

Overview

Basic system

Exploitation and planning rules		Rules for closing gaps		rule
sentence	as a resource	as a goal	when to close	
atomic sentence	none	IP		
negation $\neg \varphi$	CR (if φ not atomic & goal is \perp)	RAA		QED
conjunction $\varphi \wedge \psi$	Ext	Cnj		Nc
disjunction $\varphi \vee \psi$	PC	PE		ENV
conditional $\varphi \rightarrow \psi$	RC (if goal is \perp)	CP		EFQ
universal $\forall x \theta x$	UI	UG		EC
existential $\exists x \theta x$	PCh	NcP		DC

Detachment rules (optional)		rule
required resources	auxiliary	
$\neg(\varphi \wedge \psi)$	φ or ψ	MPT
$\varphi \vee \psi$	$\neg \pm \varphi$ or $\neg \pm \psi$	MTP
$\varphi \rightarrow \psi$	φ	MPP
	$\neg \pm \psi$	MTT

Additional rules

Attachment rules	Rule for lemmas
added resource	prerequisite
$\varphi \wedge \psi$	the goal is \perp ; LFR
$\neg(\varphi \wedge \psi)$	
$\varphi \vee \psi$	
$\varphi \rightarrow \psi$	
$\tau = \upsilon$	
$\theta \upsilon_1 \dots \upsilon_n$	
$\exists x \theta x$	

Derivation rules

Basic system

logical form	Rules for developing gaps as resource	as goal
atomic sentence	no rule	Indirect Proof (IP)
negation $\neg \varphi$	Completing the <i>reductio</i> (CR)	<i>Reductio ad absurdum</i> (RAA)
	<i>Modus ponendo tollens</i> (MPT)	
conjunction $\varphi \wedge \psi$	Extraction (Ext)	Conjunction (Cnj)

In addition, if the conditions for applying a rule are met except for differences between co-aliases, then the rule can be applied and is notated by adding "="; QED= and Nc= are examples of this.

Additional rules (not guaranteed to be progressive)

<i>what is required</i>	<i>attached resource</i>	<i>rule</i>
φ and ψ are both available	$\varphi \wedge \psi$	<p>Adjunction (Adj)</p> $\frac{\dots \varphi \text{ [available]} \quad \dots \psi \text{ [available]} \quad \dots}{\dots \varphi \wedge \psi \text{ X} \quad \dots} \rightarrow n \text{ Adj}$
$\neg^\pm \varphi$ or $\neg^\pm \psi$ is available	$\neg(\varphi \wedge \psi)$	<p>Weakening (Wk)</p> $\frac{\dots \neg^\pm \varphi \text{ [available]} \quad \dots}{\dots \neg(\varphi \wedge \psi) \text{ X} \quad \dots} \rightarrow n \text{ Wk} \quad (n)$ $\frac{\dots \neg^\pm \psi \text{ [available]} \quad \dots}{\dots \neg(\varphi \wedge \psi) \text{ X} \quad \dots} \rightarrow n \text{ Wk} \quad (n)$
φ or ψ is available	$\varphi \vee \psi$	$\frac{\dots \varphi \text{ [available]} \quad \dots}{\dots \varphi \vee \psi \text{ X} \quad \dots} \rightarrow n \text{ Wk} \quad (n)$ $\frac{\dots \psi \text{ [available]} \quad \dots}{\dots \varphi \vee \psi \text{ X} \quad \dots} \rightarrow n \text{ Wk} \quad (n)$
$\neg^\pm \varphi$ or ψ is available	$\varphi \rightarrow \psi$	$\frac{\dots \neg^\pm \varphi \text{ [available]} \quad \dots}{\dots \varphi \rightarrow \psi \text{ X} \quad \dots} \rightarrow n \text{ Wk} \quad (n)$ $\frac{\dots \psi \text{ [available]} \quad \dots}{\dots \varphi \rightarrow \psi \text{ X} \quad \dots} \rightarrow n \text{ Wk} \quad (n)$

<i>logical form</i>	<i>as resource</i>	<i>as goal</i>
universal $\forall x \theta x$	<p>Universal Instantiation (UI)</p> $\frac{\dots \forall x \theta x \quad \dots}{\dots \theta t \quad \dots} \rightarrow n \text{ UI}$ $\frac{\dots \forall x \theta x \quad \dots}{\dots \theta a \quad \dots} \rightarrow n \text{ UI}$	<p>Universal Generalization (UG)</p> $\frac{\dots \theta a \quad \dots}{\dots \forall x \theta x \quad \dots} \rightarrow n \text{ UG}$
existential $\exists x \theta x$	<p>Proof by Choice (PCh)</p> $\frac{\dots \exists x \theta x \quad \dots}{\dots \theta a \quad \dots} \rightarrow n \text{ PCh}$ $\frac{\dots \exists x \theta x \quad \dots}{\dots \theta \quad \dots} \rightarrow n \text{ PCh}$	<p>Non-constructive Proof (NeP)</p> $\frac{\dots \exists x \theta x \quad \dots}{\dots \forall x \neg^\pm \theta x \quad \dots} \rightarrow n \text{ NeP}$ $\frac{\dots \exists x \theta x \quad \dots}{\dots \exists x \theta x \quad \dots} \rightarrow n \text{ NeP}$

The parameter a used in UG and PCh should be new to the derivation; that is, it should appear only to the right of the scope line it labels

Rules for closing gaps (truth-functional logic)			
when to close	resources	goal	rule
φ	φ	φ	<i>Quod Erat Demonstrandum</i> (QED)
φ and $\neg \varphi$	\perp	\perp	Non-contradiction (Nc)
<i>any</i>	\top	\top	<i>Ex Nihilo Verum</i> (ENV)
\perp	<i>any</i>	\perp	<i>Ex Falso Quodlibet</i> (EFQ)

Rules for closing gaps (equations)

In addition to the following rules for closing gaps, if the conditions for applying any rule are met except for differences between co-aliases, then the rule can be applied and is notated by adding “=” to its label; QED= and Nc= below are examples of this in the case of rules for closing gaps.

co-aliases	resources	goal	rule
$\tau \rightarrow \mathbf{0}$	<i>any</i>	$\tau = \mathbf{0}$	Equated Co-aliases (EC)
$\tau \rightarrow \mathbf{0}$	$\neg \tau = \mathbf{0}$	\perp	Distinguished Co-aliases (DC)
$\tau_1 \rightarrow \mathbf{0}_1, \dots, \tau_n \rightarrow \mathbf{0}_n$	$P\tau_1 \dots \tau_n$	$P\mathbf{0}_1 \dots \mathbf{0}_n$	QED given equations (QED=)
$\tau_1 \rightarrow \mathbf{0}_1, \dots, \tau_n \rightarrow \mathbf{0}_n$	$P\tau_1 \dots \tau_n$	\perp	Non-contradiction given equations (Nc=)