

8.1.xa. Exercise answers

1. a. *Someone is missing*

$(\exists x: x \text{ is a person}) x \text{ is missing}$

$(\exists x: Px) Mx$

$\exists x (Px \wedge Mx)$

$[M: \lambda x (x \text{ is missing}); P: \lambda x (x \text{ is a person})]$

- b. *No one found the loot.*

$\neg \text{someone found the loot}$

$\neg \text{someone is such that (he or she found the loot)}$

$\neg (\exists x: \underline{x} \text{ is a person}) \underline{x} \text{ found } \underline{\text{the loot}}$

$\neg (\exists x: Px) Fxl$

$\neg \exists x (Px \wedge Fxl)$

$[F: \lambda xy (x \text{ found } y); P: \lambda x (x \text{ is a person}); l: \text{the loot}]$

- c. *There is a tavern in the town*

Something is a tavern in the town

Something is such that (it is a tavern in the town)

$\exists x x \text{ is a tavern in the town}$

$\exists x (\underline{x} \text{ is a tavern} \wedge \underline{x} \text{ is in } \underline{\text{the town}})$

$\exists x (Tx \wedge Ixt)$

$[I: \lambda xy (x \text{ is in } y); T: \lambda x (x \text{ is a tavern}); t: \text{the town}]$

It would also be possible to understand *in the town* to modify the verb *is* rather the noun *tavern*. In that case, the sentence could be restated as *A tavern is in the town* and be analyzed using a restricted existential.

- d. *Some winner of the lottery has not come forward*

Some winner of the lottery is such that (he or she has not come forward)

$(\exists x: x \text{ is a winner of the lottery}) x \text{ has not come forward}$

$(\exists x: \underline{x} \text{ is a winner of } \underline{\text{the lottery}}) \neg \underline{x} \text{ has come forward}$

$(\exists x: Wxl) \neg Fx$

$\exists x (Wxl \wedge \neg Fx)$

$[F: \lambda x (x \text{ has come forward}); W: \lambda xy (x \text{ is a winner of } y); l: \text{the lottery}]$

- e. *Tod watched a dance troop from India*
A dance troop from India is such that (Tod watched it)
 $(\exists x: x \text{ is a dance troop from India}) \underline{\text{Tod watched } x}$
 $(\exists x: \underline{x \text{ is a dance troop}} \wedge \underline{x \text{ is from India}}) Wtx$
- $(\exists x: Dx \wedge Fxi) Wtx$
 $\exists x ((Dx \wedge Fxi) \wedge Wtx)$
- [D: $\lambda x (x \text{ is a dance troop})$; F: $\lambda xy (x \text{ is from } y)$; W: $\lambda xy (x \text{ watched } y)$; i: *India*; t: *Tod*]
- f. *The search turned up no car fitting the description*
 \neg *the search turned up a car fitting the description*
 \neg *a car fitting the description is such that (the search turned it up)*
 $\neg (\exists x: x \text{ is a car fitting the description}) \underline{\text{the search turned up } x}$
 $\neg (\exists x: \underline{x \text{ is a car}} \wedge \underline{x \text{ fit the description}}) Tsx$
- $\neg (\exists x: Cx \wedge Fxd) Tsx$
 $\neg \exists x ((Cx \wedge Fxd) \wedge Tsx)$
- [C: $\lambda x (x \text{ is a car})$; F: $\lambda xy (x \text{ fit } y)$; T: $\lambda xy (x \text{ turned up } y)$; d: *the description*; s: *the search*]
- g. *There is a button behind you that will open the door*
Something is a button behind you that will open the door
Something is such that (it is a button behind you that will open the door)
 $\exists x x \text{ is a button behind you that will open the door}$
 $\exists x (x \text{ is a button behind you} \wedge \underline{x \text{ will open the door}})$
 $\exists x ((\underline{x \text{ is a button}} \wedge \underline{x \text{ is behind you}}) \wedge Oxd)$
- $\exists x ((Bx \wedge Hxo) \wedge Oxd)$
- [B: $\lambda x (x \text{ is a button})$; H: $\lambda xy (x \text{ is behind } y)$; O: $\lambda xy (x \text{ will open } y)$; d: *the door*; o: *you*]

If the prepositional phrase *behind you* is understood to modify *is* instead of *button*, the sentence could be restated as *A button that will open the door is behind you*. This sentence would be analyzed by the restricted existential $(\exists x: Bx \wedge Oxd) Hxo$, in which two of the conjuncts from the quantified predicate in the analysis above appear instead in the restriction of the quantifier.

- h.** *If Tom doesn't find anything, he'll be disappointed*
Tom won't find anything \rightarrow *Tom will be disappointed*
 \neg *Tom will find something* \rightarrow Tom *will be disappointed*
 \neg *something is such that (Tom will find it)* \rightarrow Dt
 $\neg \exists x$ Tom *will find* x \rightarrow Dt
 $\neg \exists x$ Ftx \rightarrow Dt

[D: λx (x will be disappointed); F: λxy (x will find y); t: Tom]

- i.** *Al went to a restaurant no one he knew had heard of*
A restaurant no one Al knew had heard of is such that (Al went to it)

($\exists x$: x is a restaurant no one Al knew had heard of) Al went to x

($\exists x$: x is a restaurant \wedge no one Al knew had heard of x) Wax

($\exists x$: Rx \wedge \neg someone Al knew had heard of x) Wax

($\exists x$: Rx \wedge \neg someone Al knew is such that (he or she had heard of x)) Wax

($\exists x$: Rx \wedge \neg ($\exists y$: y is a person Al knew) y had heard of x) Wax

($\exists x$: Rx \wedge \neg ($\exists y$: y is a person \wedge Al knew y) Hyx) Wax

($\exists x$: Rx \wedge \neg ($\exists y$: Py \wedge Kay) Hyx) Wax

$\exists x$ ((Rx \wedge $\neg \exists y$ ((Py \wedge Kay) \wedge Hyx)) \wedge Wax)

H: λxy (x had heard of y); K: λxy (x knew y); P: λx (x is a person); R: λx (x is a restaurant); W: λxy (x went to y); a: Al]

- 2. a.** $\exists x$ x is burning
something is such that (it is burning)

Something is burning

or: *There is something burning*

- b.** ($\exists x$: x is a person) x is at the door
someone is such that (he or she is at the door)

Someone is at the door

- c.** ($\exists x$: x is a fire) Tamara reported x
Some fire is such that (Tamara reported it)

Tamara reported a fire

- d. $\neg (\exists x: x \text{ is a person} \wedge x \text{ was in the room})$ x knew Sam
 $\neg (\exists x: x \text{ was a person in the room})$ x knew Sam
 \neg someone in the room is such that (he or she knew Sam)
 \neg someone in the room knew Sam

No one in the room knew Sam

- e. $(\exists x: x \text{ is a vase})$ (Vic touched x \wedge x shattered)
 $(\exists x: x \text{ is a vase})$ (Vic touched x and x shattered)
 A vase is such that (Vic touched it and it shattered)

Vic touched a vase and it shattered

- f. $\exists x$ (x had happened \wedge Jane left to deal with x)
 $\exists x$ x had happened and Jane left to deal with x
 something is such that (it had happened and Jane left to deal with it)

Something had happened and Jane left to deal with it

- g. $\exists x$ (Ann forgot x \wedge Bill remembered x)
 $\exists x$ (Ann forgot x and Bill remembered x)
 something is such that (Ann forgot it and Bill remembered it)

Ann forgot something and Bill remembered it

or: There is something that Ann forgot and Bill remembered

- h. $(\exists x: x \text{ was fast} \wedge x \text{ was heavy})$ the instrument detected x
 $(\exists x: x \text{ was fast and heavy})$ the instrument detected x
 $(\exists x: x \text{ is a thing that was fast and heavy})$ the instrument detected x

Something that was fast and heavy was such that (the instrument detected it)

The instrument detected something that was fast and heavy

or: The instrument detected something fast and heavy