers

1. If it was cloudy, Bob didn't see the eclipse it was cloudy \rightarrow Bob didn't see the eclipse it was cloudy $\rightarrow \neg$ Bob saw the eclipse $C \rightarrow \neg S$ if C then not S

C: it was cloudy; S: Bob saw the eclipse

Unless the lock is broken, you can get in only if you have a key ¬ the lock is broken → you can get in only if you have a key ¬ the lock is broken → (¬ you can get in ← ¬ you have a key) ¬ B → (¬ G ← ¬ K) ¬ B → (¬ K → ¬ G) if not B then if not K then not G
B: the lock is broken; G: you can get in; K: you have a key

3.		$\begin{array}{ccc} A \rightarrow \neg C & 2 \\ B \rightarrow C & 3 \end{array}$	
		A (2)	
	2 MPP 3 MTT	$ \begin{array}{c} \neg C & (3) \\ \neg B & (4) \end{array} $	
	4 QED		
	1 CP	$A \rightarrow \neg B$	
4.		$(A \land B) \rightarrow C$	3
		В	(4)
		∏¬C	(3)
	3 MTT 4 MPT	$ \neg (A \land B) \\ \neg A $	4
			¬ A, B, ¬ C ⊭ ⊥ 5
	5 IP	A	2
	2 CP	$\neg C \rightarrow A$	1
	1 CP	$B \to (\neg C \to A)$	
	ABC($A \wedge B) \rightarrow C / B \rightarrow$	$(\neg C \rightarrow A)$
	FTF	F T ®	T F

5. If Sam asked Tom to drive him to the meeting, then he is the person who called earlier Sam asked Tom to drive him to the meeting \rightarrow Sam is the person who called earlier $[_$ asked $_$ to drive $_$ to $_$] Sam Tom Sam the meeting \rightarrow Sam = the person who called earlier Astsm \rightarrow s = p A: [_ asked _ to drive _ to _]; m: the meeting; p: the person who called earlier; s: Sam; t: Tom Dave's father called the mother of the child who hit him 6. [_ called _] Dave's father the mother of the child who hit Dave C([_'s father] Dave)([the mother of _](the child who hit Dave)) C(fd)(m([the child who hit _]d)) C(fd)(m(hd))C: [_ called _]; d: Dave; f: [_'s father]; h: [the child who hit _]; m: [the mother of] 7. $a = b \land Rac$ 1 1 Ext a = ba-b. c. fa-fb

(3)

2

a-b, c-fa-fb

1 Ext

3 OED =

2 CP

Rac

fa = c

Rb(fb)

 $fa = c \rightarrow Rb(fb)$

Phi 270 F02 test 3

Analyze the sentences below in as much detail as possible *using connectives*; that is, you *should not* identify components that are individual terms (or predicates or functors). Present the result in *both symbolic and English notation*. Be sure that the unanalyzed components of your answer are complete and independent sentences; also try to respect any grouping in the English.

- 1. They'll be here soon unless they had car trouble
- 2. If it snowed, then the schools were open only if the plows got out early.

Use derivations to check whether each of the entailments below holds. You may use detachment and attachment rules. If an entailment fails, present a counterexample that divides an open gap.

- 3. $A \rightarrow (\neg B \rightarrow C) \models \neg C \rightarrow (A \rightarrow B)$
- **4.** $A \rightarrow (\neg B \rightarrow C) \models C \rightarrow (A \rightarrow B)$

Analyze the sentence below in as much detail as possible. In this case you *should* identify components that are individual terms, predicates, or functors. Be sure that the unanalyzed components of your answer are independent (in particular, that none contains a pronoun whose antecedent is in another).

5. Al is Bob's father and Bob works for him

Synthesize an English sentence with the following logical form:

6. Sa(mb) $\rightarrow \neg$ S(ma)b

S: [_ went to school with _]; a: Al; b: Bob; m: [_'s mother]

Use a derivation to show that the entailment below holds. You may use detachment and attachment rules.

7. Fa \rightarrow C, Fb \models a = b \rightarrow C

Phi 270 F02 test 3 answers

1. They'll be here soon unless they had car trouble They'll be here soon $\leftarrow \neg$ they had car trouble $S \leftarrow \neg T [or: \neg T \rightarrow S]$

S: they'll be here soon; T: they had car trouble

2. If it snowed, then the schools were open only if the plows got out early

it snowed \rightarrow the schools were open only if the plows got out early it snowed \rightarrow (\neg the schools were open $\leftarrow \neg$ the plows got out early) $S \rightarrow (\neg O \leftarrow \neg E) [or: S \rightarrow (\neg E \rightarrow \neg O)]$

if S then if not E then not O

E: the plows got out early; O: the schools were open; S: it snowed

3. $A \rightarrow (\neg B \rightarrow C) \quad 3$ $\neg C$ (4)(3)А $\begin{array}{c} \neg & B \rightarrow C \\ B \end{array}$ 3 MPP 4 4 MTT (5)• в 5 QED 2 2 CP $A \rightarrow B$ 1 1 CP $\neg C \rightarrow (A \rightarrow B)$ 4. $A \rightarrow (\neg B \rightarrow C)$ 3 С (3) A $\neg B \rightarrow C$ 3 MPP 5 (5) ¬ B C O 5 MPP A, \neg B, C $\nvDash \bot$ I. 4 4 IP 2 В 2 CP $A \rightarrow B$ 1 $C \rightarrow (A \rightarrow B)$ 1 CP ТГТ T T 5. Al is Bob's father and Bob works for him Al is Bob's father \land Bob works for Al AI = Bob's father $\land [works for] Bob AI$ $a = [_'s father] Bob \land Wba$ $a = fb \wedge Wba$ W: [_works for _]; a: Al; b: Bob; f: [_'s father] 6. S Al ([_'s mother] Bob) $\rightarrow \neg$ S ([_'s mother] Al) Bob [_went to school with _] Al Bob's mother $\rightarrow \neg$ [_went to school with _] Al's mother Bob Al went to school with Bob's mother $\rightarrow \neg$ Al's mother went to school with Bob Al went to school with Bob's mother \rightarrow Al's mother didn't go to school with Bob If Al went to school with Bob's mother, then Al's mother didn't go to school with Bob $Fa \rightarrow C$ 3 (4) Fb a-b a = b¬ C (3) 3 MTT ¬ Fa (4) T 2 4 Nc =С 2 IP 1

7.

 $a = b \rightarrow C$

1 CP

Phi 270 F00 test 3

Analyze the sentences below in as much detail as possible *using connectives*; that is, you *should not* identify components that are individual terms (or predicates or functors). Present the result in *both symbolic and English notation*. Be sure that the unanalyzed components of your answer are complete and independent sentences; also try to respect any grouping in the English.

1. If it rains, you will get wet if you're outside

2. Al missed breakfast only if he overslept

Use derivations to check whether each of the entailments below holds. You may use detachment and attachment rules. If an entailment fails, present a counterexample that divides an open gap.

- 3. $A \rightarrow (B \rightarrow C) \models (A \rightarrow \neg C) \rightarrow (A \rightarrow \neg B)$
- $\textbf{4.} \quad \textbf{A} \rightarrow \textbf{B} \vDash \neg \textbf{A} \land \textbf{B}$

Analyze the sentence below in as much detail as possible. In this case you *should* identify components that are individual terms, predicates, or functors. Be sure that the unanalyzed components of your answer are independent (in particular, that none contains a pronoun whose antecedent is in another).

5. Unless Al is the file's owner, the system didn't let him open it

Expand the following sentence in all possible ways on each of the terms appearing in it (i.e., you need not use vacuous abstraction).

6. Tabc

Use a derivation to show that the entailment below holds. You may use detachment and attachment rules.

7. $A \rightarrow Ra(fb), Rb(fa) \rightarrow Ga \vDash A \rightarrow (\neg Gb \rightarrow \neg a = b)$

Phi 270 F00 test 3 answers

 it will rain → you will get wet if you're outside it will rain → (you will get wet ← you will be outside) R → (W ← O) [or: R → (O → W)] if R then if O then W O: you will be outside; R: it will rain; W: you will get wet
 ¬ Al missed breakfast ← ¬ Al overslept ¬ M ← ¬ O [or: ¬ O → ¬ M)] if not O then not M

M: Al missed breakfast; O:Al overslept







- 1. We won't have the material by Thursday unless the order goes in today.
- 2. If the power went out, they finished the job only if they had a generator.

Use derivations to check whether each of the entailments below holds. You may use detachment and attachment rules. If an entailment fails, present a counterexample that divides an open gap.

3.
$$A \rightarrow (\neg B \rightarrow C), C \rightarrow D \models A \rightarrow (\neg D \rightarrow B)$$

$$4. \quad (A \land B) \to (C \lor D) \vDash A \to C$$

Analyze the sentence below in as much detail as possible. In this case you should identify components that are individual terms, predicates, or functors. Be sure that the unanalyzed components of your answer are independent (in particular, that none contains a pronoun whose antecedent is in another).

5. Adam called Billy's mother and she is the owner of the dog. Expand the following sentence in all possible ways on each of the terms appearing in it (i.e., you need not use vacuous abstraction).

6. Rab \rightarrow Rbc

Use a derivation to show that the entailment below holds. You may use detachment and attachment rules.

7. $a = fb, Ra(fa) \models fb = c \rightarrow R(fb)(fc)$



 We won't have the material by Thursday unless the order goes in today

we won't have the material by Thursday $\leftarrow \neg$ the order will go in today

 \neg we will have the material by Thursday $\leftarrow \neg$ the order will go in today

$$\neg H \leftarrow \neg T [or: \neg T \rightarrow \neg H]$$

if not T then not H

H: we will have the material by Thursday; T: the order will go in today

2. If the power went out, they finished the job only if they had a generator

the power went out $\rightarrow \,$ they finished the job only if they had a generator

the power went out $\rightarrow (\neg \$ they finished the job $\leftarrow \neg$ they had a generator)

$$\begin{split} O & \to (\neg \ F \leftarrow \neg \ G) \ [\textit{or:} \ O \to (\neg \ G \to \neg \ F)] \\ & \text{if } O \text{ then if not } G \text{ then not } F \end{split}$$

F: they finished the job; G:they had a generator; O: the power went out



4.		$(A \land B) \to (C \lor D)$	3			
	A		(5)			
			(8)			
	5 QED	$ \begin{bmatrix} \bullet \\ A \\ \bullet \\$	4 A, ¬ B, ¬ C ≵	≠⊥		
			6			
	6 IP	В	4			
	4 Cnj	$A \wedge B$	3			
		C V D	8			
	8 MTP	D O	A, ¬ C, D ⊭	L		
			3			
	3 RC		2			
	2 IP		1			
		$A \rightarrow C$	D / A > C			
	ABCD	$(A \land B) \rightarrow (C \lor$	$D / A \rightarrow C$	distillation for some		
	тггг		Ē	divides loth gaps		
	ттет		(F)	divides 2nd gap		
5.	Adam c	alled Billy's mo	ther and st	he is the owner of the dog		
	Adam c	alled Billy's mot	ther \land Billy	's mother is the owner of the dog		
	[_calle	d _] Adam Billy	y's mother	\wedge Billy's mother = the owner of		
	the c	log				
	Ca(Billy	's mother) \land Bi	lly's mothe	r = the owner of <u>the dog</u>		
	Ca([_'s	mother] <u>Billy</u>)	∧ [_'s motl	ner] <u>Billy</u> = [the owner of _] <u>the</u>		
	dog					
			Ca(mb)	\wedge mb = od		
	C: [_ c	alled _]; a: Ada	m; b: Billy;	d: the dog; m: [_'s mother]; o: [the		
	owner o	of _]				
6.	Apart fr	om the choice of ities:	the bound	variable, the following are all the		
	[Rxb →	• Rbc] _x a [Rax	$\rightarrow \text{Rbc}]_{x}b$	$[\text{Rab} \rightarrow \text{Rbx}]_{x}c$		
		[Rab	$\rightarrow Rxc]_{x}b$			
	$[Rax \rightarrow Rxc]_{x}b$					



Phi 270 F98 test 3

(Questions 1-6 are from quiz 3 and 7-10 are from quiz 4 out of 6 quizzes—these two quizzes addressed the part of the course your test is designed to cover.)

Analyze the sentences below in as much detail as possible *without* going below the level of sentences (i.e., without recognizing individual terms and predicates). Be sure that the unanalyzed components of your answer are complete and independent sentences and that you respect any grouping in the English. You may use right-to-left arrows to reflect English word order but you should then also restate your symbolic analysis with arrows running left to right and, in any case, you should restate it using English notation.

- 1. If our message got there, they should be on their way
- 2. Unless we make reservations, we'll get a table only if it is a slow night
- 3. Check the following for validity using derivations; you *may use* attachment rules and detachment rules. If the derivation fails, present a counterexample that divides the premises from the conclusion. $A \rightarrow (B \rightarrow (C \lor D))$
 - $\neg C \rightarrow (A \rightarrow \neg B)$
- 4. [This question was on a topic not covered in F08] Use replacement by equivalence to put the following sentence into disjunctive normal form. Show how you reach your result; you may combine uses of associativity and commutativity with other principles in a single step but there should be no more than one use of De Morgan's laws or distributivity in each step.

$\neg ((A \lor \neg B) \land (C \land A))$

5. Analyze the sentence below in as much detail as possible, continuing the analysis when there are no more connectives by identifying predicates, functors, and individual terms. Be sure that the unanalyzed expressions in your answer are independent and that you respect any grouping in the English. (You need not state the result in English notation.)

If Sam is the winner of the trip, then the winner of the grand prize presented it to him

6. Give two different expansions (using predicate abstracts) of the sentence below as a one-place predicate applied to a term:

Pb ∧ Rab

7. Draw a diagram which presents the same interpretation as the following tables:

range: 1, 2, 3	a c g	τ	Fτ	τ	Gτ	R	1	2	3
	232	1	Т	1	F	1	Т	F	Т
		2	F	2	Т	2	Т	F	F
		3	Т	3	Т	3	F	Т	Т

8. Describe a structure (i.e., an assignment of extensions to the non-logical vocabulary) which makes the following sentences all true. (You may present the structure either using tables or, were possible, using diagrams.)

 $fa = b, b = c, Pb, \neg Pa, Ra(fa), R(fb)(fc), \neg Rbc$

Check each of the arguments below for validity using derivations. You need *not* present counterexamples to gaps that reach dead ends.

9. fa = cRbc $a = b \rightarrow Ra(fa)$ 10. $Rab \lor Rcb$ $a = b \land gb = gc$ Rbc $\rightarrow Rcb$

Phi 270 F98 test 3 answers

1. If our message got there, they should be on their way our message got there \rightarrow they should be on their way $M \rightarrow W$

if M then W

M: our message got there; W: they should be on their way

2. \neg we will make reservations \rightarrow we'll get a table only if it is a slow night

 \neg we will make reservations \rightarrow (\neg we'll get a table $\leftarrow \neg$ it will be a slow night)

 $\neg R \rightarrow (\neg T \leftarrow \neg S) \text{ or: } \neg R \rightarrow (\neg S \rightarrow \neg T)$ if not R then if not S then not T

R: we will make reservations; S: it will be a slow night; T: we'll get a table



- 5. If Sam is the winner of the trip, then the winner of the grand prize presented it to him Sam is the winner of the trip \rightarrow the winner of the grand prize presented the trip to Sam $s = \text{the winner of the trip} \rightarrow [_presented_to_]\text{the winner of the}$ grand prize the trip Sam s = [the winner of] the trip $\rightarrow P(\text{the winner of the grand prize})$ ts $s = nt \rightarrow P([\text{the winner of }] \text{ the grand prize})ts$ $s = nt \rightarrow P(ng)ts$ P: [_presented_to_]; g: the grand prize; n: [the winner of _]; s: Sam; t: the trip
- The following are the possibilities; in the last, τ may be any term: 6. $[Pb \land Rxb]_v a$, $[Px \land Rab]_v b$, $[Pb \land Rax]_v b$, $[Px \land Rax]_v b$, $[Pb \land Rab]_v \tau$



8. range: 1, 2, 3 a b c

122

τfτ	τ Ρτ	R 1 2 3	
1 2	1 F	1 F T F	a,f3 b,c,f1
2 3	2 T	2 F F F	P P
3 1	3 F	3 F F T	

P2: **T**

P1: **F**

(The diagram above provides a complete answer, and so do the tables to its left. The tables below show a way of arriving at these answers.)

> alias sets IDs values resources values 1 a: 1 Pb а ¬ Pa 2 f1:2 fa Ra(fa) R12: T b: 2 b R(fb)(fc) R33: **T** с c: 2 \neg Rbc R22: **F** fb 3 f2: 3 fc f2: 3



Phi 270 F97 test 3

(Questions 1-6 are from quiz 3 and 7-9 are from quiz 4 out of 6 quizzes—these two quizzes addressed the part of the course your test is designed to cover.)

Analyze the sentences below in as much detail as possible *without* going below the level of sentences (i.e., without recognizing individual terms and predicates). Be sure that the unanalyzed components of your answer are complete and independent sentences and that you respect any grouping in the English.

- 1. The creek will be high enough only if it rains.
- 2. Unless you object, Al will show the letter to Barb if she asks to see it.

Check each of the following for validity using the basic system of derivations (i.e., *do not use* attachment rules but *you may use* detachment rules). If a derivation fails, present a counterexample that divides its premises from its conclusion.

3. $A \rightarrow (B \lor C)$ $\neg C \rightarrow (A \rightarrow B)$ $A \rightarrow (B \rightarrow C)$

 $(C \land A) \rightarrow B$

5. Analyze the sentence below in as much detail as possible, continuing the analysis when there are no more connectives by identifying predicates, functors, and individual terms. Be sure that the unanalyzed expressions in your answer are independent and that you respect any grouping in the English.

If Dan's wife received the message, she is the person who called.

- **6. a.** Give two different expansions (using predicate abstracts) of the sentence: Raba.
 - **b.** Put the following into reduced form: $[Pxa \land Qbx]_x a$.
- 7. Describe a structure (i.e., an assignment of extensions to the non-logical vocabulary) which makes the following sentences all true. (You may present the structure either using tables or, were possible, using diagrams.)

 $a = fb, fa = fb, b = c, Fa, \neg F(gc), Rb(fa), \neg Ra(fb), R(gc)c$

Use derivations to check each of the claims of entailment below. You need *not* present counterexamples to dead-end gaps.

8. Fa $\land \neg$ Fb \models b = c $\rightarrow \neg$ a = c

9. $fa = c, fb = c, Rc(fa) \rightarrow Ra(fa) \vDash R(fa)(fb) \rightarrow Rb(fb)$

the creek will be high enough only if it rains

 the creek will be high enough ← ¬ it will rain

$$H \leftarrow \neg R \textit{ or } \neg R \rightarrow \neg H$$

$\mbox{if not } R \mbox{ then not } H \\$

H: the creek will be high enough; R: it will rain

2. \neg you will object \rightarrow Al will show the letter to Barb if she asks to see it

¬ you will object → (Al will show the letter to Barb \leftarrow Barb will ask to see the letter)

$$\neg O \rightarrow (S \leftarrow A) \text{ or } \neg O \rightarrow (A \rightarrow S)$$

if not O then if A then S

A: Barb will ask to see the letter; O: you will object; S: Al will show the letter to Barb

3.

$$A \rightarrow (B \lor C) \qquad 3$$

$$\neg C \qquad (4)$$

$$A \rightarrow (B \lor C) \qquad 3$$

$$\neg C \qquad (4)$$

$$A \rightarrow (B \lor C) \qquad 3$$

$$B \lor C \qquad 4$$

$$B \qquad (5)$$

$$\Theta \qquad (5)$$



4.

[_ received _] Dan's wife the message \rightarrow Dan's wife = the person who called

 $R(Dan's wife)m \rightarrow [_'s wife] Dan = p$ $R(f\overline{d})m \rightarrow fd = p$

R: [_received _]; d: Dan; f: [_'s wife]; m: the message; p: the person who called

The following are the possibilities; in the last, τ may be any term: 6. a. $[Rxbx]_{x}a, [Rxba]_{x}a, [Rabx]_{x}a, [Raxa]_{x}b, [Raba]_{x}\tau$

b. Paa \wedge Qba

7.	range: 1, 2,	$\frac{3}{122}$	$ \begin{array}{c cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\frac{\tau}{3} + \frac{\tau}{1} + \frac{F\tau}{1}$ $\frac{\tau}{3} + \frac{F\tau}{2}$ $\frac{\tau}{3} + \frac{F\tau}{3}$	R 1 2 3 1 F F F 2 T F F 3 T T F	$ \begin{array}{c} F \\ (1) \\ a, f1, f2 \\ (3) \\ \hline f^3, g1, g2, g3 \\ \hline R \\ \hline \end{array} $
	(The diagra	am provides	a complete	e answer, a	and so do the	tables to its left.
		below snow	a way of a	riving at	inese answers.)
	aı	ias seis IDs	values		resources	values
		a l	a: 1		Fa	F1: T
		Ta G	fl: 1		$\neg F(gc)$	F3: F
		ID	12: I		KD(Ia)	K21: I D11: F
		b 2	b: 2		$\neg Ka(10)$	K11: F D32: T
		с	c: 2		K(gc)c	K32. I
		gc 3	g2: 3			
8.	Fa	ı∧¬Fb	1			
	$ \begin{array}{c c} 1 \text{ Ext} & Fa \\ 1 \text{ Ext} & \neg \\ & t \end{array} $	Fb = c	(4) (4) a,b-c			
		a = c	a-b-c			
	4 Nc=	Γ.	3			
	3 RAA	$\neg a = c$	2			
	2 CP b =	$= c \rightarrow \neg a = c$				
9.	_ 01 0	a = c				
	f F	a = c b = c $Rc(fa) \rightarrow Ra(fa)$	a,b,c a) 3	-fa-fb		
		R(fa)(fb)	(4)			
		$ \square \neg Rb(fb) $				
	4 QED=	Rc(fa)	3			
		Ra(fa)	fa=c	,fb=c,R(fa))(fb),¬Rb(fb),Ra	a(fa) ⊭⊥
			3			
	3 RC		2			
	2 IP	Rb(fb)	1			
	1 CP	$R(fa)(fb) \rightarrow Rl$	b(fb)			

Phi 270 F96 test 3

(Questions 1-6 are from quiz 3 and 7-9 are from quiz 4 out of 6 quizzes—these two quizzes addressed the part of the course your test is designed to cover.)

Analyze the sentences below in as much detail as possible *without* going below the level of sentences (i.e., without recognizing individual terms and predicates). Be sure that the unanalyzed components of your answer are complete and independent sentences and that you respect any grouping in the English.

- 1. You won't succeed unless you try.
- 2. If it was after 5, Sam got in only if he had a key.

Check each of the following claims of entailment using the basic system of derivations (i.e., *do not use* attachment rules but *you may use* detachment rules). If a derivation fails, present a counterexample that divides its premises from its conclusion.

- $3. (A \land B) \to C \vDash A \to C$
- 4. $C \rightarrow (A \rightarrow B) \vDash (A \land \neg B) \rightarrow \neg C$
- 5. Analyze the sentence below in as much detail as possible, continuing the analysis when there are no more connectives by identifying predicates, functors, and individual terms. Be sure that the unanalyzed expressions in your answer are independent and that you respect any grouping in the English.

If Ann's car is the one you saw, she wasn't driving it.

- **6. a.** Give two different expansions (using predicate abstracts) of the reduced form: Raa.
 - **b.** Put the following into reduced form: $[Fx \land Pxb]_xc$.
- 7. Describe a structure (i.e., an assignment of extensions to the non-logical vocabulary) which makes the following sentences all true. (You may present the structure either using tables or, where possible, using diagrams.)

 $a = c, ga = gb, Pa, \neg P(ga), Rab, Rbc, \neg Rc(ga)$

Check each of the claims of entailment below using derivations. You need *not* describe structures dividing gaps you leave open.

- 8. Ha \land c = d, G(fd) \vDash G(fc) \land (a = b \rightarrow Hb)
- **9.** $\operatorname{Ra}(\operatorname{fa}) \wedge \operatorname{Rb}(\operatorname{fb}), \operatorname{fa} = b \vDash \operatorname{Ra}(\operatorname{f}(\operatorname{fa}))$

Phi 270 F96 test 3 answers

 You won't succeed unless you try you won't succeed ← ¬ you will try ¬ you will succeed ← ¬ you will try ¬ S ← ¬ T or ¬ T → ¬ S if not T then not S S: you will succeed; T: you will try
 If it was after 5, Sam got in only if he had a key it was after 5 → Sam got in only if he had a key

it was after $5 \rightarrow (\neg$ Sam got in $\leftarrow \neg$ Sam had a key)

$$\mathbf{A} \to (\neg \mathbf{G} \leftarrow \neg \mathbf{K}) \textit{ or } \mathbf{A} \to (\neg \mathbf{K} \to \neg \mathbf{G})$$

if A then if not K then not G

A: it was after 5; G: Sam got in; K: Sam had a key



(The diagram provides a complete answer, and so do the tables to its left. The tables below show a way of arriving at these answers.)

alias sets	IDs	values	resources	values
а	1	a: 1	Pa	P1: T
с		c: 1	$\neg P(ga)$	P3: F
b	2	b: 2	Rab	R12: T
	2	1.2	Rbc	R21: T
ga	3	g1: 3	$\neg \operatorname{Rc}(\operatorname{ga})$	R13: F
gb		g2: 3		

