itself alone life (the principle of life), and hindrances or furtherances must be sought outside it and yet in the man, consequently in union with his body.

* [See Burke, On the Sublime and Beautiful, Pt. IV, Sect. 7. "If the pain and terror are so modified as not to be actually noxious; if the pain is not carried to violence, and the terror is not conversant about the present destruction of the person, as these emotions clear the parts, whether fine or gross, of a dangerous and troublesome incumbrance, they are capable of producing delight; not pleasure, but a sort of delightful horror, a sort of tranquillity tinged with terror; which, as it belongs to self-preservation, is one of the strongest of all the passions." Kant quotes from the German version published at Riga in 1773. This was a free translation made from Burke's fifth edition.]

† [*Ibid.*, Pt. IV, Sect. 19. "Beauty acts by relaxing the solids of the whole system. There are all the appearances of such a relaxation; and a relaxation somewhat below the natural tone seems to me to be the cause of all positive pleasure. Who is a stranger to that manner of expression so common in all times and in all countries, of being softened, relaxed, enervated, dissolved, melted away by pleasure?"]

If, however, we place the satisfaction in the object altogether in the fact that it gratifies us by charm or emotion, we must not assume that any *other* man agrees with the aesthetical judgment which we pass, for as to these each one rightly consults his own individual sensibility. But in that case all censorship of taste would disappear, except indeed the example afforded by the accidental agreement of others in their judgments were regarded as *commananding* our assent; and this principle we should probably resist, and should appeal to the natural right of subjecting the judgment, which rests on the immediate feeling of our own well-being, to our own sense and not to that of any other man.

cording to its inner nature—i.e. on account of itself, and not on account of tive or subjective) to which we can never attain by seeking out the empirical laws of mental changes. For these only enable us to know how we judge, but require that the satisfaction be immediately connected with the representation. Thus the empirical exposition of aesthetical judgments may be a beginning of a collection of materials for a higher investigation; but a transcendental discussion of this faculty is also possible, and is an essential part of sibly pass sentence on the judgments of others, and it could not approve or the examples that others give of their taste—to be necessarily valid pluralistically, if we regard it as a judgment which may exact the adhesion of everyone, then there must lie at its basis some a priori principle (whether objecdo not prescribe to us how we ought to judge. They do not supply an unconditioned command, such as judgments of taste presuppose, inasmuch as they If, then, the judgment of taste is not to be valid merely egoistically, but acthe "Critique of Taste." For if it had not a priori principles, it could not posblame them with any appearance of right.

Burke and Kant on the Sublime

Selections from:

Edmund Burke, A Philosophical Enquiry into the Origin of Our Ideas of the Sublime and the Beautiful (1757)

From part I, §7 ("Of the Sublime")

Whatever is fitted in any sort to excite the ideas of pain, and danger, that is to say, whatever is in any sort terrible, or is conversant about terrible objects, or operates in a manner analogous to terror, is a source of the *sublime*; that is, it is productive of the strongest emotion which the mind is capable of feeling.... When danger or pain press too nearly, they are incapable of giving any delight, and are simply terrible; but at certain distances, and with certain modifications, they may be, and they are delightful, as we every day experience...

From part I, §18 ("The Recapitulation")

To draw the whole of what has been said into a few distinct points. The passions which belong to self-preservation, turn on pain and danger; they are simply painful when their causes immediately affect us; they are delightful when we have an idea of pain and danger, without being actually in such circumstances; this delight I have not called pleasure, because it turns on pain, and because it is different enough from any idea of positive pleasure. Whatever excites this delight, I call sublime. The passions belonging to self-preservation are the strongest of all the passions.

The second head to which the passions are referred with relation to their final cause, is society. There are two sorts of societies. The first is, the society of sex. The passion belonging to this is called love, and it contains a mixture of lust; its object is the beauty of women. The other is the great society with man and all other animals. The passion subservient to this is called likewise love, but it has no mixture of lust, and its object is beauty; which is a name I shall apply to all such qualities in things as induce in us a sense of affection and tenderness, or some other passion the most nearly resembling these. The passion of love has its rise in positive pleasure; it is, like all things which grow out of pleasure, capable of being mixed with a mode of uneasiness, that is, where an idea of its object is excited in the mind with an idea at the same time of having irretrievably lost it. This mixed sense of pleasure I have not called pain, because it turns upon actual pleasure, and because it is both in its cause and in most of its effects of a nature altogether different....

Part II, § 1 ("Of the Passion Caused by the Sublime")

The passion caused by the great and sublime in *nature*, when those causes operate most powerfully, is Astonishment; and astonishment is that state of

the soul, in which all its motions are suspended, with some degree of horror. In this case the mind is so entirely filled with its object, that it cannot entertain any other, nor by consequence reason on that object which employs it. Hence arises the great power of the sublime, that far from being produced by them, it anticipates our reasonings, and hurries us on by an irresistible force. Astonishment, as I have said, is the effect of the sublime in its highest degree; the inferior effects are admiration, reverence and respect.

From part II, § 2 ("Terror")

either more openly or latently the ruling principle of the sublime.... ocean is an object of no small terror. Indeed terror is in all cases whatsoever owing to several causes, but it is owing to none more than this, that the can it ever fill the mind with any thing so great as the ocean itself? This is sonous animals of almost all kinds. And to things of great dimensions, if we who though far from being large, are yet capable of raising ideas of the subtrifling, or contemptible, that may be dangerous. There are many animals, gard to sight, is sublime too, whether this cause of terror, be endued with a manner that resembles actual pain. Whatever therefore is terrible, with resoning as fear. For fear being an apprehension of pain or death, it operates in greater. A level plains of a vast extent on land, is certainly no mean idea; the annex an adventitious idea of terror, they become without comparison lime, because they are considered as objects of terror. As serpents and poigreatness of dimensions or not; for it is impossible to look on any thing as No passion so effectually robs the mind of all its powers of acting and reaprospect of such a plain may be as extensive as a prospect of the ocean; but

From part II, § 5 ("Power")

supposed to have the power of inflicting either, it is impossible to be persuffering or enjoyment are in any sort equal, the idea of the suffering must of pain, in its highest degree, is much stronger than the highest degree of mote from that neutral character. For first, we must remember, that the idea in reality, the affection arising from the idea of vast power, is extremely resublime which is not some modification of power. And this branch rises as are so very affecting, that whilst we remain in the presence of whatever is always be prevalent. And indeed the ideas of pain, and above all of death, nate gradations. From hence it is, that where the chances for equal degrees of pleasure; and that it preserves the same superiority through all the subordithese indifferent ones, which may equally belong to pain or to pleasure. But thing that is sublime. The idea of power at first view, seems of the class of naturally as the other two branches, from terror, the common stock of every which produce a similar effect from a mechanical cause, I know of nothing Besides these things which directly suggest the idea of danger, and those fectly free from terror. Again, we know by experience, that for the enjoy-

Both, as explanations of aesthetical universally valid judging, are referred to subjective grounds—in the one case to grounds of sensibility, in favor of the contemplative understanding; in the other case *in opposition to* sensibility, but on behalf of the purposes of practical reason. Both, however, united in the same subject, are purposive in reference to the moral feeling. The beautiful prepares us to love disinterestedly something, even nature itself; the sublime prepares us to esteem something highly even in opposition to our own (sensible) interest.

We may describe the sublime thus: it is an object (of nature) the representation of which determines the mind to think the unattainability of nature regarded as a presentation of ideas.

:

consciousness of existence, without any feeling of well-being or the reverse standing, because life without a feeling of bodily organs would be merely a objectively viewed, they are merely sensible or are quite intellectual, may vations, these analyses of the phenomena of our mind are exceedingly beau understanding, can excite in us the feeling of the beautiful or of the sublime dissolving, and melting away for enjoyment."† And he confirms this explasire), he reduces to "the relaxing, slackening, and enervating of the fibres of satisfying horror, a certain tranquillity tinged with terror."* The beautiful ally to derange the parts of the body, produces movements which, since they self-preservation and on fear, i.e. on a pain, which, not going as far as actumethod "that the feeling of the sublime rests on the impulse toward of the sublime and beautiful leads. Burke, who deserves to be regarded as i.e. of the furthering or the checking of the vital powers. For the mind is by whether it arises from the representations of the imagination or the underrus maintained, all gratification or grief may ultimately be corporeal yet subjectively be united to gratification or grief, however imperceptible eithropology. It is also not to be denied that all representations in us, whether tiful and afford rich material for the favorite investigations of empirical anbut by cases in which it is combined with sensation. As psychological obsernation, not only by cases in which the imagination, in combination with the which he founded on love (which he wishes to keep quite separate from deare capable of exciting pleasant sensations, not indeed pleasure, but a kind of purify the finer or grosser vessels of dangerous or troublesome stoppages, judgments with the physiological worked out by Burke and by many clearfar as it is a modification of the subject, can be indifferent. And so, as Epicuther may be, because they all affect the feeling of life, and none of them, so the body, and a consequent weakening, languor, and exhaustion, a fainting the most important author who adopts this mode of treatment, infers by this headed men among us, in order to see whither a merely empirical exposition We can now compare the above transcendental exposition of aesthetical

ture is small, and thus in our mind we find a superiority to nature even in its closes to us a faculty of judging independently of and a superiority over nature, on which is based a kind of self-preservation entirely different from that which can be attacked and brought into danger by external nature. Thus humanity in our person remains unhumiliated, though the individual might lime in our aesthetical judgments in so far as it excites fear, but because it calls up that power in us (which is not nature) of regarding as small the as nevertheless without any dominion over us and our personality to which we must bow where our highest fundamental propositions, and their assertion or abandonment, are concerned. Therefore nature is here called sublime merely because it elevates the imagination to a presentation of those cases in immensity. And so also the irresistibility of its might, while making us recognize our own [physical]* impotence, considered as beings of nature, disnave to submit to this dominion. In this way nature is not judged to be subthings about which we are solicitous (goods, health, and life), and of regarding its might (to which we are no doubt subjected in respect of these things) which the mind can make felt the proper sublimity of its destination, in comnfinity itself under it as a unity, in comparison with which everything in naparison with nature itself.

* [Second edition.]

Sublimity, therefore, does not reside in anything of nature, but only in our mind, in so far as we can become conscious that we are superior to nature within, and therefore also to nature without us (so far as it influences us). Everything that excites this feeling in us, e.g. the *might* of nature which calls forth our forces, is called then (although improperly) sublime. Only by supposing this idea in ourselves and in reference to it are we capable of attaining to the idea of the sublimity of that Being which produces respect in us, not merely by the might that it displays in nature, but rather by means of the faculty which resides in us of judging it fearlessly and of regarding our destination as sublime in respect of it.

General Remark Upon the Exposition of the Aesthetical Reflective Judgment

If we take the result of the foregoing exposition of the two kinds of aesthetical judgments, there arise therefrom the following short explanations:

The *beautiful* is what pleases in the mere judgment (and therefore not by the medium of sensation in accordance with a concept of the understanding). It follows at once from this that it must please apart from all interest.

The *sublime* is what pleases immediately through its opposition to the interest of sense.

faction: for pleasure must be stolen, and not forced upon us; pleasure follows the will; and therefore we are generally affected with it by many things of a force greatly inferior to our own. But pain is always inflicted by a power in gether. Look at a man, or any other animal of prodigious strength, and what is your idea before reflection? Is it that this strength will be subservient to the terror with which it is generally accompanied, will appear evidently from erable degree of strength of its ability to hurt. When you do this, you spoil it of every thing sublime, and it immediately becomes contemptible. An ox is a able, and not at all dangerous; for which reason the idea of an ox is by no means grand. A bull is strong too; but his strength is of another kind; often very destructive, seldom (at least amongst us) of any use in our business; the some way superior, because we never submit to pain willingly. So that strength, violence, pain and terror, are ideas that rush in upon the mind toyou, to your ease, to your pleasure, to your interest in any sense? No; the emotion you feel is, lest this enormous strength should be employed to the purposes of rapine and destruction. That power derives all its sublimity from creature of vast strength; but he is an innocent creature, extremely servicement of pleasure, no great efforts of power are at all necessary; nay we its effect in the very few cases, in which it may be possible to strip a considknow, that such efforts would go a great way towards destroying our satisidea of a bull is therefore great, and it has frequently a place in sublime descriptions and elevating comparisons....

Part II, § 7 ("Vastness")

ent, or quantity, has the most striking effect. For certainly, there are ways, fects than it is found to do in others. Extension is either in length, height, or never work such an effect as a tower an hundred yards high, or a rock or mountain of that altitude. I am apt to imagine likewise, that height is less grand than depth; and that we are more struck at looking down from a precipice, than at looking up at an object of equal height, but of that I am not very positive. A perpendicular has more force in forming the sublime, than an inclined plane; and the effects of a rugged and broken surface seem stronger than where it is smooth and polished. It would carry us out of our way to enter in this place into the cause of these appearances; but certain it is they afford a large and fruitful field of speculation. However, it may not be amiss to add to these remarks upon magnitude; that, as the great extreme of dimension is sublime, so the last extreme of littleness is in some measure sublime likewise; when we attend to the infinite divisibility of matter, when dent, and the observation too common, to need any illustration; it, is not so common, to consider in what ways greatness of dimension, vastness of exand modes, wherein the same quantity of extension shall produce greater efdepth. Of these the length strikes least; an hundred yards of even ground will Greatness of dimension, is a powerful cause of the sublime. This is too evi-

we pursue animal life into these excessively small, and yet organized beings, that escape the nicest inquisition of the sense, when we push our discoveries yet downward, and consider those creatures so many degrees yet smaller, and the still diminishing scale of existence, in tracing which the imagination is lost as well as the sense, we become amazed and confounded at the wonders of minuteness; nor can we distinguish in its effect this extreme of littleness from the vast itself. For division must be infinite as well as addition; because the idea of a perfect unity can no more be arrived at, than that of a compleat whole to which nothing may be added.

Part II, § 8 ("Infinity")

Another source of the sublime, *is infinity*; if it does not rather belongs to the last. Infinity has a tendency to fill the mind with that sort of delightful horror, which is the most genuine effect, and truest test of the sublime. There are scarce any things which can become the objects of our senses that are really, and in their own nature infinite. But the eye not being able to perceive the bounds of many things, they seem to be infinite, and they produce the same effects as if they were really so. We are deceived in the like manner, if the parts of some large object are so continued to any indefinite number, that the imagination meets no check which may hinder its extending them at pleasure.

struck powerfully on their disordered imagination, in the beginning of their constant repetition of some remark, some complaint, or song; which having other things; but they continue in their old channel until the strength of the some one manner, cannot quickly change their tenor, or adapt themselves to ception, and seem multiplied without end. The senses strongly affected in of uniform and equidistant marks on this pole, they will cause the same deone end, it will seem extended to a length almost incredible. Place a number which are scarcely perceptible. If you hold up a strait pole, with your eye to mers, the hammers beat and the water roars in the imagination long after the about; when we sit down, the objects about us still seem to whirl. After a their spirits, unrestrained by the curb of reason, continues it to the end of men; that they remain whole days and nights, sometimes whole years, in the first sounds have ceased to affect it; and they die away at last by gradations long succession of noises, as the fall of waters, or the beating of forge hamrepeats it long after the first cause has ceased to operate. After whirling phrensy, every repetition reinforces it with new strength; and the hurry of first mover decays. This is the reason of an appearance very frequent in mad-Whenever we repeat any idea frequently, the mind by a sort of mechanism

Part III, § 18 ("Recapitulation")

On the whole, the qualities of beauty, as they are merely sensible qualities,

sidered in an aesthetical judgment as might that has no dominion over us, is dynamically sublime.

If nature is to be judged by us as dynamically sublime, it must be represented as exciting fear (although it is not true conversely that every object which excites fear is regarded in our aesthetical judgment as sublime). For in aesthetical judgments (without the aid of concepts) superiority to hindrances can only be judged according to the greatness of the resistance. Now that which we are driven to resist, is an evil and, if we do not find our faculties a match for it, is an object of fear. Hence nature can be regarded by the aesthetical judgment as might, and consequently as dynamically sublime, only so far as it is considered an object of fear.

But we can regard an object as *fearful* without being afraid of it, viz. if we judge of it in such a way that we merely think a case in which we would wish to resist it and yet in which all resistance would be altogether vain. Thus the virtuous man fears God without being afraid of Him, because to wish to resist Him and His commandments he thinks is a case that he need not apprehend. But in every such case that he thinks as not impossible, he cognizes Him as fearful.

He who fears can form no judgment about the sublime in nature, just as he who is seduced by inclination and appetite can form no judgment about the beautiful. The former flies from the sight of an object which inspires him with awe, and it is impossible to find satisfaction in a terror that is seriously felt. Hence the pleasurableness arising from the cessation of an uneasiness is a *state of joy*. But this, on account of the deliverance from danger [which is involved], is a state of joy when conjoined with the resolve that we shall no more be exposed to the danger; we cannot willingly look back upon our sensations [of danger], much less seek the occasion for them again.

Bold, overhanging, and as it were threatening rocks; clouds piled up in the sky, moving with lightning flashes and thunder peals; volcanoes in all their violence of destruction; hurricanes with their track of devastation; the boundless ocean in a state of tumult; the lofty waterfall of a mighty river, and such like—these exhibit our faculty of resistance as insignificantly small in comparison with their might. But the sight of them is the more attractive, the more fearful it is, provided only that we are in security; and we willingly call these objects sublime, because they raise the energies of the soul above their accustomed height and discover in us a faculty of resistance of a quite different kind, which gives us courage to measure ourselves against the apparent almightiness of nature.

Now, in the immensity of nature and in the insufficiency of our faculties to take in a standard proportionate to the aesthetical estimation of the magnitude of its *realm*, we find our own limitation, although at the same time in our rational faculty we find a different, nonsensuous standard, which has that

Therefore, just as the aesthetical judgment in judging the beautiful refers the imagination in its free play to the understanding, in order to harmonize it with the *concepts* of the latter in general (without any determination of them), so does the same faculty, when judging a thing as sublime, refer itself to the reason, in order that it may subjectively be in accordance with its *ideas* (no matter what they are)—i.e. that it may produce a state of mind conformable to them and compatible with that brought about by the influence of definite (practical) ideas upon feeling.

We hence see also that true sublimity must be sought only in the mind of the [subject] judging, not in the natural object the judgment upon which occasions this state. Who would call sublime, e.g., shapeless mountain masses piled in wild disorder upon one another with their pyramids of ice, or the gloomy, raging sea? But the mind feels itself raised in its own judgment if, while contemplating them without any reference to their form, and abandoning itself to the imagination and to the reason—which, although placed in combination with the imagination without any definite purpose, merely extends it—it yet finds the whole power of the imagination inadequate to its

§ 27. Of the Quality of the Satisfaction in Our Judgments Upon the Sublime

The feeling of our incapacity to attain to an idea which is a law for us is respect. Now the idea of the comprehension of every phenomenon that can be given us in the intuition of a whole is an idea prescribed to us by a law of reason, which recognizes no other measure, definite, valid for everyone, and invariable, than the absolute whole. But our imagination, even in its greatest efforts, in respect of that comprehension which we expect from it of a given object in a whole of intuition (and thus with reference to the presentation of the idea of reason) exhibits its own limits and inadequacy, although at the same time it shows that its destination is to make itself adequate to this idea regarded as a law. Therefore the feeling of the sublime in nature is respect for our own destination, which, by a certain subreption, we attribute to an object of nature (conversion of respect for the idea of humanity in our own subject into respect for the object). This makes intuitively evident the superiority of the rational determination of our cognitive faculties to the greatest faculty of our sensibility.

:

B. Of the Dynamically Sublime in Nature

§ 28. Of Nature Regarded As Might

Might is that which is superior to great hindrances. It is called dominion if it is superior to the resistance of that which itself possesses might. Nature, con-

are the following. First, to be comparatively small. Secondly, to be smooth. Thirdly, to have a variety in the direction of the parts; but fourthly, to have those parts not angular, but melted as it were into each other. Fifthly, to be of a delicate frame, without any remarkable appearance of strength. Sixthly, to have its colours clear and bright; but not very strong and glaring. Seventhly, or if it should have any glaring colour, to have it diversified with others. These are, I believe, the properties on which beauty depends; properties that operate by nature, and are less liable to be altered by caprice, or confounded by a diversity of tastes, than any others.

From part III, § 27 ("The Sublime and Beautiful Compared")

On closing this general view of beauty, it naturally occurs, that we should compare it with the sublime; and in this comparison there appears a remarkable contrast. For sublime objects are vast in their dimensions, beautiful ones comparatively small; beauty should be smooth, and polished; the great, rugged and negligent; beauty should shun the right line, yet deviate from it insensibly; The great in many cases loves the right line, and when it deviates, it often makes a strong deviation; beauty should not be obscure; the great ought to be dark and gloomy; beauty should be light and delicate; the great ought to be solid, and even massive. They are indeed ideas of a very different nature, one being founded on pain, the other on pleasure; and however they may vary afterwards from the direct nature of their causes, yet these causes keep up an eternal distinction between them, a distinction never to be forgotten by any whose business it is to affect the passions....

Part IV, § 3 ("Cause of Pain and Fear")

mentioned in proportion to the nearness of the cause, and the weakness of things from which we cannot probably apprehend any danger have a similar ever produces pleasure, positive and original pleasure, is fit to have beauty engrafted on it. Therefore, to clear up the nature of these qualities, it may be A man who suffers under violent bodily pain; (I suppose the most violent, because the effect may be the more obvious.) I say a man in great pain has his teeth set, his eye-brows are violently contracted, his forehead is wrinkled, his eyes are dragged inwards, and rolled with great vehemence, his hair stands on end, the voice is forced out in short shrieks and groans, and the whole fabric totters. Fear or terror, which is an apprehension of pain or death, exhibits exactly the same effects, approaching in violence to those just the subject. This is not only so in the human species, but I have more than once observed in dogs, under an apprehension of punishment, that they have tion capable of the sublime; to which I add, that not only these, but many effect, because they operate in a similar manner. I observed too, that whatnecessary to explain the nature of pain and pleasure on which they depend. I have before observed, that whatever is qualified to cause terror, is a founda-

it will of itself excite something very like that passion in the mind ever, to such emotions as it would acquire by the means of a certain passion: many other examples, that when the body is disposed, by any means whatsoevery thing else. For it appears very clearly to me, from this, as well as from erally affect the bodily organs by the operation of the mind suggesting the mind, by the intervention of the body; whereas things that cause terror genof all convulsive agitations, especially in weaker subjects, which are the on alternately, and are sometimes mixed with each other. This is the nature sometimes accompanied with an unnatural strength, which sometimes sudthe body, and in the same manner, though somewhat differing in degree blows. From hence I conclude that pain, and fear, act upon the same parts of tension, contraction, or violent emotion of the nerves, they agree likewise in danger; but both agreeing, either primarily, or secondarily, in producing a between pain and terror, is, that things which cause pain operate on the most liable to the severest impressions of pain and fear. The only difference denly changes into an extraordinary weakness; that these effects often come writhed their bodies, and yelped, and howled, as if they had actually felt the That pain and fear consist in an unnatural tension of the nerves; that this is

Part IV, § 5 ("How the Sublime is Produced")

ated idea of danger, there can be no doubt but that they produce terror, and of the mind or the body. With regard to such things as affect by the associted by nature to produce this sort of tension, either by the primary operation stances we have given of it in the second part, relate to such things, as are fitmains towards shewing the cause of the sublime, but to shew that the incause, and in its own nature, from actual and positive pleasure delight can be derived from a cause so apparently contrary to it. I say, dedoubted. But if the sublime is built on terror, or some passion like it, which act by some modification of that passion; and that terror, when sufficiently though it should have no idea of danger connected with it. So that little repassion similar to terror, and consequently must be a source of the sublime, that whatever is fitted to produce such a tension, must be productive of a light, because, as I have often remarked, it is very evidently different in its has pain for its object; it is previously proper to enquire how any species of violent, raises the emotions of the body just mentioned, can as little be lent emotions of the nerves; it easily follows, from what we have just said, Having considered terror as producing an unnatural tension and certain vio-

Part IV, § 6 ("How Pain can be a Cause of Delight")

Providence has so ordered it, that a state of rest and inaction, however it may flatter our indolence, should be productive of many inconveniencies; that it should generate such disorders, as may force us to have recourse to some labour, as a thing absolutely requisite to make us pass our lives, with tolera-

judgment (and thus represent aesthetically) is always a phenomenon, and thus a quantum.

But if we call anything, not only great, but absolutely great in every point of view (great beyond all comparison), i.e. sublime, we soon see that it is not permissible to seek for an adequate standard of this outside itself, but merely in itself. It is a magnitude which is like itself alone. It follows hence that the sublime is not to be sought in the things of nature, but only in our ideas; but in which of them it lies must be reserved for the "Deduction."

mating the magnitude of things of sense excites in us the feeling of a supersublime. But because there is in our imagination a striving toward infinite which can be an object of the senses is, considered on this basis, to be called making the first remark, microscopes for the second. Nothing, therefore smaller standards. Telescopes have furnished us with abundant material for small; and conversely there is nothing so small which does not admit of excould not, if considered in another relation, be reduced to the infinitely nothing can be given in nature, however great it is judged by us to be, which comparison with which everything else is small. Here we easily see that is the state of mind produced by a certain representation with which the reabsolutely great, and in comparison every other use is small. Consequently it ment naturally makes of certain objects on behalf of this latter feeling that is sensible faculty. And it is not the object of sense, but the use which the judgtension by our imagination to the greatness of a world if compared with still flective judgment is occupied, and not the object, that is to be called sublime. idea, therefore this very inadequateness for that idea in our faculty for estiprogress and in our reason a claim for absolute totality, regarded as a real The foregoing explanation can be thus expressed: the sublime is that in

We can therefore append to the preceding formulas explaining the sublime this other: the sublime is that, the mere ability to think which shows a faculty of the mind surpassing every standard of sense.

§ 26. Of That Estimation of the Magnitude of Natural Things Which Is Requisite for the Idea of the Sublime

... Now the proper unchangeable fundamental measure of nature is its absolute whole, which, regarding nature as a phenomenon, would be infinity comprehended. But since this fundamental measure is a self-contradictory concept (on account of the impossibility of the absolute totality of an endless progress), that magnitude of a natural object on which the imagination fruitlessly spends its whole faculty of comprehension must carry our concept of nature to a supersensible substrate (which lies at its basis and also at the basis of our faculty of thought). As this, however, is great beyond all standards of sense, it makes us judge as *sublime*, not so much the object, as our own state of mind in the estimation of it.

lime, as it is incited to abandon sensibility and to busy itself with ideas that involve higher purposiveness.

§ 24. Of The Divisions of an Investigation into the Feeling of the Sublime

:

But the analysis of the sublime involves a division not needed in the case of the beautiful, viz. a division into the *mathematically* and the *dynamically sublime*.

For the feeling of the sublime brings with it as its characteristic feature a movement of the mind bound up with the judging of the object, while in the case of the beautiful taste presupposes and maintains the mind in restful contemplation. Now this movement ought to be judged as subjectively purposive (because the sublime pleases us), and thus it is referred through the imagination either to the faculty of cognition or of desire. In either reference the purposiveness of the given representation ought to be judged only in respect of this faculty (without purpose or interest), but in the first case it is ascribed to the object as a mathematical determination of the imagination, in the second as dynamical. And hence we have this twofold way of representing the sublime.

A. Of the Mathematically Sublime

§ 25. Explanation of the Term Sublime

:

Here it is remarkable that, although we have no interest whatever in an object—i.e. its existence is indifferent to us—yet its mere size, even if it is considered as formless, may bring a satisfaction with it that is universally communicable and that consequently involves the consciousness of a subjective purposiveness in the use of our cognitive faculty. This is not indeed a satisfaction in the object (because it may be formless), as in the case of the beautiful, in which the reflective judgment finds itself purposively determined in reference to cognition in general, but [a satisfaction] in the extension of the imagination by itself.

If (under the above limitation) we say simply of an object "it is great," this is no mathematically definite judgment, but a mere judgment of reflection upon the representation of it, which is subjectively purposive for a certain use of our cognitive powers in the estimation of magnitude; and we always then bind up with the representation a kind of respect, as also a kind of contempt, for what we simply call "small." Further, the judging of things as great or small extends to everything, even to all their characteristics; thus we describe beauty as great or small. The reason of this is to be sought in the fact that whatever we present in intuition according to the precept of the

Melancholy, dejection, despair, and often self-murder, is the consequence of of difficulties, an exertion of the contracting power of the muscles; and as but degree. Labour is not only requisite to preserve the coarser organs in a state fit for their functions, but it is equally necessary to these finer and more delicate organs, on which, and by which, the imagination, and perhaps the other mental powers act. Since it is probable, that not only the inferior parts of the soul, as the passions are called, but the understanding itself makes use of some fine corporeal instruments in its operation; though what they are, and where they are, may be somewhat hard to settle: but that it does make use of such, appears from hence; that a long exercise of the mental powers that great bodily labour, or pain, weakens, and sometimes actually destroys lar parts of the constitution, and that without this rousing they would become ble satisfaction; for the nature of rest is to suffer all the parts of our bodies to fall into a relaxation, that not only disables the members from performing their functions, but takes away the vigorous tone of fibre which is requisite for carrying on the natural and necessary secretions. At the same time, that in this languid inactive state, the nerves are more liable to the most horrid the gloomy view we take of things in this relaxed state of body. The best remedy for all these evils is exercise or labour; and labour is a surmounting such resembles pain, which consists in tension or contraction, in every thing induces a remarkable lassitude of the whole body; and on the other hand, the mental faculties. Now, as a due exercise is essential to the coarse musculanguid, and diseased, the very same rule holds with regard to those finer parts we have mentioned; to have them in proper order, they must be shaken convulsions, than when they are sufficiently braced and strengthened. and worked to a proper degree.

Part IV, § 7 ("Exercise Necessary for the Finer Organs")

As common labour, which is a mode of pain, is the exercise of the grosser, a mode of terror is the exercise of the finer parts of the system; and if a certain mode of pain be of such a nature as to act upon the eye or the ear, as they are the most delicate organs, the affection approaches more nearly to that which has mental cause. In all these cases, if the pain and terror are so modified as not to be actually noxious; if the pain is not carried to violence, and the terror is not conversant about the present destruction of the person, as these emotions clear the parts, whether fine or gross, of a dangerous and troublesome incumbrance, they are capable of producing delight; not pleasure, but a sort of delightful horror, a sort of tranquillity tinged with terror; which, as it belongs to self-preservation, is one of the strongest of all the passions. its object is the sublime. Its highest degree I call *astonishment*; the subordinate degrees are awe, reverence, and respect, which by the very etymology of the words shew from what source they are derived, and how they stand distinguished from positive passions.

From part IV, § 19 ("The Physical Cause of Love")

all positive pleasure... a relaxation somewhat below the natural tone seems to me to he the cause of of the whole system. There are all the appearances of such a relaxation; and sensibility in the observer. And this gradation from the highest pitch of ances are always proportioned to the degree of beauty in the object, and of accompanied with an inward sense of melting and languor. These appeara little opened, and the breath drawn slowly, with now and then a low sigh: usual, and the eyes roll gently with an inclination to the object, the mouth is body is affected, so far as I could observe, much in the following manner. is almost impossible not to conclude that beauty acts by relaxing the solids will seem exaggerated, which it certainly is not. But from this description it their correspondent effects, ought to be kept in view, else this description beauty and sensibility, even to the lowest of mediocrity and indifference, and the whole body is composed, and the hands fall idly to the sides. All this is The head reclines something on one side; the eyelids are more closed than When we have before us such objects as excite love and complacency, the

Selections from:

Immanuel Kant, Critique Of Judgment (J. H. Bernard, tr.)

FIRST PART, FIRST DIVISION

SECOND BOOK. ANALYTIC OF THE SUBLIMI

23. Transition From the Faculty Which Judges of the Beautiful to That Which Judges of the Sublime

The beautiful and the sublime agree in this that both please in themselves. Further, neither presupposes a judgment of sense nor a judgment logically determined, but a judgment of reflection. Consequently the satisfaction [belonging to them] does not depend on a sensation, as in the case of the pleasant, nor on a definite concept, as in the case of the good; but it is nevertheless referred to concepts, although indeterminate ones. And so the satisfaction is connected with the mere presentation [of the object] or with the faculty of presentation, so that in the case of a given intuition this faculty or the imagination is considered as in agreement with the *faculty of concepts* of understanding or reason, regarded as promoting these latter. Hence both kinds of judgments are *singular*, and yet announce themselves as universally valid for every subject; although they lay claim merely to the feeling of pleasure, and not to any cognition of the object.

But there are also remarkable differences between the two. The beautiful in nature is connected with the form of the object, which consists in having [definite] boundaries. The sublime, on the other hand, is to be found in a formless object, so far as in it or by occasion of it *boundlessness* is repre-

sented, and yet its totality is also present to thought. Thus the beautiful seems to be regarded as the presentation of an indefinite concept of understanding, the sublime as that of a like concept of reason. Therefore the satisfaction in the one case is bound up with the representation of *quality*, in the other with that of *quantity*.

And the latter satisfaction is quite different in kind from the former, for this [the beautiful]* directly brings with it a feeling of the furtherance of life and thus is compatible with charms and with the play of the imagination. But the other [the feeling of the sublime]† is a pleasure that arises only indirectly; viz. it is produced by the feeling of a momentary checking of the vital powers and a consequent stronger outflow of them, so that it seems to be regarded as emotion—not play, but earnest in the exercise of the imagination. Hence it is incompatible with [physical] charm; and as the mind is not merely attracted by the object but is ever being alternately repelled, the satisfaction in the sublime does not so much involve a positive pleasure as admiration or respect, which rather deserves to be called negative pleasure.

- * [Second edition
- Second edition.

But the inner and most important distinction between the sublime and beautiful is, certainly, as follows. (Here, as we are entitled to do, we only bring under consideration in the first instance the sublime in natural objects, for the sublime of art is always limited by the conditions of agreement with nature.) Natural beauty (which is independent) brings with it a purposiveness in its form by which the object seems to be, as it were, preadapted to our judgment, and thus constitutes in itself an object of satisfaction. On the other hand, that which excites in us, without any reasoning about it, but in the mere apprehension of it, the feeling of the sublime may appear, as regards its form, to violate purpose in respect of the judgment, to be unsuited to our presentative faculty, and as it were to do violence to the imagination; and yet it is judged to be only the more sublime.

Now we may see from this that, in general, we express ourselves incorrectly if we call any *object of nature* sublime, although we can quite correctly call many objects of nature beautiful. For how can that be marked by an expression of approval which is apprehended in itself as being a violation of purpose? All that we can say is that the object is fit for the presentation of a sublimity which can be found in the mind, for no sensible form can contain the sublime properly so-called. This concerns only ideas of the reason which, although no adequate presentation is possible for them, by this inadequateness that admits of sensible presentation are aroused and summoned into the mind. Thus the wide ocean, disturbed by the storm, cannot be called sublime. Its aspect is horrible; and the mind must be already filled with manifold ideas if it is to be determined by such an intuition to a feeling itself sub-