5.2.xa. Exercise answers

1. a. Tom was late $\leftarrow \neg$ Tom left early

$$\begin{array}{c} L \leftarrow \neg \ E \\ \neg \ E \rightarrow L \\ \text{if not Ethen } L \end{array}$$

[E: Tom left early; L: Tom was late]

b. \neg you'll get a good picture $\leftarrow \neg$ you'll take the cap off the lens

$$\neg\ P \leftarrow \neg\ C$$

$$\neg\ C \rightarrow \neg\ P$$
 if not C then not P

[C: you'll take the cap off the lens; P: you'll get a good picture]

- **c.** Neither Ann nor Bill knew of it ← ¬ Ann and Bill both knew of it
 - ¬ (Ann knew of it \lor Bill knew of it) \leftarrow ¬ (Ann knew of it \land Bill knew of it)

$$\neg (A \lor B) \leftarrow \neg (A \land B)$$
$$\neg (A \land B) \rightarrow \neg (A \lor B)$$

if not both A and B then not either A or B

[A: Ann knew of it; B: Bill knew of it]

d. The bill will pass if the chairman supports it ← ¬ public opinion will run heavily against the bill
 (the bill will pass ← the chairman will support the bill) ← ¬ A

$$(P \leftarrow S) \leftarrow \neg A$$

$$\neg A \rightarrow (S \rightarrow P)$$
 if not A then if S then P

[A: public opinion will run heavily against the bill; P: the bill will pass; S: the chairman will support the bill]

- **e.** ¬ Ed will be late → we'll get started on time and finish early if there isn't a lot of business
 - $\neg L \rightarrow$ (we'll get started on time \land we'll finish early if there isn't a lot of business)
 - $\neg L \rightarrow (T \land (we'll finish early \leftarrow there won't be a lot of business))$
 - $\neg L \rightarrow (T \land (F \leftarrow \neg there will be a lot of business))$

$$\neg L \to (T \land (F \leftarrow \neg B))$$
$$\neg L \to (T \land (\neg B \to F))$$

[B: there will be a lot of business; F: we'll finish early; L: Ed will be late; T: we'll get started on time]

It would be possible to understand the sentence to make the whole of *we'll get started on time and finish early* conditional on *there won't be a lot of business*. On that interpretation, the form would be $\neg L \rightarrow (\neg B \rightarrow (T \land F))$. However, the interpretation used above fits better with common sense expectations concerning the content, and that is often the grounds on which ambiguous sentences are understood in a particular way.

- **f.** Bob was under no obligation to help → Bob worked only if he was in a good mood and had nothing to do
 - ¬ Bob was under an obligation to help → (¬ Bob worked ← ¬ Bob was in a good mood and had nothing to do)
 - $\neg O \rightarrow (\neg W \leftarrow \neg (Bob \ was \ in \ a \ good \ mood \land Bob \ had \ nothing \ to \ do))$
 - $\neg O \rightarrow (\neg W \leftarrow \neg (G \land \neg Bob \ had \ something \ to \ do))$

$$\neg O \rightarrow (\neg W \leftarrow \neg (G \land \neg S))$$
$$\neg O \rightarrow (\neg (G \land \neg S) \rightarrow \neg W)$$

 $\begin{array}{c} \text{if not Othen if not both G and not S then} \\ \text{not W} \end{array}$

[O: Bob was under an obligation to help; G: Bob was in a good mood; S: Bob had something to do; W: Bob worked]

3. a. \neg I'll see it $\rightarrow \neg$ I'll believe it Unless I see it, I won't believe it

or: I'll believe it only if I see it

- **b.** \neg the set works $\leftarrow \neg$ (the set is plugged in $\land \neg$ the set is broken)
 - ¬ the set works ← ¬ (the set is plugged in \land the set isn't broken)
 - \neg the set works $\leftarrow \neg$ (the set is plugged in and isn't broken) The set works only if it is plugged in and isn't broken
 - **or:** The set doesn't work unless it is plugged in and isn't broken
- **c.** ¬ (Adams will back out ∨ Brown will back out) → (the deal will go through ← ¬ (Collins will have trouble with financing ∨ Davis will have trouble with financing))
 - ¬ Adams or Brown will back out → (the deal will go through ← ¬ Collins or Davis will have trouble with financing)

 Unless Adams or Brown backs out, the deal will go through if neither Collins nor Davis has trouble with financing

or: If neither Adams nor Brown backs out, the deal will go through unless Collins or Davis has trouble with financing
or: Unless Adams nor Brown backs out, the deal will go through unless Collins or Davis has trouble with financing

Glen Helman 07 Oct 2004