

8.3.3. *Exactly n*

It is also possible to give a somewhat simpler symbolic representations of the quantifier phrase *exactly n Cs* than we get by way of truth-functional compounds of *at least-m* forms. Here are a couple of approaches for the case of *exactly 1*:

I forgot just one thing
Something is such that (I forgot it and nothing else)
 $\exists x$ *I forgot x and nothing else*
 $\exists x$ (\underline{I} forgot \underline{x} \wedge *I forgot nothing other than x*)
 $\exists x$ ($\text{Fix} \wedge$ *nothing other than x is such that (I forgot it)*)
 $\exists x$ ($\text{Fix} \wedge (\forall y: y$ *is other than x*) \neg *I forgot y*)
 $\exists x$ ($\text{Fix} \wedge (\forall y: \neg y = x) \neg$ Fiy)
 $\exists x$ ($\text{Fix} \wedge \forall y (\neg y = x \rightarrow \neg \text{Fiy})$)
I forgot just one thing
Something is such that (I forgot it and it was all I forgot)
 $\exists x$ *I forgot x and x was all I forgot*
 $\exists x$ (\underline{I} forgot \underline{x} \wedge *x was all I forgot*)
 $\exists x$ ($\text{Fix} \wedge$ *everything I forgot is such that (x was it)*)
 $\exists x$ ($\text{Fix} \wedge (\forall y: I$ forgot $y)$ x *was y*)
 $\exists x$ ($\text{Fix} \wedge (\forall y: \text{Fiy}) x = y$)
 $\exists x$ ($\text{Fix} \wedge \forall y (\text{Fiy} \rightarrow x = y)$)
 $[F: \lambda xy (x$ forgot $y); i: me]$

And, in general, *Exactly one thing is such that (... it ...)* can be analyzed as any of the following (where θx abbreviates ... x ...):

$\exists x (\theta x \wedge (\forall y: \neg y = x) \neg \theta y)$	$\exists x (\theta x \wedge \forall y (\neg y = x \rightarrow \neg \theta y))$
$\exists x (\theta x \wedge (\forall y: \theta y) x = y)$	$\exists x (\theta x \wedge \forall y (\theta y \rightarrow x = y))$

The forms in columns are equivalent by the symmetry of identity and the following equivalences:

$$(\forall x: \rho x) \theta x \Leftrightarrow (\forall x: \overline{\theta x}) \overline{\rho x}$$

$$\varphi \rightarrow \psi \Leftrightarrow \overline{\psi} \rightarrow \overline{\varphi}$$

The first of these is traditionally called **contraposition** and that name is sometimes used for the second also. The first licenses the restatement of *Only dogs barked* by *Everything that barked was a dog*. The second would apply to the same pair of sentences when they are represented using unrestricted quantifiers and also to the restatement of *The match burned only if oxygen was present* by *If the match burned, then oxygen was present*.

The initial unrestricted quantifier in the above analyses of *exactly 1 thing* can also be replaced by a restricted quantifier. The following analysis of a slightly more complex example uses this sort of variation on the second pattern above:

I forgot just one number

Some number I forgot is such that (it was all the numbers I forgot)

$(\exists x: x \text{ is a number I forgot}) x \text{ was all the numbers I forgot}$

$(\exists x: x \text{ is a number} \wedge \text{I forgot } x) \text{ every number I forgot is such that } (x \text{ was it})$

$(\exists x: \underline{x} \text{ is a number} \wedge \underline{\text{I forgot } x}) (\forall y: y \text{ is a number I forgot}) x \text{ was } y$

$(\exists x: N_x \wedge F_{ix}) (\forall y: \underline{y} \text{ is a number} \wedge \underline{\text{I forgot } y}) \underline{x} \text{ was } \underline{y}$

$(\exists x: N_x \wedge F_{ix}) (\forall y: N_y \wedge F_{iy}) x = y$

And, in general, *Exactly 1 C is such that (... it ...)* can be analyzed as

$(\exists x: x \text{ is a } C \wedge \dots x \dots) (\forall y: y \text{ is a } C \wedge \dots y \dots) x = y$

The analogous variation on the first pattern would be

$(\exists x: x \text{ is a } C \wedge \dots x \dots) (\forall y: y \text{ is a } C \wedge \neg y = x) \neg \dots y \dots$

In the case of, *I forgot just one number*, this pattern would amount to saying *Some number that I forgot is such that I forgot no other number*.

The sentence *There is exactly 1 C* can be understood as *Exactly 1 C is such that (it is)* and the dummy predicate $\lambda x (x \text{ is})$ can be dropped to yield the analysis

$(\exists x: x \text{ is a } C) (\forall y: y \text{ is a } C) x = y$

which can be understood to say *Some C is such that (it is all the Cs there are)*.

This sort of pattern will be important for the analysis of definite descriptions in [8.4.1], but the first approach (i.e., by way of *nothing else*) is probably the more natural way of extending the analysis to claims of *exactly n* for numbers $n > 1$ —as in the following example:

Exactly 2 things are in the room

2 things are such that (they are in the room but and nothing else is)

$\exists x (\exists y: \neg y = x) x \text{ and } y \text{ are in the room but and nothing else is}$

$\exists x (\exists y: \neg y = x) ((\underline{x} \text{ is in the room} \wedge \underline{y} \text{ is in the room}) \wedge \text{nothing other than } x \text{ and } y \text{ is in the room})$

$\exists x (\exists y: \neg y = x) ((N_{xr} \wedge N_{yr}) \wedge (\forall z: z \text{ is other than } x \text{ and } y) \neg \underline{z} \text{ is in the room})$

$\exists x (\exists y: \neg y = x) ((N_{xr} \wedge N_{yr}) \wedge (\forall z: z \text{ is other than } x \wedge z \text{ is other than } y) \neg N_{zr})$

$\exists x (\exists y: \neg y = x) ((N_{xr} \wedge N_{yr}) \wedge (\forall z: \neg z = x \wedge \neg z = y) \neg N_{zr})$

[N: $\lambda xy (x \text{ is in } y)$; r: *the room*]

The general forms for *exactly 2 things are such that (... they ...)* and *exactly 2 Cs are such that (... they ...)* along these lines are the following (using θ for $\lambda x (... x ...)$ and ρ for $\lambda x (x \text{ is a } C)$):

$\exists x (\exists y: \neg y = x) ((\theta x \wedge \theta y) \wedge (\forall z: \neg z = x \wedge \neg z = y) \neg \theta z)$

$(\exists x: \rho x) (\exists y: \rho y \wedge \neg y = x) ((\theta x \wedge \theta y) \wedge (\forall z: \rho z \wedge \neg z = x \wedge \neg z = y) \neg \theta z)$

Notice that the restricting predicate ρ is added to each of the three quantifiers in the second. In particular, *Exactly 2 boxes are in the room* means *2 boxes are such that (they are in the room and no other boxes are)* rather than *2 boxes are such that (they are in the room and nothing else is)*, which says that two boxes are the only things in the room.