SUMMARY OF PATH INTEGRALS AND RELATED THEOREMS

MATH 225 CHAPTER 6 OF COLLEY'S TEXT

Chapter 6 of Colley's text introduces path integrals and explores their consequences. This pulls together a lot of things we have done so far, and introduces several new ideas as well. It can be a bit overwhelming, and so here is a summary. Several coordinate formulas are stated in \mathbb{R}^2 , but generalize to \mathbb{R}^3 in an obvious way. A few things only work in \mathbb{R}^2 . They either have no generalization to \mathbb{R}^3 , or the generalization to \mathbb{R}^3 is not obvious.

PATH INTEGRALS WITH RESPECT TO ARC-LENGTH ($\S6.1$)

If C is a path in E^n and f is a function on C, we can define $\int_C f \, ds$.

EXAMPLES AND APPLICATIONS.

- (1) $L = \int_C ds$ is the length of C. (§3.2)
- (2) If δ is mass density (mass per unit length) along C, then $M = \int_C \delta ds$ is the mass of C.
- (3) In \mathbb{R}^2 the centroid of C is the point (\bar{x}, \bar{y}) where $\bar{x} = \frac{1}{L} \int_C x \, ds$ and $\bar{y} = \frac{1}{L} \int_C y \, ds$. These are the average values of x and y on C. The integrals in these expressions are the moments of C.
- (4) In \mathbb{R}^2 the center of mass of *C* is the point $(x_{\rm cm}, y_{\rm cm})$ where $x_{\rm cm} = \frac{1}{M} \int_C x \delta \, ds$ and $y_{\rm cm} = \frac{1}{M} \int_C y \delta \, ds$. These are weighted average values of *x* and *y* on *C*, weighted by δ . The integrals in these expressions are the moments of the mass distribution.

PATH INTEGRALS OF A VECTOR FIELD $(\S6.1)$

If C is a path in E^n and **F** is a vector field along C, we can define $\int_C \mathbf{F} \cdot dX$. Here X represents a point in E^n . Then dX represents an infinitesimal displacement along C, and so is a vector. Since ds is the length of dX, we have $dX/ds = \mathbf{T}$, where **T** is the unit tangent vector pointing in the direction of motion along C (from §3.2). We get

$$\int_C \mathbf{F} \cdot dX = \int_C \mathbf{F} \cdot \mathbf{T} \, ds.$$

In \mathbb{R}^2 we have $\mathbf{F} = P\mathbf{i} + Q\mathbf{j}$, where P and Q are the coordinate functions of \mathbf{F} , X = (x, y), and $dX = dx \mathbf{i} + dy \mathbf{j}$, and so

$$\int_C \mathbf{F} \cdot dX = \int_C P \, dx + Q \, dy.$$

In \mathbb{R}^2 , let $\mathbf{n} = -\mathbf{T}_{\perp}$, so that \mathbf{n} is a unit vector pointing to the right as you move along the curve (this is the opposite of \mathbf{N} used in Section 3.2). Then we can define $\int_C \mathbf{F} \cdot \mathbf{n} \, ds$. (This does not generalize directly to \mathbb{R}^3 because there is no perp operator in \mathbb{R}^3 .)

APPLICATIONS.

- (1) If **F** is a force acting on a particle that moves along *C*, then $\int_C \mathbf{F} \cdot dX = \int_C \mathbf{F} \cdot \mathbf{T} \, ds$ is the work done by **F**.
- (2) If **F** is the velocity of a fluid flow, then $\int_C \mathbf{F} \cdot \mathbf{T} \, ds$ is a measure of how the flow agrees with the direction of the curve. In particular, if *C* is the boundary of some region *R*, then $\oint_C \mathbf{F} \cdot \mathbf{T} \, ds$ is a measure of the instantaneous circulation of the flow around the boundary of the region. Green's Theorem (§6.2) can then be used to give an interpretation of curl **F**.
- (3) In \mathbb{R}^2 , if **F** is the velocity of a fluid flow, then $\int_C \mathbf{F} \cdot \mathbf{n} \, ds$ is a measure of how the flow crosses the curve in the direction of **n**. This is called the *flux* of **F** across the curve (§6.2). In particular, if *C* is the boundary of some region *R* oriented so that **n** is the outward-pointing unit normal, then $\oint_C \mathbf{F} \cdot \mathbf{n} \, ds$ is a measure of the rate at which the fluid is escaping the region. Green's Theorem (§6.2) can then be used to give an interpretation of div **F**. In \mathbb{R}^3 there is a notion of flux across a surface, but not across a curve (§7.2).

DEPENDENCE ON ORIENTATION $(\S 6.1)$

The integral $\int_C f \, ds$ does not depend on orientation; the integral $\int_C \mathbf{F} \cdot dX$ does. More specifically, if \tilde{C} represents C with the opposite orientation, then

$$\int_{\tilde{C}} f \, ds = \int_{C} f \, ds \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\tilde{C}} \mathbf{F} \cdot dX = -\int_{C} \mathbf{F} \cdot dX.$$

This is part of Theorem 1.5.

EVALUATION OF PATH INTEGRALS $(\S 6.1)$

Suppose C is the image of $\gamma \colon [a, b] \to E^n$, assumed to be piecewise C^1 . One can formally take $X = \gamma(t)$ and $dX = \gamma'(t) dt$ to get

$$\int_{C} \mathbf{F} \cdot dX = \int_{C} \mathbf{F}(X) \cdot dX = \int_{a}^{b} \mathbf{F}(\gamma(t)) \cdot \gamma'(t) dt$$

In coordinates we have x = x(t), y = y(t), dx = x'(t) dt, and dy = y'(t) dt, and so

$$\int_{C} P \, dx + Q \, dy = \int_{C} P(x, y) \, dx + Q(x, y) \, dy = \int_{a}^{b} \Big(P\big(x(t), y(t)\big) x'(t) + Q\big(x(t), y(t)\big) y'(t)\big) \, dt.$$

Note that in some cases the parameter can be taken to be x or y. For example, if the curve is given by y = y(x) for $a \le x \le b$, then dy = y'(x) dx and

$$\int_{C} P \, dx + Q \, dy = \int_{C} P(x, y) \, dx + Q(x, y) \, dy = \int_{a}^{b} \Big(P\big(x, y(x)\big) + Q\big(x, y(x)\big) y'(x)\big) \, dx.$$

To evaluate a flux integral (§6.2) in \mathbb{R}^2 (but not in \mathbb{R}^3), use the fact that $\mathbf{F} \cdot \mathbf{n} = \mathbf{F}_{\perp} \cdot \mathbf{n}_{\perp} = \mathbf{F}_{\perp} \cdot \mathbf{T}$:

$$\int_C \mathbf{F} \cdot \mathbf{n} \, ds = \int_C \mathbf{F}_\perp \cdot \mathbf{T} \, ds = \int_C \mathbf{F}_\perp \cdot dX = \int_C -Q \, dx + P \, dy = \int_a^b \mathbf{F} \big(\gamma(t) \big)_\perp \cdot \gamma'(t) \, dt.$$

For path integrals with respect to arc length we have $ds = \frac{ds}{dt} dt = \|\gamma'(t)\| dt$ or $ds = \|dX\| = \|\gamma'(t) dt\| = \|\gamma'(t)\| dt$, and so

$$\int_C f \, ds = \int_C f(X) \, ds = \int_a^b f(\gamma(t)) \, \|\gamma'(t)\| \, dt.$$

In coordinates we have $ds = \sqrt{dx^2 + dy^2} = \sqrt{x'(t)^2 + y'(t)^2} dt$, and so

$$\int_C f \, ds = \int_C f(x, y) \, ds = \int_a^b f(x(t), y(t)) \sqrt{x'(t)^2 + y'(t)^2} \, dt.$$

If the curve is given by y = y(x) for $a \le x \le b$, then

$$\int_C f \, ds = \int_C f(x, y) \, ds = \int_a^b f(x, y(x)) \sqrt{1 + y'(x)^2} \, dx.$$

When f = 1, the length of the curve is then $\int_a^b \sqrt{1 + y'(x)^2} \, dx$, a formula you may remember from Math 112.

PATH INTEGRALS AND GREEN'S THEOREM $(\S6.2)$

Green's Theorem and Variations. Let R be a bounded region in \mathbb{R}^2 with piecewise C^1 boundary ∂R , oriented in the positive direction. Suppose that $\mathbf{F} = P\mathbf{i} + Q\mathbf{j}$ is a C^1 vector field on R. Then

$$\oint_{\partial R} P \, dx + Q \, dy = \oint_{\partial R} \mathbf{F} \cdot dX = \oint_{\partial R} \mathbf{F} \cdot \mathbf{T} \, ds = \iint_{R} \left(\frac{\partial Q}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial P}{\partial y} \right) dA = \iint_{R} \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{F} \, dA$$

and

$$\oint_{\partial R} \mathbf{F} \cdot \mathbf{n} \, ds = \iint_R \operatorname{div} \mathbf{F} \, dA$$

The last variation is known as the Divergence Theorem.

Green's Theorem is an important generalization of the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus, $f(b) - f(a) = \int_a^b f'(x) dx$. Both say that an oriented sum of a quantity on the boundary is equal to the sum of some derivative of the quantity on the interior.

Recall the interpretations of $\oint_{\partial R} \mathbf{F} \cdot \mathbf{T} \, ds$ and $\oint_{\partial R} \mathbf{F} \cdot \mathbf{n} \, ds$ in the second section above. Green's Theorem then gives interpretations to curl \mathbf{F} and div \mathbf{F} as measures of rotation and expansion.

Green's Theorem and its variations generalize to \mathbb{R}^3 in two ways, known as the theorems of Gauss and Stokes (§7.3). They generalize further to fairly general multi-dimensional surfaces and solids, and are known collectively simply as Stokes' Theorem (§8.3). It is an important theorem of modern mathematics.

Generalized Stokes' Theorem (or the FTC on steroids). Let M be an oriented n-dimensional manifold with boundary ∂M . Let α be a differential form of degree n-1 on M. Then

$$\int_{\partial M} \alpha = \int_M d\alpha$$

This is the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus (Math 111 version and for path integrals, Theorem 1 below), Green's Theorem, the Divergence Theorem, the theorems of Gauss and Stokes, and more, all rolled into one!

PATH INTEGRAL VERSION OF THE FUNDAMENTAL THEOREM OF CALCULUS (§6.3)

Theorem 1. Suppose that U is an open set in E^n , that $f: U \to \mathbb{R}$ is C^1 , and that C is a piecewise C^1 oriented path in U from A to B. Then

$$\int_C \nabla f \cdot dX = f(X) \Big|_A^B = f(B) - f(A).$$

This is part of Theorem 3.3 in Colley.

PATH INTEGRALS AND CONSERVATIVE VECTOR FIELDS ($\S6.3$)

Looking through my collection of mathematics and physics books, I find that mathematicians and physicists give different definitions for a conservative vector field!

Mathematician's Definition. Suppose that U is an open set in E^n and that \mathbf{F} is a continuous vector field on U. We say that \mathbf{F} is *conservative* if \mathbf{F} is the gradient of some function on U, that is, if there is a function $f: U \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $\mathbf{F} = \nabla f$.

Note: If $\mathbf{F} = \nabla f$, then f is called a *potential* for \mathbf{F} (physicists call -f a potential).

Physicist's Definition. Suppose that U is an open set in E^n and that \mathbf{F} is a continuous vector field on U. They say that \mathbf{F} is *conservative* if for every piecewise C^1 path C in U, the integral $\int_C \mathbf{F} \cdot dX$ depends only on the endpoints of C. Another way to say this is that for every pair of piecewise C^1 paths C and \tilde{C} in U joining the same two points we

have $\int_C \mathbf{F} \cdot dX = \int_{\tilde{C}} \mathbf{F} \cdot dX$. (Note: Sometimes this condition on $\int_C \mathbf{F} \cdot dX$ is described by saying that the integral is independent of path. This is really a misstatement. The integral does depend on the path somewhat; it depends on its endpoints.)

These two definitions are really just different points of view because of the following theorem.

Theorem 2. Suppose that U is an open set in E^n and that **F** is a continuous vector field on U. Then the following statements about **F** are equivalent.

- (1) **F** is the gradient of some function. (Math definition of conservative)
- (2) For every piecewise C^1 path C in U, the integral $\int_C \mathbf{F} \cdot dX$ depends only on the endpoints of C. (Physics definition of conservative)
- (3) For every piecewise C^1 loop C in U, we have $\int_C \mathbf{F} \cdot dX = 0$.

Note that $(1) \iff (2)$ is part of Theorem 3.3 in Colley and $(2) \iff (3)$ is Theorem 3.2. The next theorem says that it is *almost* the case that a vector field **F** is conservative if and only if curl **F** = 0.

Theorem (Test for Conservative Vector Fields). Suppose that U is an open set in E^2 or E^3 and that **F** is a C^1 vector field on U.

- (1) If **F** is conservative, then $\operatorname{curl} \mathbf{F} = 0$.
- (2) Suppose U is simply connected. Then **F** is conservative if and only if $\operatorname{curl} \mathbf{F} = 0$.

Remarks. In \mathbb{R}^2 we have $\mathbf{F} = P\mathbf{i} + Q\mathbf{j}$, where P and Q are the coordinate functions of \mathbf{F} . Then $\operatorname{curl} \mathbf{F} = \frac{\partial Q}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial P}{\partial y}$.

- Part (1) of the theorem is just a restatement of something we saw earlier, namely, if **F** is a gradient, then $\frac{\partial Q}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial P}{\partial y}$. (This generalizes in \mathbb{R}^3 to three equations, since curl **F** is a vector.)
- The expression $\frac{\partial Q}{\partial x} \frac{\partial P}{\partial y}$ appears in the double integral in Green's Theorem (§6.2). (In \mathbb{R}^3 the coefficients of curl **F** appear in a coordinate version of Stokes' Theorem (§7.3)), a formula that is truly scary and does not often appear in undergraduate texts!)

If the set U is not simply connected (in \mathbb{R}^2 this happens if it has one or more holes) and curl $\mathbf{F} = 0$, the vector field \mathbf{F} might be a gradient, but it need not be. For a discussion on this, see the handout "A Curl-Free Vector Field that is not a Gradient."

Note to physics majors. When I took physics, I remember when determining that $\operatorname{curl} \mathbf{F} = 0$ for a vector field, the next step was almost always to assume that \mathbf{F} has a potential—it was hard to imagine it *not* having a potential. Learning that \mathbf{F} does not have to have a potential was disconcerting. Hopefully this will cause you to ponder why the important physical vector fields that are curl-free (gravitational, electric) have potentials, what physics would be like if they didn't, what *physical* properties cause this, and how we know.

ROBERT FOOTE, DECEMBER 2007. LAST REVISION DECEMBER 2014.