LECTURE V.

167

Proper or objects:—to laws proper or properly so called, and to laws proper or improper or in the way of metaphor.

170

Distributed Accordingly, I distribute laws proper, with such improper tion of laws laws as are closely analogous to the proper, and ital classes.

proper The first comprises the laws (properly so called) which laws as are closely are set by God to his human creatures.

analogous The second comprises the laws (properly so called) to the proper, un-which are set by men as political superiors, or by men, as der three private persons, in pursuance of legal rights.

but not by men as political superiors, nor by men, as private The law of The laws (properly so called) which are set by men to men, persons, in pursuance of legal rights: 2. The laws which are immediately, for the following reason. No law of either species is a direct or circuitous command of a monarch or sovereign number in the character of political superior. In mand of a monarch or sovereign number to a person or persons in a state of subjection to its author. Consequently, laws of both species law of that second capital class is a direct or circuitous command of a that is to say, a direct or circuitous command of a monarch or sover-The third comprises laws of the two following species: 1. law, or pos- closely analogous to laws proper, but are merely opinions or sentiments held or felt by men in regard to human conduct.—I put laws of these species into a common class, and I mark them with the common name to which I shall advert other words, no law of either species is a direct or circuitous commay be aptly opposed to laws of the second capital class. For every monarch or sovereign number in the character of political superior: eign number to a person or persons in a state of subjection to its auclasses.-1. God, or the 3. Positive or positive itive laws. morality, morality, laws of Positive rules of positive God. 2.

Laws comprised by these three capital classes I mark with the following names.

law or laws. I name laws of the first class the law or laws of God, or the Divine

laws of the second class positive law, or positive laws. For various reasons which I shall produce immediately. I name

morality, rules of positive morality, or positive moral rules. For the same reasons, I name laws of the third class positive 171

are closely connected. itive morality, I pass to certain expressions with which they From the expression positive law and the expression pos-

goodness or badness. strictly so called, as considered without regard to their risprudence) is concerned with positive laws, or with laws The science of jurisprudence (or, simply and briefly, ju-

positive science of dence and Jurispruscience of sions: viz. expres-

denitions of closely analogous to jurisprudence. I say 'might be:' since it and science international law), that positive morality, as considered of morals. legislation, science of morality; is only in one of its branches (namely, the law of nations or goodness or badness, might be the subject of a science Positive morality, as considered without regard to its 173

of the science in question which relates to international law, has actustyled analogically 'the science of positive morality.' The department styled 'the science of positive law,' the science in question might be ontology. But, since the science of jurisprudence is not unfrequently would have hit its import with perfect precision. tional law,' or 'practical international law.' Had he named that detives oder practisches Völkerrecht:' that is to say, 'positive internaally been styled by Von Martens, a recent writer of celebrity, 'posiapplied (as I shall show immediately) to a department of ethics or debiguously: the name morals, or science of morals, being commonly name. The name morals, or science of morals, would denote it ambadness, current or established language will hardly afford us a positive morality, as considered without regard to its goodness or by writers in a scientific or systematic manner.—For the science of partment of the science 'positive international morality,' the name without regard to its goodness or badness, has been treated

ought to be; or it affects to expound them as they would be if they were good or worthy of praise; or it affects to expound them as they pound them as they should be; or it affects to expound them as they that they may merit approbation. In other words, it affects to exdetermine the principles whereon they must be fashioned in order ence of deontology) may be defined in the following manner.—It affects to determine the test of positive law and morality, or it affects to The science of ethics (or, in the language of Mr. Bentham, the sci-

172

doctrine sleeps, and men habitually admit the validity of laws which they dislike. To prove by pertinent reasons that a law is pernicious is highly useful, because such process may lead to the abrogation of the pernicious law. To incite the public to resistance by determinate views of *utility* may be useful, for resistance, grounded on clear and definite prospects of good, is sometimes beneficial. But to proclaim generally that all laws which are pernicious or contrary to the will of God are void and not to be tolerated, is to preach anarchy, hostile and perilous as much to wise and benign rule as to stupid and galling tyranny.

Amother In another passage of his 'Commentaries,' Blackstone enters into an argument to example prove that a master cannot have a right to the labour of his slave. Had he contented hom himself with expressing his disapprobation, a very well-grounded one certainly, of

the institution of slavery, no objection could have been made to his so expressing himself. But to dispute the existence or the possibility of the right is to talk absurdly. For in every age, and in almost every nation, the right has been given by positive law, whilst that pernicious disposition of positive law has been backed by the positive morality of the free or master classes.

would be if they conformed to an assumed measure.

The science of ethics (or, simply and briefly, ethics) consists of two departments: one relating specially to positive law, the other relating specially to positive morality. The department which relates specially to positive law, is commonly styled *the science of legislation*, or, simply and briefly, *legislation*. The department which relates specially to positive morality, is commonly styled *the science of morals*, or, simply and briefly, *morals*.

:

182

the positive moral rules which are laws improperly so tive moral called, are laws set or imposed by general opinion: that is to are laws which say, by the general opinion of any class or any society of 183 improperly persons. For example, Some are set or imposed by the general opinion of persons who are members of a profession or or imposed calling: others, by that of persons who inhabit a town or opinion.

The positive moral and is that is to a profession or or imposed calling: others, by that of a nation or independent political society: others, by that of a larger society formed of various nations.

A few species of the laws which are set by general opinion have gotten appropriate names.—For example, There are laws or rules imposed upon gentlemen by opinions current amongst gentlemen. And these are usually styled the rules of honour, or the laws or law of honour.—There are laws or rules imposed upon people of fashion by opinions current in the fashionable world. And these are usually styled the law set by fashion.—There are laws which regard the conduct of independent political societies in their various relations to one another. Or, rather, there are laws which regard the conduct of sovereigns or supreme governments in their various relations to one another. And laws or rules of this species, which are imposed upon nations or sovereigns by opinions current amongst nations, are usually styled the law of nations or international law.

extension of the term. When we speak of a law set by genpersons regards a kind of conduct with a sentiment of avernate body opines unfavourably or favourably of a given kind of conduct. In consequence of that sentiment, or in them will be displeased with a party who shall pursue or not pursue conduct of that kind. And, in consequence of that displeasure, it is ikely that some party (what party being undetermined) will visit the Now a law set or imposed by general opinion is a law imor imposed properly so called. It is styled a law or rule by an analogical eral opinion, we denote, by that expression, the following fact.—Some indeterminate body or uncertain aggregate of sion or liking: Or (changing the expression) that indetermiconsequence of that opinion, it is likely that they or some of persons in regard to a merely the by general opinion, is of an indeopinion or A law set terminate conduct. kind of body of

party provoking it with some evil or another.

cannot signify a wish by oral or written words, or by positive or negcertain, it cannot, as a body, express or, intimate a wish. As a body, it opinion which it holds, in regard to a kind of conduct. to impose, is merely the *sentiment* which it feels, or is merely the ative deportment. The so called law or rule which its opinion is said mand, expressly or tacitly, that conduct of the given kind shall be forborne or pursued. For, since it is not a body precisely determined or The body by whose opinion the law is said to be set, does not com-

impute it to the author of the model. God, they forget that the copy is the creature of the sovereign, and morality, or where positive law has been fashioned on the law of morality, and of positive law and the law of God, the true nature and jurisprudence. Where positive law has been fashioned on positive fountain of positive law is often absurdly mistaken by writers upon In consequence of the frequent coincidence of positive law and 199

erned before they were clothed with sanctions by the sovereign one grounds of judicial decisions upon cases, and are clothed with legal or number, it is fancied that customary laws exist as positive laws by tive morality. But, because the customs were observed by the govor number, the customs are rules of positive law as well as of posiupon cases, and are clothed with legal sanctions by the sovereign one morally: Though, when they become the reasons of judicial decisions rules set by opinions of the governed, and sanctioned or enforced sanctions by the sovereign one or number, the customs are merely cial legislation upon preexisting customs. Now, till they become the the institution of the private persons with whom the customs origi For example: Customary laws are positive laws fashioned by judi-

214

morality with deontology; and 2ndly, to confound positive law with positive morality, and both with legislation and deontology.—(See page 200, and note there.) morality, that is, 1st, to confound positive law with the science of legislation, and positive Note-on the prevailing tendency to confound what is with what ought to be law or

law with the science dislike it, or though it vary from the text, by which we regulate our approbation is a different enquiry. A law, which actually exists, is a law, though we happen to be not is one enquiry; whether it be or be not conformable to an assumed standard, and disapprobation. This truth, when formally announced as an abstract proposistances in which it has been forgotten would fill a volume. glaring as it is, when enunciated in abstract expressions the enumeration of the intion, is so simple and glaring that it seems idle to insist upon it. But simple and The existence of law is one thing its merit or demerit is another. Whether it be or

of legisla-

positive

Blackstone. Example the laws of God are superior in obligation to all other laws; that no human Sir William Blackstone, for example, says in his 'Commentaries,' that

> them; and that all valid laws derive their force from that Divine original laws should be suffered to contradict them; that human laws are of no validity if contrary to

it tells us just nothing. If this be Blackstone's meaning, I assent to his proposition, and have only to object to it, that interest to choose the smaller and more uncertain evil, in preference to the greater and surer. the term ought: the proposition is identical, and therefore perfectly indisputable—it is our to disobey the command which is enforced by the less powerful sanction; this is implied in imposed by any other laws, and if human commands conflict with the Divine law, we ought we are obnoxious; the obligations which they impose are consequently paramount to those hands of God as a consequence of disobeying His commands are the greatest evils to which meaning, I assent to it without hesitation. The evils which we are exposed to suffer from the Now, he may mean that all human laws ought to conform to the Divine laws. If this be his

applied from without are required to give them a direction conformable to the general happiprinciple of utility, that law embraces the whole of our voluntary actions in so far as motives God will punish them. To this also I entirely assent: for if the index to the law of God be the laws to fashion the laws which they impose by that ultimate standard, because if they do not, Perhaps, again, he means that human lawgivers are themselves obliged by the Divine

out an obligation is a contradiction in terms. I suppose this to be his meaning, because when ample, if it be a contract, we mean that the political law will not lend its sanction to enforce we say of any transaction that it is invalid or void, we mean that it is not binding: as, for exother words, that no human law which conflicts with the Divine law is a law, for a law withthis: that no human law which conflicts with the Divine law is obligatory or binding; in But the meaning of this passage of Blackstone, if it has a meaning, seems rather to be

of the law of which I have impugned the validity. An exception, demurrer, or plea, founded to the present moment. on the law of God was never heard in a Court of Justice, from the creation of the world don tice will demonstrate the inconclusiveness of my reasoning by hanging me up, in pursuance human lawgivers shall not prohibit acts which have no evil consequences, the Court of Jusand if I object to the sentence, that it is contrary to the law of God, who has commanded that sovereign under the penalty of death; if I commit this act, I shall be tried and condemned. by judicial tribunals. Suppose an act innocuous, or positively beneficial, be prohibited by the which are most opposed to the will of God, have been and are continually enforced as laws say, are not laws, is to talk stark nonsense. The most pernicious laws, and therefore those Now, to say that human laws which conflict with the Divine law are not binding, that is to

divines, at least all reasonable divines, admit that no scheme of duties perfectly complete certain, the motives which they hold out to disobey any human command which is at variapparent. In quiet times the dictates of utility are fortunately so obvious that the anarchical specious name. In times of civil discord the mischief of this detestable abuse of language is seem to assign a reason for my dislike, when in truth I have only given it a sounding and science or my moral sense, I urge the same argument in another and a more plausible form: I binding and ought to be disobeyed, no one will listen to me; but by calling my hate my conone which I find it incommodious to avow. If I say openly, I hate the law, ergo, it is not which I object and cannot tell why, or that I hate the law, and that the cause of my hatred is convenient cloaks for ignorance or sinister interest: they mean either that I hate the law to another. And as for the moral sense, innate practical principles, conscience they are merely ity is obviously insufficient. What appears pernicious to one person may appear beneficial to and unambiguous was ever imparted to us by revelation. As an index to the Divine will, utilance with them are paramount to all others. But the laws of God are not always certain. All cogent and compulsory than those by which it is itself sanctioned. If the laws of God are law ought to be disobeyed, what is meant is that we are urged to disobey it by motives more But this abuse of language is not merely puerile, it is mischievous. When it is said that a