## 5.2.xa. Exercise answers

**1. a.** Tom was late  $\leftarrow \neg$  Tom left early

$$\begin{array}{c} L \leftarrow \neg \; E \\ \neg \; E \rightarrow L \\ \text{if not Ethen } L \end{array}$$

II not Ethen L

[E: Tom left early; L: Tom was late]

**b.** ¬ you'll get a good picture ← ¬ you'll take the cap off the lens

$$\neg P \leftarrow \neg C$$

$$\neg C \rightarrow \neg P$$

if not Cthen not P

[C: you'll take the cap off the lens; P: you'll get a good picture]

- **c.** Neither Ann nor Bill knew of it  $\leftarrow \neg$  Ann and Bill both knew of it
  - ¬ (Ann knew of it  $\lor$  Bill knew of it)  $\leftarrow$  ¬ (Ann knew of it  $\land$  Bill knew of it)

$$\neg (A \lor B) \leftarrow \neg (A \land B)$$
$$\neg (A \land B) \rightarrow \neg (A \lor B)$$

if not both A and B then not either A or B [A: Ann knew of it; B: Bill knew of it]

d. The bill will pass if the chairman supports it ← ¬ public opinion will run heavily against the bill
 (the bill will pass ← the chairman will support the bill) ← ¬ A

$$(P \leftarrow S) \leftarrow \neg A$$
$$\neg A \rightarrow (S \rightarrow P)$$

if not Athen if Sthen P

[A: public opinion will run heavily against the bill; P: the bill will pass; S: the chairman will support the bill]

- **e.** ¬ Ed will be late → we'll get started on time and finish early if there isn't a lot of business
  - $\neg L \rightarrow$  (we'll get started on time  $\land$  we'll finish early if there isn't a lot of business)
  - $\neg L \rightarrow (T \land (we'll finish early \leftarrow there won't be a lot of business))$
  - $\neg L \rightarrow (T \land (F \leftarrow \neg there will be a lot of business))$

$$\neg L \rightarrow (T \land (F \leftarrow \neg B))$$
  
$$\neg L \rightarrow (T \land (\neg B \rightarrow F))$$

if not Lthen both T and if not B then F [B: there will be a lot of business; F: we'll finish early; L: Ed will be late; T: we'll get started on time]

It would be possible to understand the sentence to make the whole of *we'll get started on time and finish early* conditional on *there won't be a lot of business*. On that interpretation, the form would be  $\neg L \rightarrow (\neg B \rightarrow (T \land F))$ . However, the interpretation used above fits better with common sense expectations concerning the content, and that is often the grounds on which ambiguous sentences are understood in a particular way.

- **f.** Bob was under no obligation to help → Bob worked only if he was in a good mood and had nothing to do
  - ¬ Bob was under an obligation to help  $\rightarrow$  (¬ Bob worked  $\leftarrow$  ¬ Bob was in a good mood and had nothing to do)
  - $\neg O \rightarrow (\neg W \leftarrow \neg (Bob \ was \ in \ a \ good \ mood \land Bob \ had$  nothing to do))
  - $\neg O \rightarrow (\neg W \leftarrow \neg (G \land \neg Bob \ had \ something \ to \ do))$

$$\neg O \rightarrow (\neg W \leftarrow \neg (G \land \neg S))$$
$$\neg O \rightarrow (\neg (G \land \neg S) \rightarrow \neg W)$$

 $\begin{array}{c} \text{if not Othen if not both $G$ and not $S$ then} \\ \text{not $W$} \end{array}$ 

[O: Bob was under an obligation to help; G: Bob was in a good mood; S: Bob had something to do; W: Bob worked]

- 3. a.  $\neg$  I'll see it  $\rightarrow \neg$  I'll believe it Unless I see it, I won't believe it or: I'll believe it only if I see it
  - **b.**  $\neg$  the set works  $\leftarrow \neg$  (the set is plugged in  $\land \neg$  the set is broken)
    - ¬ the set works  $\leftarrow$  ¬ (the set is plugged in  $\land$  the set isn't broken)
    - ¬ the set works ← ¬ (the set is plugged in and isn't broken)

The set works only if it is plugged in and isn't broken **or:** The set doesn't work unless it is plugged in and isn't broken

- c. ¬ (Adams will back out ∨ Brown will back out) → (the deal will go through ← ¬ (Collins will have trouble with financing ∨ Davis will have trouble with financing))
  - $\neg$  Adams or Brown will back out  $\rightarrow$  (the deal will go through  $\leftarrow$   $\neg$  Collins or Davis will have trouble with financing)
  - Unless Adams or Brown backs out, the deal will go through if neither Collins nor Davis has trouble with financing
  - **or:** If neither Adams nor Brown backs out, the deal will go through unless Collins or Davis has trouble with financing
  - **or:** Unless Adams nor Brown backs out, the deal will go through unless Collins or Davis has trouble with financing

Glen Helman 25 Aug 2005