

Overview

Basic system

Exploitation and planning rules		
sentence	as a resource	as a goal
atomic sentence	none	IP
negation $\neg \varphi$	CR (if φ not atomic & goal is \perp)	RAA
conjunction $\varphi \wedge \psi$	Ext	Cnj
disjunction $\varphi \vee \psi$	PC	PE
conditional $\varphi \rightarrow \psi$	RC (if goal is \perp)	CP
universal $\forall x \theta x$	UI	UG
existential $\exists x \theta x$	PCh	NcP

Rules for closing gaps			
when to close			rule
co-aliases	resources	goal	
	φ	φ	QED
	φ and $\neg \varphi$	\perp	Nc
	any	\top	ENV
	\perp	any	EFQ
$\tau = \upsilon$	any	$\tau = \upsilon$	EC
$\tau \rightarrow \upsilon$	$\neg \tau = \upsilon$	\perp	DC
$\tau_1 \rightarrow \upsilon_1, \dots, \tau_n \rightarrow \upsilon_n$	$P\tau_1 \dots \tau_n$	$P\upsilon_1 \dots \upsilon_n$	QED=
$\tau_1 \rightarrow \upsilon_1, \dots, \tau_n \rightarrow \upsilon_n$	$P\tau_1 \dots \tau_n$ $\neg P\upsilon_1 \dots \upsilon_n$	\perp	Nc=

Detachment rules (optional)		
required resources		rule
main	auxiliary	
$\neg(\varphi \wedge \psi)$	φ or ψ	MPT
$\varphi \vee \psi$	$\neg^\pm \varphi$ or $\neg^\pm \psi$	MTP
$\varphi \rightarrow \psi$	φ	MPP
	$\neg^\pm \psi$	MTT

In addition, if the conditions for applying a rule are met except for differences between co-aliases, then the rule can be applied and is notated by adding "="; QED= and Nc= are examples of this.

Additional rules

Attachment rules	
added resource	rule
$\varphi \wedge \psi$	Adj
$\neg(\varphi \wedge \psi)$	
$\varphi \vee \psi$	Wk
$\varphi \rightarrow \psi$	
$\tau = \upsilon$	CE
$\theta \upsilon_1 \dots \upsilon_n$	Cng
$\exists x \theta x$	EG

Rule for lemmas	
prerequisite	rule
the goal is \perp	LFR

Derivation rules

Basic system

logical form	Rules for developing gaps	
	as resource	as goal
atomic sentence	no rule	Indirect Proof (IP)
negation $\neg \varphi$	Completing the reductio (CR) 	Reductio ad absurdum (RAA)
	Modus ponendo tollens (MPT) 	
conjunction $\varphi \wedge \psi$	Extraction (Ext) 	Conjunction (Cnj)

		Rules for developing gaps	
logical form	as resource	as goal	
	<p>Proof by Cases (PC)</p> $\frac{\dots \quad \dots}{\dots} \rightarrow \frac{\dots \quad \dots}{\dots}$	<p>Proof of Exhaustion (PE)</p> $\frac{\dots \quad \dots}{\dots} \rightarrow \frac{\dots \quad \dots}{\dots}$	
	<p>Modus Tollendo Ponens (MTP)</p> $\frac{\dots \quad \dots}{\dots} \rightarrow \frac{\dots \quad \dots}{\dots}$	<p>OR</p> $\frac{\dots \quad \dots}{\dots} \rightarrow \frac{\dots \quad \dots}{\dots}$	
disjunction	<p>$\varphi \vee \psi$</p> $\frac{\dots \quad \dots}{\dots} \rightarrow \frac{\dots \quad \dots}{\dots}$	<p>OR</p> $\frac{\dots \quad \dots}{\dots} \rightarrow \frac{\dots \quad \dots}{\dots}$	
	<p>Rejecting a Conditional (RC)</p> $\frac{\dots \quad \dots}{\dots} \rightarrow \frac{\dots \quad \dots}{\dots}$	<p>Conditional Proof (CP)</p> $\frac{\dots \quad \dots}{\dots} \rightarrow \frac{\dots \quad \dots}{\dots}$	
	<p>Modus Ponendo Ponens (MPP)</p> $\frac{\dots \quad \dots}{\dots} \rightarrow \frac{\dots \quad \dots}{\dots}$		
conditional	<p>$\varphi \rightarrow \psi$</p> $\frac{\dots \quad \dots}{\dots} \rightarrow \frac{\dots \quad \dots}{\dots}$		
	<p>Modus Tollendo Tollens (MTT)</p> $\frac{\dots \quad \dots}{\dots} \rightarrow \frac{\dots \quad \dots}{\dots}$		

		Rules for developing gaps	
logical form	as resource	as goal	
universal	<p>Universal Instantiation (UI)</p> $\frac{\dots \quad \dots}{\dots} \rightarrow \frac{\dots \quad \dots}{\dots}$	<p>Universal Generalization (UG)</p> $\frac{\dots \quad \dots}{\dots} \rightarrow \frac{\dots \quad \dots}{\dots}$	
existential	<p>Proof by Choice (PCh)</p> $\frac{\dots \quad \dots}{\dots} \rightarrow \frac{\dots \quad \dots}{\dots}$	<p>Non-constructive Proof (NcP)</p> $\frac{\dots \quad \dots}{\dots} \rightarrow \frac{\dots \quad \dots}{\dots}$	

The parameter a used in UG and PCh should be new to the derivation; that is, it should appear only to the right of the scope line it labels

Rules for closing gaps (truth-functional logic)		
when to close	rule	
resources	goal	Quod Erat Demonstrandum (QED)
φ	φ	$\frac{\dots}{\varphi \text{ [available]}} \rightarrow \frac{\dots}{\varphi} \quad (n)$ $\frac{\dots}{\varphi} \quad n \text{ QED}$
φ and $\neg \varphi$	\perp	<p>Non-contradiction (Nc)</p> $\frac{\dots}{\neg \varphi \text{ [available]}} \rightarrow \frac{\dots}{\neg \varphi} \quad (n)$ $\frac{\dots}{\varphi \text{ [available]}} \rightarrow \frac{\dots}{\varphi} \quad (n)$ $\frac{\dots}{\perp} \quad n \text{ Nc}$
any	\top	<p>Ex Nihilo Verum (ENV)</p> $\frac{\dots}{\top} \rightarrow \frac{\dots}{\top} \quad n \text{ ENV}$
\perp	any	<p>Ex Falso Quodlibet (EFQ)</p> $\frac{\dots}{\perp} \rightarrow \frac{\dots}{\varphi} \quad (n)$ $\frac{\dots}{\varphi} \quad n \text{ EFQ}$

Rules for closing gaps (equations)		
when to close	rule	
co-aliases	resources	goal
$\tau = \upsilon$	any	$\tau = \upsilon$
		<p>Equated Co-aliases (EC)</p> $\frac{\dots}{[\tau \text{ and } \upsilon \text{ are co-aliases}]} \rightarrow \frac{\dots}{[\tau \text{ and } \upsilon \text{ are co-aliases}]} \quad n \text{ EC}$
$\tau = \upsilon$	$\neg \tau = \upsilon$	\perp
		<p>Distinguished Co-aliases (DC)</p> $\frac{\dots}{[\tau \text{ and } \upsilon \text{ are co-aliases}]} \rightarrow \frac{\dots}{\neg \tau = \upsilon} \quad (n)$ $\frac{\dots}{\neg \tau = \upsilon} \quad n \text{ DC}$
$\tau_1 = \upsilon_1, \dots, \tau_n = \upsilon_n$	$P\tau_1 \dots \tau_n$	$P\upsilon_1 \dots \upsilon_n$
		<p>QED given equations (QED=)</p> $\frac{\dots}{[\text{have co-alias relations: } \tau_1 = \upsilon_1, \dots, \tau_n = \upsilon_n]} \rightarrow \frac{\dots}{[\text{have co-alias relations: } \tau_1 = \upsilon_1, \dots, \tau_n = \upsilon_n]} \quad (n)$ $\frac{\dots}{P\tau_1 \dots \tau_n} \rightarrow \frac{\dots}{P\upsilon_1 \dots \upsilon_n} \quad n \text{ QED=}$
$\tau_1 = \upsilon_1, \dots, \tau_n = \upsilon_n$	$P\tau_1 \dots \tau_n$	\perp
		<p>Non-contradiction given equations (Nc=)</p> $\frac{\dots}{[\text{have co-alias relations: } \tau_1 = \upsilon_1, \dots, \tau_n = \upsilon_n]} \rightarrow \frac{\dots}{[\text{have co-alias relations: } \tau_1 = \upsilon_1, \dots, \tau_n = \upsilon_n]} \quad (n)$ $\frac{\dots}{P\tau_1 \dots \tau_n} \rightarrow \frac{\dots}{\neg P\upsilon_1 \dots \upsilon_n} \quad (n)$ $\frac{\dots}{\perp} \quad n \text{ Nc=}$

In addition to the following rules for closing gaps, if the conditions for applying any rule are met except for differences between co-aliases, then the rule can be applied and is notated by adding “=” to its label; QED= and Nc= below are examples of this in the case of rules for closing gaps.

Additional rules (not guaranteed to be progressive)

Attachment rules		
what is required	added resource	rule
φ and ψ are both available	$\varphi \wedge \psi$	Adjunction (Adj) $\frac{\begin{array}{c} \dots \\ \varphi \text{ [available]} \\ \dots \\ \psi \text{ [available]} \\ \dots \\ \hline \chi \end{array}}{\dots} \rightarrow n \text{ Adj} \frac{\begin{array}{c} \dots \\ \varphi \quad (n) \\ \dots \\ \psi \quad (n) \\ \dots \\ \hline \varphi \wedge \psi \quad X \end{array}}{\dots}$
		Weakening (Wk) $\frac{\begin{array}{c} \dots \\ \neg^\pm \varphi \text{ [available]} \\ \dots \\ \hline \chi \end{array}}{\dots} \rightarrow n \text{ Wk} \frac{\begin{array}{c} \dots \\ \neg^\pm \varphi \quad (n) \\ \dots \\ \neg(\varphi \wedge \psi) \quad X \\ \dots \\ \hline \chi \end{array}}{\dots}$ $\frac{\begin{array}{c} \dots \\ \neg^\pm \psi \text{ [available]} \\ \dots \\ \hline \chi \end{array}}{\dots} \rightarrow n \text{ Wk} \frac{\begin{array}{c} \dots \\ \neg^\pm \psi \quad (n) \\ \dots \\ \neg(\varphi \wedge \psi) \quad X \\ \dots \\ \hline \chi \end{array}}{\dots}$
φ or ψ is available	$\varphi \vee \psi$	$\frac{\begin{array}{c} \dots \\ \varphi \text{ [available]} \\ \dots \\ \hline \chi \end{array}}{\dots} \rightarrow n \text{ Wk} \frac{\begin{array}{c} \dots \\ \varphi \quad (n) \\ \dots \\ \varphi \vee \psi \quad X \\ \dots \\ \hline \chi \end{array}}{\dots}$
		$\frac{\begin{array}{c} \dots \\ \psi \text{ [available]} \\ \dots \\ \hline \chi \end{array}}{\dots} \rightarrow n \text{ Wk} \frac{\begin{array}{c} \dots \\ \psi \quad (n) \\ \dots \\ \varphi \vee \psi \quad X \\ \dots \\ \hline \chi \end{array}}{\dots}$
$\neg^\pm \varphi$ or ψ is available	$\varphi \rightarrow \psi$	$\frac{\begin{array}{c} \dots \\ \neg^\pm \varphi \text{ [available]} \\ \dots \\ \hline \chi \end{array}}{\dots} \rightarrow n \text{ Wk} \frac{\begin{array}{c} \dots \\ \neg^\pm \varphi \quad (n) \\ \dots \\ \varphi \rightarrow \psi \quad X \\ \dots \\ \hline \chi \end{array}}{\dots}$
		$\frac{\begin{array}{c} \dots \\ \psi \text{ [available]} \\ \dots \\ \hline \chi \end{array}}{\dots} \rightarrow n \text{ Wk} \frac{\begin{array}{c} \dots \\ \psi \quad (n) \\ \dots \\ \varphi \rightarrow \psi \quad X \\ \dots \\ \hline \chi \end{array}}{\dots}$

Attachment rules		
what is required	added resource	rule
τ and υ are co-aliases	$\tau = \upsilon$	Co-alias Equation (CE) $\frac{\begin{array}{c} \dots \\ [\tau \text{ and } \upsilon \text{ are co-aliases}] \\ \dots \\ \hline \varphi \end{array}}{\dots} \rightarrow n \text{ CE} \frac{\begin{array}{c} \dots \\ [\tau \text{ and } \upsilon \text{ are co-aliases}] \\ \dots \\ \tau = \upsilon \quad X \\ \dots \\ \hline \varphi \end{array}}{\dots}$
have co-alias relations $\tau_1 \multimap \upsilon_1, \dots,$ $\tau_n \multimap \upsilon_n$ and $\theta \tau_1 \dots \tau_n$ is available	$\theta \upsilon_1 \dots \upsilon_n$	Congruence (Cng) $\frac{\begin{array}{c} \dots \\ [\text{have co-alias relations:} \\ \tau_1 \multimap \upsilon_1, \dots, \tau_n \multimap \upsilon_n] \\ \dots \\ \theta \tau_1 \dots \tau_n \\ \dots \\ \hline \varphi \end{array}}{\dots} \rightarrow n \text{ Cng} \frac{\begin{array}{c} \dots \\ [\text{have co-alias relations:} \\ \tau_1 \multimap \upsilon_1, \dots, \tau_n \multimap \upsilon_n] \\ \dots \\ \theta \tau_1 \dots \tau_n \quad (n) \\ \dots \\ \theta \upsilon_1 \dots \upsilon_n \quad X \\ \dots \\ \hline \varphi \end{array}}{\dots}$
$\theta \tau$ is available	$\exists x \theta x$	Existential Generalization (EG) $\frac{\begin{array}{c} \dots \\ \theta \tau \\ \dots \\ \hline \varphi \end{array}}{\dots} \rightarrow n \text{ EG} \frac{\begin{array}{c} \dots \\ \theta \tau \quad (n) \\ \dots \\ \exists x \theta x \quad X \\ \dots \\ \hline \varphi \end{array}}{\dots}$

Rule for lemmas	
prerequisite	rule
the goal is \perp	Lemma for <i>Reductio</i> (LFR) $\frac{\begin{array}{c} \dots \\ \dots \\ \dots \\ \hline \perp \end{array}}{\dots} \rightarrow n \text{ LFR} \frac{\begin{array}{c} \dots \\ \dots \\ \dots \\ \hline \varphi \quad n \\ \dots \\ \dots \\ \hline \varphi \quad n \\ \dots \\ \dots \\ \hline \perp \quad n \\ \dots \\ \hline \perp \end{array}}{\dots}$