iains an element of truth. He who formulated those erroneous aesthetic propositions had his eye on practical facts, which attach themselves exernally to the aesthetic fact in economic and moral life.

193

By all means, be partisans of a yet greater liberty in the vulgarization let us leave the proposals for legislative measures, and for actions to be instigated against immoral art, to hypocrites, to the ingenuous, and to how wide soever they be, is always the affair of morality. And it would in tum Aesthetices, which is the independence of art, in order to deduce from it the guiltlessness of the artist, who, in the externalization of his that licenses should be granted to the hawkers who sell obscene statuettes in the streets. This last case is the affair of the police; the first must be ment on the work of art has nothing to do with the morality of the artist, in so far as he is a practical man, nor with the precautions to be taken that art may not be employed for evil purposes alien to its essence, which is of the means of aesthetic reproduction; we are of the same opinion, and dlers. But the proclamation of this liberty, and the fixation of its limits, any case be out of place to invoke that highest principle, that fundamenimaginings, should calculate upon the unhealthy tastes of his readers; or prought before the tribunal of the moral conscience. The aesthetic judgpure theoretic contemplation.

:

From: Benedetto Croce, Aesthetic As Science of Expression and General Linguistic, Douglas Ainslie, tr. (London: Macmillan and Co., 1909)

I

INTUITION AND EXPRESSION

Intuitive HUMAN knowledge has two forms: it is either intuitive knowl-knowledge.

edge or logical knowledge; knowledge obtained through the imagination or knowledge obtained through the intellect; knowledge of the individual or knowledge of the universal; of individual things or of the relations between them: it is, in fact, productive either of images or of concepts.

In ordinary life, constant appeal is made to intuitive knowledge. It is said to be impossible to give expression to certain truths; that they are not demonstrable by syllogisms; that they must be learnt intuitively. The politician finds fault with the abstract reasoner, who is without a lively knowledge of actual conditions; the pedagogue insists upon the necessity of developing the intuitive faculty in the pupil before everything else; the critic in judging a work of art makes it a point of honour to set aside theory and abstractions, and to judge it by direct intuition; the practical man professes to live rather by intuition than by reason.

0

But this ample acknowledgment, granted to intuitive knowledge in ordinary life, does not meet with an equal and adequate acknowledgment in the field of theory and of philosophy. There exists a very ancient science of intellective knowledge, admitted by all without discussion, namely, Logic; but a science of intuitive knowledge is timidly and with difficulty admitted by but a few. Logical knowledge has appropriated the lion's share; and if she does not quite slay and devour her companion, yet yields to her with difficulty the humble little place of maidservant or doorkeeper. What, it says, is intuitive knowledge without the light of intellective knowledge? It is a servant without a master; and though a master find a servant useful, the master is a necessity to the servant, since he enables him to gain his livelihood. Intuition is blind; Intellect lends hereves.

Is independent in the first point to be firmly fixed in the mind is that intumented in the mind is that intumented in the mind in the mind is that intumented in the more of a master, nor to lean upon any knowledge.

most excellent eyes of her own. Doubtless it is possible to find concepts mingled with intuitions. But in many other intuitions there is no trace of such a mixture, which proves that it is not necessary. The impression of a moonlight scene by a painter; the outline of a country drawn by a cartog-

sult, in the diverse effect aimed at by their respective authors. This it is distinctions, but it does not for that reason lose in its total effect its chara concept. The Promessi Sposi contains copious ethical observations and standing all those intuitions, the result of the philosophical dissertation is may contain, the result of the work of art is an intuition; and notwithsophical dissertation, which in its turn may be rich to overflowing with colour of the physicists, but is a characteristic element of the portrait. same way as the red in a painted figure does not there represent the red come simple elements of intuition. The philosophical maxims placed in art, that is, between an intellective fact and an intuitive fact lies in the re-Schopenhauer, do not remove from those works their character of intelcal effusions which may be found in the works of a philosopher like acter of simple story, of intuition. In like manner the anecdotes and satiridescriptions and intuitions. But, notwithstanding all these concepts it abundance and they may be there even more profound than in a philomay be full of philosophical concepts; it may contain them in greater function, not of concepts, but of characteristics of such personage; in the the mouth of a personage of tragedy or of comedy, perform there the dence and autonomy. They have been concepts, but they have now befound mingled and fused with the intuitions, are no longer concepts, in so thing more important and more conclusive. Those concepts which are are impregnated with concepts, there yet remains to be observed some one may maintain that the greater part of the intuitions of civilized man But, think what one may of these instances, and admitting further that may well all be intuitive facts without a shadow of intellective relation lyric, or those with which we ask, command and lament in ordinary life, that determines and rules over the several parts of each. lective treatises. The difference between a scientific work and a work of The whole it is that determines the quality of the parts. A work of art far as they are really mingled and fused, for they have lost all indepenrapher; a musical motive, tender or energetic; the words of a sighing

multion as regards concept and precise idea of intuition as regards concept does not suffice to give a true and precise idea of intuition. Another error arises among those who recognize this, or who, at any rate, do not make intuition explicitly dependent upon the intellect. This error obscures and confounds the real nature of intuition. By intuition is frequently understood the *perception* or knowledge of actual reality, the apprehension of something as *real*.

Certainly perception is intuition: the perception of the room in which I am writing, of the ink-bottle and paper that are before me, of the pen I am using, of the objects that I touch and make use of as instruments of my person, which, if it write, therefore exists; — these are all intuitions.

physical means. We can obtain the effect of certain dramas by simply reading them; others need declamation and scenic display: some artistic intuitions, for their full extrinsication, need words, song, musical instruments, colours, statuary, architecture, actors; while others are beautiful and complete in a single delicate sweep of the pen, or with a few strokes of the pencil. But it is false to suppose that declamation and scenic effects, and all the other things we have mentioned together, are *more powerful* than simply reading, or than the simple stroke with the pen and with the pencil; because each of these facts or groups of facts has, so to say, a different object, and the power of the different means employed cannot be compared when the objects are different.

Commercian Grant Finally, it is only from the point of view of a clear and rigoroffice activity of externous distinction between the true and proper aesthetic activity,
with uniting and the practical activity of externalization, that we can solve the
inverse involved and confused questions as to the relations between art
and utility, and art and morality.

That art as art is independent alike of utility and of morality, as also of every volitional form, we have above demonstrated. Without this independence, it would not be possible to speak of an intrinsic value of art, nor indeed to conceive an aesthetic science, which demands the autonomy of the aesthetic fact as a necessity of its existence.

But it would be erroneous to maintain that this independence of the vision or intuition or internal expression of the artist should be at once extended to the practical activity of externalization and of communication, which may or may not follow the aesthetic fact. If art be understood as the externalization of art, then utility and morality have a perfect right to deal with it; that is to say, the right one possesses to deal with one's own household.

We do not, as a matter of fact, externalize and fix all of the many expressions and intuitions which we form in our mind; we do not declare our every thought in a loud voice, or write down, or print, or draw, or colour, or expose it to the public gaze. We select from the crowd of intuitions which are formed or at least sketched within us; and the selection is governed by selection of the economic conditions of life and of its moral direction. Therefore, when we have formed an intuition, it remains to decide whether or no we should communicate it to others, and to whom, and when, and how; all of which considerations fall equally under the utilitarian and ethical criterion.

Thus we find the concepts of *selection*, of the *interesting*, of *morality*, of an *educational end*, of *popularity*, etc., to some extent justified, although these can in no wise be justified as imposed upon art as art, and we have ourselves denounced them in pure Aesthetic. Error always con-

thetic to particular groups of aesthetic facts, such as the phenomena of Optic or of Acoustic?

The things called Arts have no aesthetic limits, because, in orway der to have them, they would need to have also aesthetic existing.

tence; and we have demonstrated the altogether empirical genesis of those divisions. Consequently, any attempt at an aesthetic classification of the arts is absurd. If they be without limits, they are not exactly determinable, and consequently cannot be philosophically classified. All the books dealing with classifications and systems of the arts could be burned without any loss whatever. (We say this with the utmost respect to the writers who have expended their labours upon them.)

the strange methods to which recourse has been had to carry them out. 189 sight, and imagination; as if eyes, ears, and imagination were on the The impossibility of such classifications finds, as it were, its proof in same level, and could be deduced from the same logical variable, as foundation of the division. Others have proposed the division into arts of space and time, and arts of rest and motion; as if the concepts of space, time, rest, and motion could determine special aesthetic forms, or have sic, and romantic, thereby conferring the value of scientific concepts on simple historical denominations, or adopting those pretended partitions anything in common with art as such. Finally, others have amused themselves by dividing them into classic and romantic, or into oriental, clasof expressive forms, already criticized above; or by talking of arts that can only be seen from one side, like painting, and of arts that can be seen from all sides, like sculpture - and similar extravagances, which exist The first and most common classification is that into arts of hearing, neither in heaven nor on the earth.

The theory of the limits of the arts was, perhaps, at the time when it was put forward, a beneficial critical reaction against those who believed in the possibility of the flowing of one expression into another, as of the *Iliad* or of *Paradise Lost* into a series of paintings, and thus held a poem 190 to be of greater or lesser value, according as it could or could not be translated into pictures by a painter. But if the rebellion were reasonable and victorious, this does not mean that the arguments adopted and the theories made as required were sound.

Critique of Another theory which is a corollary to that of the limits of the him of the union of the arts. Granted differ-

ent arts, distinct and limited, the questions were asked: Which is the most powerful? Do we not obtain more powerful effects by uniting several? We know nothing of this: we know only, in each individual case, that certain given artistic intuitions have need of definite physical means for their reproduction, and that other artistic intuitions have need of other

that is to say, that it could have perceptions of nothing but the real. But if But the image that is now passing through my brain of a me writing in another room, in another town, with different paper, pen and ink, is also ity is extraneous, secondary, to the true nature of intuition. If we assume ages and unreal images, and if this distinction does not originally exist, which are all one to childhood, can furnish us with a sort of very vague and only remotely approximate idea of this ingenuous state. Intuition is the indifferentiated unity of the perception of the real and of the simple image of the possible. In our intuitions we do not oppose ourselves to exan intuition. This means that the distinction between reality and non-realthe existence of a human mind which should have intuitions for the first the knowledge of reality be based upon the distinction between real imthese intuitions would in truth not be intuitions either of the real or of the unreal, but pure intuitions. Where all is real, nothing is real. The child, with its difficulty of distinguishing true from false, history from fable, ternal reality as empirical beings, but we simply objectify our imprestime, it would seem that it could have intuitions of effective reality only, sions, whatever they be. d sensor. Having thus freed intuitive knowledge from any suggestion of

intellectualism and from every posterior and external adjunct, we nust now make clear and determine its limits from another side and from a different kind of invasion and confusion. On the other side, and before he inferior boundary, is sensation, formless matter, which the spirit can possess with form and in form, but postulates its concept as, precisely, a imit. Matter, in its abstraction, is mechanism, passivity; it is what the knowledge and activity is possible; but mere matter produces animality, whatever is brutal and impulsive in man, not the spiritual dominion, which is humanity. How often do we strive to understand clearly what is bassing within us? We do catch a glimpse of something, but this does not we best perceive the profound difference between matter and form. never apprehend in itself, in so far as it is mere matter. This it can only spirit of man experiences, but does not produce. Without it no human appear to the mind as objectified and formed. In such moments it is, that sault and carry off the one that is outside us, while that within us tends to tual activity, while matter is changeable. Without matter, however, our spiritual activity would not leave its abstraction to become concrete and These are not two acts of ours, face to face with one another; but we asabsorb and make its own that without. Matter, attacked and conquered by form, gives place to concrete form. It is the matter, the content, that differentiates one of our intuitions from another: form is constant: it is spirireal, this or that spiritual content, this or that definite intuition.

10

specifically distinct. Let us, however, refrain for the moment from exameven affirm that they have never observed in themselves this "miracuence that is of importance and we set it in relief. mechanism in a more general concept, though admitting that they are will. Others, certainly with greater reason, desire to unify activity and mechanism and has no resemblance to human activity, save when we metaphorical and mythological activity of so-called nature, which is nored or denied. Some confound the spiritual activity of man with the very activity of the spirit, which is essentially ourselves, is so easily igthird implies a difference between the two first. And here it is this differthat the attempt may be made, it is clear that to unify two concepts in a ining if such a unification be possible, and in what sense, but admitting tity, between sweating and thinking, feeling cold and the energy of the lous" activity, as though there were no difference, or only one of quanimagine, with Aesop, that arbores loquuntur non tantum ferae. Some It is a curious fact, characteristic of our times, that this very form, this

13

And yet there is a sure method of distinguishing true intuition, and express. true representation, from that which is inferior to it: the spiritual fact from the mechanical, passive, natural fact. Every true intuition or representation is, also, *expression*. That which does not objectify itself in expression is not intuition or representation, but sensation and naturality. The spirit does not obtain intuitions, otherwise than by making, forming, expressing. He who separates intuition from expression never succeeds in reuniting them.

to himself his impressions and sentiments, but only so far as he is able to able to draw it as it is in all its meanderings? Every one can experience it immediately upon paper or on a slate? How can we have an intuition of figure, unless we possess so accurate an image of it as to be able to trace part of intuition. How can we possess a true intuition of a geometrical to no intuition can expression be wanting, because it is an inseparable bal. But be it pictorial, or verbal, or musical, or whatever else it be called, and expression together of a painter are pictorial; those of a poet are verand sound; to all of these must be extended our affirmation. The intuition But there exist also non-verbal expressions, such as those of line, colour, "expression." It is generally thought of as restricted to verbal expression because, as a general rule, a too restricted meaning is given to the word formulate them. Sentiments or impressions, then, pass by means of words the internal illumination which follows upon his success in formulating the contour of a region, for example, of the island of Sicily, if we are not them. — Should this expression seem at first paradoxical, that is chiefly Intuitive activity possesses intuitions to the extent that it expresses

14

pastel, on the proportions of the human body, on the laws of perspective; a theory of Oratory, with precepts as to the method of producing, of exercising and of strengthening the voice, of mimic and gesture; a theory of Music, on the combinations and fusions of tones and sounds; and so on. Such collections of precepts abound in all literatures. And since it soon becomes impossible to say what is useful and what useless to know, books of this sort become very often a sort of encyclopaedias or catalogues of desiderata. Vitruvius, in his treatise on Architecture, claims for the architect a knowledge of letters, of drawing, of geometry, of arithmetic, of optic, of history, of natural and moral philosophy, of jurisprudence, of medicine, of astrology, of music, and so on. Everything is worth knowing: learn the art and lay it aside.

and isolated, and a science be made of them, then the sphere of the indidifferent arts, would be to wish to reduce to the single and homogeneous a science. They are composed of notions, taken from various sciences theories and technical manuals. natural tendency to philosophy, set themselves to work to produce such thetic) is found, when men possessing strong scientific instincts and a special. This last case (that is, the attempt to furnish a technique of Aesalways general Aesthetic, or better, it cannot be divided into general and vidual art is deserted and that of Aesthetic entered upon, for Aesthetic is the especially artistic observations disseminated through it be extracted hands but the general principles of Mechanic, Optic, or Acoustic. Or if the painter, or the musician, it is clear that nothing would remain in our lection. Were we to give a scientific form to the manuals of the architect, istence as a collection of what was put together precisely to form a colwhat is by nature multiple and heterogeneous; to wish to destroy the exfound in them. To undertake the construction of a scientific theory of the and teachings, and their philosophical and scientific principles are to be It should be evident that such empirical collections are not reducible to

187

but the confusion between Physic and Aesthetic has attained but the confusion between Physic and Aesthetic has attained but to its highest degree, when aesthetic theories of the different arts individual are imagined, to answer such questions as: What are the *limits* of each art? What can be represented with colours, and what with sounds? What with simple monochromatic lines, and what with touches of various colours? What with notes, and what with metres and rhymes? What are the limits between the figurative and the auditional arts, between 188 painting and sculpture, poetry and music?

This, translated into scientific language, is tantamount to asking: What is the connexion between Acoustic and aesthetic expression? What between the latter and Optic? — and the like. Now, if *there is no passage* from the physical fact to the aesthetic, how could there be from the aes-

184 not illuminated by it. Expression does not employ means, because it has this, it precedes the practical activity and the intellectual knowledge ion of light belongs to the vision itself of the picture; as the technique of a dramatist is his dramatic conception itself. On other occasions, the able. And we know well the reason why it is inconceivable; expression, considered in itself, is primary theoretic activity, and, in so far as it is which illumines the practical activity, and is thus independent alike of the one and of the other. It also helps to illumine the practical activity, but is not an end; it has intuitions of things, but does not will them, and is thus indivisible into means and end. Thus if it be said, as sometimes is the case, that a certain writer has invented a new technique of fiction or of drama, or that a painter has discovered a new mode of distribution of word "technique" is used to designate certain merits or defects in a work which is a failure; and it is said, euphemistically, that the conception is rine of the means of internal expression, which is altogether inconceivlight, the word is used in a false sense; because the so-called new technique is really that romance itself, or that new picture itself. The distribuoad, but the technique good, or that the conception is good, and the techechnique of internal expression, which is tantamount to saying, a docnique bad.

15

On the other hand, when the different ways of painting in oils, or of etching, or of sculpturing in alabaster, are discussed, then the word "technique" is in its place; but in such a case the adjective "artistic" is used metaphorically. And if a dramatic technique in the artistic sense be impossible, a theatrical technique is not impossible, that is to say, processes of externalization of certain given aesthetic works. When, for instance, women were introduced on the stage in Italy in the second half of the sixteenth century, in place of men dressed as women, this was a true and real discovery in theatrical technique; such too was the perfecting in the following century by the impresarios of Venice, of machines for the rapid changing of the scenes.

The collection of technical knowledge at the service of artists rent red. desirous of externalizing their expressions, can be divided into the land arts. groups, which may be entitled *theories of the arts*. Thus is born a

groups, which may be entitled *theories of the arts*. Thus is born a theory of Architecture, comprising mechanical laws, information relating to the weight or to the resistance of the materials of construction or of fortification, manuals relating to the method of mixing chalk or stucco; a theory of Sculpture, containing advice as to the instruments to be used for sculpturing the various sorts of stone, for obtaining a successful fusion of bronze, for working with the chisel, for the exact copying of the model in chalk or plaster, for keeping chalk damp; a theory of Painting, on the various techniques of tempera, of oil-painting, of water-colour, of

from the obscure region of the soul into the clarity of the contemplative spirit. In this cognitive process it is impossible to distinguish intuition from expression. The one is produced with the other at the same instant, because they are not two, but one.

cial, distinctive traits. This and nothing else is what we possess in our ora more complete intuition of reality than we really do. One often hears they would have coined them into beautiful, ringing words, and thus exbut that Raphael was Raphael owing to his technical ability in putting the Madonna upon the canvas. Nothing can be more false than this view. The world of which as a rule we have intuitions, is a small thing. It consists of the increasing spiritual concentration of certain moments. These are the sort of words which we speak within ourselves, the judgments that we of colours, from among which would with difficulty stand out a few spedinary life; this is the basis of our ordinary action. It is the index of a This index and labels (which are themselves expressions) suffice for our to the book, from the label to the thing, or from the slight to the greater ntuitions, and from these to the greatest and most lofty. This passage is sometimes far from being easy. It has been observed by those who have best studied the psychology of artists, that when, after having given a rapid glance at anyone, they attempt to obtain a true intuition of him, in before the artist like a world to discover. Michael Angelo said, "one cal as we maintain it, is the illusion or prejudice that we possess people say that they have in their minds many important thoughts, but hat they are not able to express them. In truth, if they really had them, pressed them. If these thoughts seem to vanish or to become scarce and were scarce and poor. People think that all of us ordinary men imagine and have intuitions of countries, figures and scenes, like painters; of bodies, like sculptors; save that painters and sculptors know how to paint and ittle expressions which gradually become greater and more ample with acitly express: "Here is a man, here is a horse, this is heavy, this is hard, this pleases me," etc. It is a medley of light and colour, which could not pictorially attain to any more sincere expression than a haphazard splash small needs and small actions. From time to time we pass from the index order, for example, to paint his portrait, then this ordinary vision, that What remains is found to be at the most some superficial trait, which would not even suffice for a caricature. The person to be painted stands The principal reason which makes our theme appear paradoxiboor in the act of expressing them, either they did not exist or they really to sculpture those images, while we possess them only within our souls. They believe that anyone could have imagined a Madonna of Raphael; book. The labels tied to things take the place of the things themselves. seemed so precise, so lively, reveals itself as little better than nothing.

16

when he is obliged to cross the Bridge of Asses of expression. We say to states its exact amount, so is he confuted who nourishes delusions as to ers. The illusion is less easy as regards musical expression; because it all hours, we do not possess intuitively more than, at the most, certain the former, count; to the latter, speak, here is a pencil, draw, express the wealth of his own thoughts and images. He is brought back to reality, is deceived as to his material wealth is confuted by arithmetic, which intuition and his own intuition the Ninth Symphony. Thus, just as he who not the composer. As if Beethoven's Ninth Symphony were not his own attached notes to the motive, which is already in the mind of him who is would seem strange to everyone to say that the composer had added or traits of his physiognomy, which enable us to distinguish him from oth-Even in the case of our intimate friend, who is with us every day and at ternal meditations, which thus enable him to fix them on the canvas glimpse of, but do not see. We think we see a smile, but in reality we painter is a painter, because he sees what others only feel or catch a work, are then the most active, seeking invention with their minds." The attitude "that men of the most lofty genius, when they are doing the least prior of the convent delle Grazie by standing for days together opposite paints, not with one's hands, but with one's brain." Leonardo shocked the istic traits from which it results, as the painter perceives them after his inhave only a vague impression of it, we do not perceive all the characterthe "Last Supper" without touching it with the brush. He remarked of this

We have each of us, as a matter of fact, a little of the poet, of the sculptor, of the musician, of the painter, of the prose writer: but how little, as compared with those who are so called, precisely because of the lofty degree in which they possess the most universal dispositions and energies of human nature! How little does a painter possess of the intuitions of a poet! How little does one painter possess those of another painter! Nevertheless, that little is all our actual patrimony of intuitions or representations. Beyond these are only impressions, sensations, feelings, impulses, emotions, or whatever else one may term what is outside the spirit, not assimilated by man, postulated for the convenience of exposition, but effectively inexistent, if existence be also a spiritual fact.

inuiting the may then add this to the verbal variants descriptive of inand express tuition, noted at the beginning: intuitive knowledge is expressive
throughout independent and autonomous in respect to intellec-

knowledge, independent and autonomous in respect to intellectual function; indifferent to discriminations, posterior and empirical, to reality and to unreality, to formations and perceptions of space and time, even when posterior: intuition or representation is distinguished as form from what is felt and suffered, from the flux or wave of sensation, or

19

scend again to the level of impressions, in order to be synthetized in a 35 new single expression.

deliverer.

By elaborating his impressions, man frees himself from them

17

By objectifying them, he removes them from him and makes himself their superior. The liberating and purifying function of art is another aspect and another formula of its character of activity. Activity is the deliverer, just because it drives away passivity.

This also explains why it is customary to attribute to artists alike the maximum of sensibility or *passion*, and the maximum insensibility or Olympic *serenity*. Both qualifications agree, for they do not refer to the same object. The sensibility or passion relates to the rich material which the artist absorbs into his psychic organism; the insensibility or serenity to the form with which he subjugates and dominates the tumult of the feelings and of the passions.

XV

182

THE ACTIVITY OF EXTERNALIZATION, TECHNIQUE AND THE THEORY OF THE ARTS

The practical activity and activity and activity are the production of the physically beautiful implies, as of external as already been remarked, a vigilant will, which persists in not its callowing certain visions, intuitions, or representations, to be lost.

18

allowing certain visions, intuitions, or representations, to be lost. Such a will must be able to act with the utmost rapidity, and as it were instinctively, and also be capable of long and laborious deliberations. Thus and only thus does the practical activity enter into relations with the aesthetic, that is to say, in effecting the production of physical objects, which are aids to memory. Here it is not merely a concomitant, but really a distinct moment of the aesthetic activity. We cannot will or not will our aesthetic vision: we can, however, will or not will to externalize it, or better, to preserve and communicate, or not, to others, the externalization produced.

The rechminus of exlient plex of various kinds of knowledge. These are known as technion.

minus like all knowledge which precedes the proctical activity.

183

niques, like all knowledge which precedes the practical activity. Thus we talk of an artistic technique in the same metaphorical and elliptic manner that we talk of the physically beautiful, that is to say (in more precise language), knowledge employed by the practical activity engaged in producing stimuli to aesthetic reproduction. In place of employing so lengthy a phrase, we shall here avail ourselves of the vulgar terminology, since we are henceforward aware of its true meaning.

The possibility of this technical knowledge, at the service of artistic reproduction, has caused people to imagine the existence of an aesthetic

tent, expression and impression, would be the same thing. It is true that 27 the content is that which is convertible into form, but it has no determinable qualities until this transformation takes place. We know nothing of its nature. It does not become aesthetic content at once, but only when it has been effectively transformed. Aesthetic content has also been defined as what is *interpositing*. That is not an untrue statement: it is merely

In has been effectively transformed. Aesthetic content has also been defined as what is *interesting*. That is not an untrue statement; it is merely void of meaning. What, then, is interesting? Expressive activity? Certainly the expressive activity would not have raised the content to the dignity of form, had it not been interested. The fact of its having been interested is precisely the fact of its raising the content to the dignity of form. But the word "interesting" has also been employed in another not illegitimate sense, which we shall explain further on.

33

Unity and Another corollary of the conception of expression as activity of the work is the *indivisibility* of the work of art. Every expression is a of art.

unique expression. Activity is a fusion of the impressions in an organic whole. A desire to express this has always prompted the affirmation that the world of art should have *unity*, or, what amounts to the same thing, *unity in variety*. Expression is a synthesis of the various, the multiple, in the one.

The fact that we divide a work of art into parts, as a poem into scenes, episodes, similes, sentences, or a picture into single figures and objects, background, foreground, etc., may seem to be an objection to this affirmation. But such division annihilates the work, as dividing the organism into heart, brain, nerves, muscles and so on, turns the living being into a corpse. It is true that there exist organisms in which the division gives place to more living things, but in such a case, and if we transfer the analogy to the aesthetic fact, we must conclude for a multiplicity of germs of life, that is to say, for a speedy re-elaboration of the single parts into new single expressions.

34

It will be observed that expression is sometimes based on other expressions. There are simple and there are *compound* expressions. One must admit some difference between the *eureka*, with which Archimedes expressed all his joy after his discovery, and the expressive act (indeed all the five acts) of a regular tragedy. Not in the least: expression is always directly based on impressions. He who conceives a tragedy puts into a crucible a great quantity, so to say, of impressions: the expressions themselves, conceived on other occasions, are fused together with the new in a single mass, in the same way as we can cast into a smelting furnace formless pieces of bronze and most precious statuettes. Those most precious statuettes must be melted in the same way as the formless bits of bronze, before there can be a new statue. The old expressions must de-

from psychic material; and this form this taking possession of, is expression. To have an intuition is to express. It is nothing else! (nothing more, but nothing less) than *to express*.

=

20

INTUITION AND ART

Conollaries BEFORE proceeding further, it seems opportune to draw certain nations. consequences from what has been established and to add some explanation.

Identity of We have frankly identified intuitive or expressive knowledge university with the aesthetic or artistic fact, taking works of art as examples

of intuitive knowledge and attributing to them the characteristics of intuition, and *vice versa*. But our identification is combated by the view, held even by many philosophers, who consider art to be an intuition of an altogether special sort. "Let us admit" (they say) "that art is intuition; but intuition is not always art: artistic intuition is of a distinct species differing from intuition in general by something *more*."

No specific But no one has ever been able to indicate of what this some-difference.

21

a simple intuition, but an intuition of an intuition, in the same way as the concept of science has been defined, not as the ordinary concept, but as the concept of a concept. Thus man should attain to art, by objectifying, is the concept of a concept. If this comparison imply anything, it implies ust the opposite. The ordinary concept, if it be really a concept and not a Science substitutes concepts for representations; it adds and substitutes fer from that by which is formed the smallest universal in the brain of the numblest of men. What is generally called art, by antonomasia, collects thing more consists. It has sometimes been thought that art is not not his sensations, as happens with ordinary intuition, but intuition itself. parison of it with the ordinary and scientific concept does not imply what is wished, for the good reason that it is not true that the scientific concept other concepts larger and more comprehensive for those that are poor and limited. It is ever discovering new relations. But its method does not dif-But this process of raising to a second power does not exist; and the comsimple representation, is a perfect concept, however poor and limited. intuitions that are wider and more complex than those which we generally experience, but these intuitions are always of sensations and impresArt is the expression of impressions, not the expression of expressions. **odiffer** For the same reason, it cannot be admitted that intuition, 22 **meof.in**.

which is generally called artistic, differs from ordinary intuition as to intensity. This would be the case if it were to operate differently on the same matter. But since artistic function is more widely distributed in

different fields, but yet does not differ in method from ordinary intuition, the difference between the one and the other is not intensive but extensive. The intuition of the simplest popular love-song, which says the same thing, or very nearly, as a declaration of love such as issues at every moment from the lips of thousands of ordinary men, may be intensively perfect in its poor simplicity, although it be extensively so much more limited than the complex intuition of a love-song by Leopardi.

The difference in the whole difference, then, is quantitative, and as such, indiference is the stress and ferent to philosophy, scientia qualitatum. Certain men have a empirical.

greater aptitude, a more frequent inclination fully to express certain complex states of the soul. These men are known in ordinary language as artists. Some very complicated and difficult expressions are more rarely achieved and these are called works of art. The limits of the expressions and intuitions that are called art, as opposed to those that are vulgarly called not-art, are empirical and impossible to define. If an epigram be art, why not a single word? If a story; why not the occasional note of the journalist? If a landscape, why not a topographical sketch? The teacher of philosophy in Molière's comedy was right: "whenever we speak we create prose." But there will always be scholars like Monsieur Jourdain, astonished at having created prose for forty years without knowing it, and who will have difficulty in persuading themselves that when they call their servant John to bring their slippers, they have spoken nothing less than — prose.

23

of the same nature, the formation of the smallest and most ordinary concellule or synthesis of cellules. No one is astonished at finding in a lofty cial function or aristocratic circle. No one is astonished when he learns mountains. In the same way, there is not a science of lesser intuition disanimals; nor is there a special chemical theory of stones as distinct from fragment. There is not one physiology of small animals and one of large mountain the same chemical elements that compose a small stone or ration from the general spiritual life, the having made of it a sort of speing the true nature of art, its real roots in human nature, has been its sepa reasons which have prevented Aesthetic, the science of art, from reveal cept and the most complicated scientific and philosophical system And this Aesthetic is the true analogy of Logic. Logic includes, as facts tuitive or expressive knowledge, which is the aesthetic or artistic fact tinct from artistic intuition. There is but one Aesthetic, the science of in tinct from a science of greater intuition, nor one of ordinary intuition disfrom physiology that every cellule is an organism and every organism a We must hold firmly to our identification, because among the principal

24

Artistic geNor can we admit that the word *genius* or artistic genius, as distinct from the non-genius of the ordinary man, possesses

more than a quantitative signification. Great artists are said to reveal us to ourselves. But how could this be possible, unless there be identity of nature between their imagination and ours, and unless the difference be only one of quantity? It were well to change *poeta nuscitur* into *homo nuscitur poeta*: some men are born great poets, some small. The cult and superstition of the genius has arisen from this quantitative difference having been taken as a difference of quality. It has been forgotten that genius is not something that has fallen from heaven, but humanity itself. The man of genius, who poses or is represented as distant from humanity, finds his punishment in becoming or appearing somewhat ridiculous. Examples of this are the *genius* of the romantic period and the *superman* of our time

But it is well to note here, that those who claim unconsciousness as the chief quality of an artistic genius, hurl him from an eminence far above humanity to a position far below it. Intuitive or artistic genius, like every form of human activity, is always conscious; otherwise it would be blind mechanism. The only thing that may be wanting to the artistic genius is the *reflective* consciousness, the superadded consciousness of the historian or critic, which is not essential to artistic genius.

to the fact of the impressions, but these latter are formed and elaborated simple impressions), in like manner with that other thesis, which makes it makes the aesthetic fact to consist of the content alone (that is, of the our meaning cannot be doubtful. We must, therefore, reject the thesis that The aesthetic fact, therefore, is form, and nothing but form. into a filter, which reappears the same and yet different on the other side by it. The impressions reappear as it were in expression, like water put plus expressions. In the aesthetic fact, the aesthetic activity is not added to consist of a junction between form and content, that is, of impressions pressions, and form elaboration, intellectual activity and expression, then understood as emotivity not aesthetically elaborated, that is to say, imwords are taken as signifying what we have above defined, and matter is meanings, which we shall mention, each in its place. But when these form alone, or of both together? This question has taken on various tions in Aesthetic. Does the aesthetic fact consist of content alone, or of form, as it is generally called, is one of the most disputed ques-The relation between matter and form, or between content and

26

From this it results, not that the content is something superfluous (it is, on the contrary, the necessary point of departure for the expressive fact); but that *there* is *no* passage between the quality of the content and that of the form. It has sometimes been thought that the content, in order to be aesthetic, that is to say, transformable into form, should possess some determinate or determinable quality. But were that so, then form and con-