Phi 270 F96 part of quiz 4 and all of quiz 5 (of 6)

(questions from these two tests addressed the part of the course your test is designed to cover)

4-4. Identify individual terms and quantifier phrases in the following sentence and indicate links between pronouns and their antecedents. (You can do this by marking up an English sentence; you are *not* being asked to provide a symbolic analysis.)

Al called everyone who left him a message concerning the accident and told them he had seen it.

[answer]

Analyze the following generalizations in as much detail as possible. Provide a key to the non-logical vocabulary (upper and lower case letters) appearing in your answer *and restate the result using an unrestricted quantifier*.

4-5. *Every employee received the letter.*

[answer]

4-6. *Among bystanders, Sam interviewed only soldiers.* [answer]

Analyze the following sentences in as much detail as possible, providing a key to the non-logical vocabulary (upper and lower case letters) appearing in your answer.

- **5-1.** If anyone guessed the number, the prize was awarded. [answer]
- **5-2.** Everyone who worked on any part of the project was honored. [answer]

Synthesize an English sentence whose analysis would yield the following form.

5-3. (∀x: Px) ¬ ∀y Axy

[A: λxy (x *ate* y); P: λx (x *is a person*)] [answer]

Use derivations to establish the validity of the following arguments. You may use attachment rules.

5-4. $\forall x Fx$ $\forall x Gx$ $\forall x (Fx \land Gx)$ [answer] **5-5.** $(\forall x: Fx) Rxa$

- **5-5.** $(\forall x: Fx) Rxa$ $(\forall x: Rxa) \forall y Ryx$ $\forall x (\forall y: Fy) Rxy$ [answer]]
- **5-6.** Use a derivation to show that the following argument is not valid and describe a structure dividing one of the derivation's open gaps. (You will *not* need the rules UG+, RUG+, and ST introduced in §7.8 that are designed to avoid unending gaps.)

 $\frac{\forall x \ Rxx}{Rab \rightarrow \forall x \ Rxa}$

[answer]

Phi 270 F96 Answers to part of quiz 4 and all of quiz 5

4-4.

Al called everyone who left <u>him</u> a message concerning <u>the accident</u> and told <u>them he</u> had seen <u>it</u>

T Q Q T

[*it* could instead have *a message concerning the accident* as its antecedent] **4-5.** *Every employee received the letter*

Every employee is such that (he or she received the letter) (∀x: x is an employee) x received <u>the letter</u>

$$(\forall x: Ex) Rxl$$

 $\forall x (Ex \rightarrow Rxl)$

[E: λx (x is an employee); R: λxy (x received y); l: the letter]

4-6. Among bystanders, Sam interviewed only soldiers Among bystanders, only soldiers are such that (Sam interviewed them) (∀x: x was a bystander ∧ ¬ x was a soldier) ¬ Sam interviewed x

$$(\forall x: Bx \land \neg Sx) \neg Isx \forall x ((Bx \land \neg Sx) \rightarrow \neg Isx)$$

[B: λx (x was a bystander); I: λxy (x interviewed y); S: λx (x was a soldier); s: Sam]

5-1. If anyone guessed the number, the prize was awarded Everyone is such that (if he or she guessed the number, the prize was awarded)

 $(\forall x: x \text{ is a person})$ (if x guessed the number, the prize was awarded) $(\forall x: Px)$ (x guessed the number \rightarrow the prize was awarded)

 $(\forall x: Px) (Gxn \rightarrow Ap)$

[P: λx (x *is a person*); G: λxy (x *guessed* y); n: *the number*]

5-2. Everyone who worked on any part of the project was honored Every part of the project is such that (everyone who worked on it was honored)

(∀x: x is a part of <u>the project</u>) everyone who worked on x was honored
(∀x: Rxj) (∀y: y is a person who worked on x) y was honored
(∀x: Rxj) (∀y: y is a person ∧ y worked on x) Hy

$(\forall x: Rxj) (\forall y: Py \land Wyx) Hy$

[H: λx (x was honored); P: λx (x is a person); R: λxy (x is a part of y); W: λxy (x worked on y); j: the project]

5-3. (∀x: x is a person) ¬ ∀y x ate y
(∀x: x is a person) ¬ x ate everything
No one is such that (he or she ate everything)
No one ate everything

