

# Overview

## Basic system

Exploitation and planning rules		Rules for closing gaps		rule
sentence	as a resource	as a goal	when to close	
atomic sentence	none	IP		
negation $\neg \phi$	CR (if $\phi$ not atomic & goal is $\perp$ )	RAA	$\phi$	QED
conjunction $\phi \wedge \psi$	Ext	Cnj	$\phi$ and $\neg \phi$	Nc
disjunction $\phi \vee \psi$	PC	PE	any	ENV
conditional $\phi \rightarrow \psi$	RC (if goal is $\perp$ )	CP	$\perp$	EFQ
universal $\forall x \theta x$	UI	UG	any	EC
existential $\exists x \theta x$	PCh	NcP	$\tau \rightarrow \perp$	DC

Detachment rules (optional)		rule
required resources	auxiliary	
$\neg(\phi \wedge \psi)$	$\phi$ or $\psi$	MPT
$\phi \vee \psi$	$\neg \pm \phi$ or $\neg \pm \psi$	MTP
$\phi \rightarrow \psi$	$\phi$	MPP
	$\neg \pm \psi$	MTT

## Additional rules

Attachment rules	Rule for lemmas
added resource	prerequisite
$\phi \wedge \psi$	the goal is $\perp$ ; LFR
$\neg(\phi \wedge \psi)$	
$\phi \vee \psi$	
$\phi \rightarrow \psi$	
$\tau = \perp$	
$\theta v_1 \dots v_n$	
$\exists x \theta x$	

In addition, if the conditions for applying a rule are met except for differences between co-aliases, then the rule can be applied and is notated by adding "="; QED= and Nc= are examples of this.

## Derivation rules

### Basic system

logical form	Rules for developing gaps as resource	as goal
atomic sentence	no rule	Indirect Proof (IP)
negation $\neg \phi$	Completing the reductio (CR)	Reductio ad absurdum (RAA)
	Modus ponendo tollens (MPT)	
	Extraction (Ext)	Conjunction (Cnj)

conjunction  $\phi \wedge \psi$

Rules for developing gaps	
logical form	as resource / as goal
disjunction $\phi \vee \psi$	Proof by Cases (PC) $\frac{\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ \phi \vee \psi \\ \vdots \\ \chi \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ \phi \vee \psi \\ \vdots \\ \psi \end{array}}{\rightarrow} \quad \begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ \phi \\ \vdots \\ \chi \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ \psi \\ \vdots \\ \chi \end{array}}{n \text{ PC}} \rightarrow \quad \begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ \phi \\ \vdots \\ \chi \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ \psi \\ \vdots \\ \chi \end{array}$
	Modus Tollendo Ponens (MTP) $\frac{\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ \phi \vee \psi \\ \vdots \\ \chi \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ \neg \psi \\ \vdots \\ \perp \end{array}}{\rightarrow} \quad \begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ \phi \\ \vdots \\ \chi \end{array}}{n \text{ MTP}} \rightarrow \quad \begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ \phi \vee \psi \\ \vdots \\ \chi \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ \psi \\ \vdots \\ \chi \end{array}$
conditional $\phi \rightarrow \psi$	Rejecting a Conditional (RC) $\frac{\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ \phi \rightarrow \psi \\ \vdots \\ \phi \\ \vdots \\ \perp \end{array}}{\rightarrow} \quad \begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ \phi \\ \vdots \\ \psi \\ \vdots \\ \perp \end{array}}{n \text{ RC}} \rightarrow \quad \begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ \phi \rightarrow \psi \\ \vdots \\ \psi \\ \vdots \\ \perp \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ \phi \\ \vdots \\ \psi \\ \vdots \\ \perp \end{array}$
	Modus Ponendo Ponens (MPP) $\frac{\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ \phi \rightarrow \psi \\ \vdots \\ \phi \\ \vdots \\ \chi \end{array}}{\rightarrow} \quad \begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ \psi \\ \vdots \\ \chi \end{array}}{n \text{ MPP}} \rightarrow \quad \begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ \phi \rightarrow \psi \\ \vdots \\ \psi \\ \vdots \\ \chi \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ \phi \\ \vdots \\ \psi \\ \vdots \\ \chi \end{array}$
	Modus Tollendo Tollens (MTT) $\frac{\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ \phi \rightarrow \psi \\ \vdots \\ \neg \psi \\ \vdots \\ \chi \end{array}}{\rightarrow} \quad \begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ \phi \\ \vdots \\ \perp \end{array}}{n \text{ MTT}} \rightarrow \quad \begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ \phi \rightarrow \psi \\ \vdots \\ \psi \\ \vdots \\ \chi \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ \neg \psi \\ \vdots \\ \chi \end{array}$
	Proof of Exhaustion (PE) $\frac{\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ \phi \vee \psi \\ \vdots \\ \phi \\ \vdots \\ \psi \\ \vdots \\ \perp \end{array}}{\rightarrow} \quad \begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ \neg \phi \\ \vdots \\ \neg \psi \\ \vdots \\ \perp \end{array}}{n \text{ PE}} \rightarrow \quad \begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ \phi \vee \psi \\ \vdots \\ \psi \\ \vdots \\ \perp \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ \neg \phi \\ \vdots \\ \neg \psi \\ \vdots \\ \perp \end{array}$
	Conditional Proof (CP) $\frac{\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ \phi \rightarrow \psi \\ \vdots \\ \phi \\ \vdots \\ \perp \end{array}}{\rightarrow} \quad \begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ \psi \\ \vdots \\ \perp \end{array}}{n \text{ CP}} \rightarrow \quad \begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ \phi \rightarrow \psi \\ \vdots \\ \psi \\ \vdots \\ \perp \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ \phi \\ \vdots \\ \psi \\ \vdots \\ \perp \end{array}$

Attachment rules	
what is required	added resource / rule
$\tau$ and $\upsilon$ are co-aliases	$\tau = \upsilon$ $\frac{\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ \tau \text{ and } \upsilon \text{ are co-aliases} \\ \vdots \\ \phi \end{array}}{\rightarrow} \quad \begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ \tau = \upsilon \\ \vdots \\ \phi \end{array}}{n \text{ CE}} \rightarrow \quad \begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ \tau \text{ and } \upsilon \text{ are co-aliases} \\ \vdots \\ \phi \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ \tau = \upsilon \\ \vdots \\ \phi \end{array}$
have co-alias relations $\tau_1 \multimap \upsilon_1, \dots, \tau_n \multimap \upsilon_n$ and $\theta \tau_1 \dots \tau_n$ is available	Existential Generalization (EG) $\frac{\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ \theta \tau_1 \dots \tau_n \\ \vdots \\ \phi \end{array}}{\rightarrow} \quad \begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ \theta \tau_1 \dots \tau_n \\ \vdots \\ \phi \end{array}}{n \text{ EG}} \rightarrow \quad \begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ \theta \tau_1 \dots \tau_n \\ \vdots \\ \phi \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ \theta \tau_1 \dots \tau_n \\ \vdots \\ \phi \end{array}$
$\theta \tau$ is available	$\exists x \theta x$ Existential Generalization (EG) $\frac{\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ \theta \tau \\ \vdots \\ \phi \end{array}}{\rightarrow} \quad \begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ \theta \tau \\ \vdots \\ \phi \end{array}}{n \text{ EG}} \rightarrow \quad \begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ \theta \tau \\ \vdots \\ \phi \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ \exists x \theta x \\ \vdots \\ \phi \end{array}$

**Rule for lemmas**  
prerequisite rule

Lemma for Reductio (LRR)

the goal is  $\perp$

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \perp \end{array}}{\rightarrow} \quad \begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ \phi \\ \vdots \\ \perp \end{array}}{n \text{ LRR}} \rightarrow \quad \begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ \phi \\ \vdots \\ \perp \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ \perp \end{array}$$

**Additional rules (not guaranteed to be progressive)**

what is required	added resource	Attachment rules	rule
$\varphi$ and $\psi$ are both available	$\varphi \wedge \psi$	Adjunction (Adj)	$\frac{\dots \varphi \quad \dots \psi \quad \dots}{\dots \varphi \wedge \psi \quad \dots} \quad \dots$ $\frac{\dots \varphi \wedge \psi \quad \dots}{\dots \varphi \quad \dots \psi \quad \dots} \quad \dots$
$\neg^{\pm} \varphi$ or $\neg^{\pm} \psi$ is available	$\neg(\varphi \wedge \psi)$	Weakening (Wk)	$\frac{\dots \neg^{\pm} \varphi \quad \dots}{\dots \neg(\varphi \wedge \psi) \quad \dots} \quad \dots$ $\frac{\dots \neg^{\pm} \psi \quad \dots}{\dots \neg(\varphi \wedge \psi) \quad \dots} \quad \dots$
$\varphi$ or $\psi$ is available	$\varphi \vee \psi$		$\frac{\dots \varphi \quad \dots}{\dots \varphi \vee \psi \quad \dots} \quad \dots$ $\frac{\dots \psi \quad \dots}{\dots \varphi \vee \psi \quad \dots} \quad \dots$
$\neg^{\pm} \varphi$ or $\neg^{\pm} \psi$ is available	$\varphi \rightarrow \psi$		$\frac{\dots \neg^{\pm} \varphi \quad \dots}{\dots \varphi \rightarrow \psi \quad \dots} \quad \dots$ $\frac{\dots \psi \quad \dots}{\dots \varphi \rightarrow \psi \quad \dots} \quad \dots$

logical form	as resource	as goal
universal $\forall x \theta x$	Universal Instantiation (UI) $\frac{\dots \forall x \theta x \quad \dots}{\dots \theta a \quad \dots} \quad \dots$ $\frac{\dots \theta a \quad \dots}{\dots \forall x \theta x \quad \dots} \quad \dots$	Universal Generalization (UG) $\frac{\dots \theta a \quad \dots}{\dots \forall x \theta x \quad \dots} \quad \dots$
existential $\exists x \theta x$	Proof by Choice (PCh) $\frac{\dots \exists x \theta x \quad \dots}{\dots \theta a \quad \dots} \quad \dots$ $\frac{\dots \theta a \quad \dots}{\dots \exists x \theta x \quad \dots} \quad \dots$	Non-constructive Proof (NcP) $\frac{\dots \exists x \theta x \quad \dots}{\dots \neg^{\pm} \theta a \quad \dots} \quad \dots$ $\frac{\dots \neg^{\pm} \theta a \quad \dots}{\dots \exists x \theta x \quad \dots} \quad \dots$

The parameter **a** used in UG and PCh should be new to the derivation; that is, it should appear only to the right of the scope line it labels

Rules for closing gaps (truth-functional logic)

when to close	resources	goal	rule
$\varphi$	$\varphi$	$\varphi$	<b>Quod Erat Demonstrandum (QED)</b> $\frac{\dots \vdash \varphi \text{ [available]}}{\dots \vdash \varphi} \rightarrow \dots \vdash \varphi$ $\frac{\dots \vdash \varphi \text{ [available]}}{\dots \vdash \varphi} \rightarrow \dots \vdash \varphi$ $\frac{\dots \vdash \varphi \text{ [available]}}{\dots \vdash \varphi} \rightarrow \dots \vdash \varphi$
$\varphi$ and $\neg \varphi$	$\perp$	$\perp$	<b>Non-contradiction (Nc)</b> $\frac{\dots \vdash \varphi \text{ [available]} \quad \dots \vdash \neg \varphi \text{ [available]}}{\dots \vdash \perp} \rightarrow \dots \vdash \perp$ $\frac{\dots \vdash \varphi \text{ [available]} \quad \dots \vdash \neg \varphi \text{ [available]}}{\dots \vdash \perp} \rightarrow \dots \vdash \perp$
<i>any</i>	$\top$	$\top$	<b>Ex Nihilo Verum (ENV)</b> $\frac{\dots \vdash \top}{\dots \vdash \top} \rightarrow \dots \vdash \top$
$\perp$	<i>any</i>	$\perp$	<b>Ex Falso Quodlibet (EFQ)</b> $\frac{\dots \vdash \perp}{\dots \vdash \varphi} \rightarrow \dots \vdash \varphi$

Rules for closing gaps (equations)

In addition to the following rules for closing gaps, if the conditions for applying any rule are met except for differences between co-aliases, then the rule can be applied and is notated by adding "=" to its label; QED= and Nc= below are examples of this in the case of rules for closing gaps.

when to close	resources	goal	rule
co-aliases	<i>resources</i>	<i>goal</i>	<b>Equated Co-aliases (EC)</b> $\frac{\dots \vdash [\tau \text{ and } \upsilon \text{ are co-aliases}]}{\dots \vdash \tau = \upsilon} \rightarrow \dots \vdash \tau = \upsilon$
$\tau = \upsilon$	<i>any</i>	$\tau = \upsilon$	<b>Distinguished Co-aliases (DC)</b> $\frac{\dots \vdash [\tau \text{ and } \upsilon \text{ are co-aliases}]}{\dots \vdash \tau = \upsilon} \rightarrow \dots \vdash \tau = \upsilon$
$\tau = \upsilon$	$\neg \tau = \upsilon$	$\perp$	<b>QED given equations (QED=)</b> $\frac{\dots \vdash \neg \tau = \upsilon \quad \dots \vdash \tau = \upsilon}{\dots \vdash \perp} \rightarrow \dots \vdash \perp$
$\tau_1 = \upsilon_1, \dots, \tau_n = \upsilon_n$	$P\tau_1 \dots \tau_n$	$P\upsilon_1 \dots \upsilon_n$	<b>QED given equations (QED=)</b> $\frac{\dots \vdash [\text{have co-alias relations: } \tau_1 = \upsilon_1, \dots, \tau_n = \upsilon_n]}{\dots \vdash P\tau_1 \dots \tau_n} \rightarrow \dots \vdash P\upsilon_1 \dots \upsilon_n$
$\tau_1 = \upsilon_1, \dots, \tau_n = \upsilon_n$	$P\tau_1 \dots \tau_n$	$\perp$	<b>Non-contradiction given equations (Nc=)</b> $\frac{\dots \vdash [\text{have co-alias relations: } \tau_1 = \upsilon_1, \dots, \tau_n = \upsilon_n]}{\dots \vdash P\tau_1 \dots \tau_n} \rightarrow \dots \vdash \perp$