1.2.x. Exercise questions

- 1. Suppose you know that a certain argument is valid but do not know whether its premises and conclusion are true or false. If you are given one of the further items of information **a-c** about the premises of the argument, what if anything can you say about the truth value of its conclusion?
 - **a.** The premises are all true.
 - **b.** The premises are all false.
 - **c.** Some premises are true and some are false.
- **2.** Suppose that ϕ , ψ / χ is an argument that you know to be valid. If you find that the conclusion χ is false, what if anything can you say about the truth values of the premises ϕ and ψ ?
- **3.** For each of the following items of information, tell what you can conclude from it about the equivalence of sentences ϕ and ψ .
 - **a.** ϕ and ψ are both true
 - **b.** ϕ and ψ are both false
 - **c.** ϕ is true and ψ is false
 - **d.** There is a sentence χ such that χ and φ together entail ψ , and χ and ψ together entail φ (i.e., χ , $\varphi \Rightarrow \psi$ and χ , $\psi \Rightarrow \varphi$)
- **4.** For each of the following pieces of information, tell what if anything you can conclude about the possibilities left open and the possibilities ruled out by the sentence ϕ :
 - **a.** ϕ is equivalent to a tautology ψ
 - **b.** ϕ entails \top
 - **c.** a tautology ψ entails ϕ
 - **d.** ϕ is equivalent to \bot
 - e. ϕ entails an absurdity ψ
 - **f.** \perp entails ϕ

Glen Helman 01 Aug 2004